	Broker for Scale	TIA Kali for Scale (Bangladesh)	TIA Kali for Scale (India)	Notes
Costs				
Total cost per household (US$ppp)	$4.00	$2.00	$3.00	We assume get the incentivised version. Only 50% only to the 1st cashback (Kisan Card) (+27) and certificate (5m) and we ask certificate the remaining 50.00%
Total cost (ppp) (expect-that-health (US$ppp)	$6.00	$6.00	$6.00	(+27 in providing funding to cover the programme separately)
Generic parameters				
Cost-effectiveness of counterfactual opportunity	0	10	10	
Weight on differences/scale	75%	90%	75%	Description: This footprint of thousands hurts raised
Units of value per dollar, (cost/benefit)	0.16667	0.13889	0.16667	Tip: Another value/benefit analysis
Discount rate	4%	4%	4%	Calculation: cost/benefit
Impact				
Probability that we find the program to be adaptive-of-study	40%	70%	70%	Description: (we mostly assumed that the program is highly cost-effective (+7, 8%), the more than likely that we would find some amount of (potentialities without-to-date. However, due to our high uncertainty about the effects of cash/assets on consumption (to a more general population (+-3.4-5% only those in -mentally-interesting-completions), we think it is unlikely that we would pick-up-of-it-long-towards-cash/assets without additional-but-evidence (particularly when our funding has to-"fit-")
Assuming we find program to be adaptive, how much would we find it useful?	10	10	10	Description: Value (greatly) assured this is US-like, but we haven't explored this in depth yet.
Assuming we find the program to be adaptive, what is the probability that we (and/or our partner) (millions)	$10.0	$10.0	$10.0	Rough guess. We think, based on Kisan Card (+27) (millions, Sheel-Kali) and Bundlesale-Kali-(+8-9%) (+7) for the Kisan-Kali.
Assuming we find the program to be adaptive-of-study, what is the probability that we (and/or our partner) (millions)	$0.50	$0.50	$0.75	Buy (pessimistic: For 50-75, this is a-probability-up-update-toward-believing-the-program-will-be-not-has. Here we have-done-at-the-(-program), conditioned-on-updating-toward-believing-this-is-better-not-has
Additional multiple of program in presence of study (endline)	8x10	10x10	5x1.5	Buy (pessimistic: For 50-75, this is a-the-change-in-funding-as-a-result-of-learning-this-is-above-the-line (of-which-case-we-move-more-to-the-program), conditioned-on-updating-toward-believing-this-is-above-not-has
Externality/information value				
Probability of different scenarios				
Probability that we actually find this	0%	0%	0%	Description: This-should-take-into-account-the-probability-that-the-data-for-some-reason-, e.g., pandemic-, partner-instability, half-the-treatment-group-getting-lost-to-follow-up-etc
Probability that we actually-program is adaptive-after-the-first (assuming-no-failure)	80%	70%	50%	Buy (pessimistic: For 50-75, this is-a-probability-up-update-toward-believing-the-program-will-be-not-has
Probability that we-actually-program is adaptive-after-the-first	70%	40%	0%	Calculation:
Probability that we-actually-program is-believed-the-after-the-first (assuming-no-failure)	100%	80%	50%	
Probability that we-actually-program is-believed-the-after-the-first	100%	40%	0%	Calculation:
Probability of-evaluation-+-We-update-our-view-and-believe-funding-this-program	70%	40%	10%	Calculation:
Probability of-evaluation-+-We-update-our-view-and-believe-funding-away-from-this-program	10%	40%	10%	Calculation:
Probability of-evaluation-+-We-make-no-change-to-our-funding	10%	80%	80%	Calculation:
Example-1: Value-from-shewing-more-funding-to-program				
Change-in-funding-to-program-per-year-if-we-update-(millions)	$8	$10	$17	Buy (pessimistic: For 50-75, this is-the-change-in-funding-as-a-result-of-learning-this-is-above-the-line (of-which-case-we-move-more-to-the-program) or-better-the-line (of-which-case-we-move-less-to-the-program), conditioned-on-updating-toward-believing-this-is-attractive-not-has
Best-guess-of-VR-of-this-reallocated-funding-(in-each)	$100	$100	$100	Buy (pessimistic: This-is-based-on-our-best-guess-of-cost-effectiveness-after-the-study-in-a-scenario-where-we-learn-the-program-is-above-our-funding-line. In-this-case, the-program-would-be-more-cost-effective-than-the-counterfactual-opportunity, and-we-might-want-more-funding-to-this-program
Increase-in-units-of-value-from-prioritization-the-extensive-per-year	0	0	0	Description: Value (greatly) assured this is US-like, but we haven't explored this in depth yet.
Increase-in-units-of-value-from-prioritization-the-extensive-per-year	100,000	50,000	50,000	Calculation:
Expected-increase-in-amount-of-value-from-prioritized-per-year	2,000,000	50,000	50,000	Calculation:
Expected-increase-in-amount-of-value-from-prioritized-per-year	0.5%	50,000	50,000	Calculation:
Present-discounted-expected-value-of-increase-in-units-of-value-from-that	100,000	100,000	100,000	Calculation:
Example-2: Value-from-shewing-less-funding-to-program				
Change-in-funding-to-program-per-year-if-we-update-(millions)	$(6)	$(4)	$(7)	
Best-guess-of-VR-of-this-reallocated-funding-(in-each)	10	10	10	Buy (pessimistic: This-is-based-on-our-best-guess-of-cost-effectiveness-after-the-study-in-a-scenario-where-we-learn-the-program-is-below-our-funding-line. In-this-case, the-program-would-be-less-cost-effective-than-the-counterfactual-opportunity, and-we-would-want-funding-to-other-opportunities
Increase-in-units-of-value-from-prioritization-the-extensive-per-year	10	10	10	Description: Value (greatly) assured this is US-like, but we haven't explored this in depth yet.
Increase-in-units-of-value-from-prioritization-the-extensive-per-year	100,000	50,000	50,000	Calculation:
Expected-increase-in-amount-of-value-from-prioritized-per-year	1,000,000	50,000	50,000	Calculation:
Expected-increase-in-amount-of-value-from-prioritized-per-year	0.5%	50,000	50,000	Calculation:
Present-discounted-expected-value-of-increase-in-units-of-value-from-that	100,000	100,000	100,000	Calculation:
Example-3: Value-from-no-change-to-program-funding				
Change-in-funding-to-program-per-year-if-we-update-(millions)	$0	$0	$0	
Best-guess-of-VR-of-this-reallocated-funding-(in-each)	10	10	10	
How-long-we-would-fund-program-if-above-the-after-the-first (years)	10	10	10	Description: Value (greatly) assured this is US-like, but we haven't explored this in depth yet.
Increase-in-units-of-value-from-prioritization-the-extensive-per-year	0	0	0	Calculation:
Increase-in-units-of-value-from-prioritization-the-extensive-per-year	0	0	0	Calculation:
Present-discounted-expected-value-of-increase-in-units-of-value-from-that	0	0	0	Calculation:
Other-information-value	170,000			Calculation:
Units-of-value-per-dollar	0.0425			Calculation:
Other-cost-effectiveness-estimates	10.7			Calculation:
Social benefits				
Cost-effectiveness-from-the-program-itself-during-the-period-(in-each)	7.5	10	10	Average-of-TIA-India-and-TIA-Bangladesh-estimates, from Kisan/Bundlesale-Kali-(+8)
Total-units-of-value-created-by-direct-benefits	0	0	0	Calculation:
Cost-effectiveness				
Total-units-of-value	177,500	100,271	172,380	Calculation:
Units-of-value-per-dollar	0.0887	0.0451	0.0861	Calculation:
Total-cost-effectiveness-from-value-of-information-and-program-itself-(in-each)	7.5	10	10	Calculation:
Weighted-cost-effectiveness-from-value-of-information-and-program-itself-(in-each)	5.6			Calculation:
Ad-hoc-adjustments				
Risk-that-we-are-not-a-cost-effectiveness-nut-has-and-are-wrong	-20%			Description: This-replaces-the-possibility-that-we-(1)-have-a-very-pleasant-treatment-effect-from-the-that-(2)-update-our-funding-decisions-according-to-the-that-(3)-make-the-wrong-ex-post-decision-as-a-result
Risk-that-everybody-is-fooled-without-us-in-the-same	-10%			Description: This-could-vary-based-on-likelihood-of-copying-for-specific-potential, We-shouldn't-be-assuming-the-exact-must-be-fooled
Adjustment-overall-for-other-factors-not-included-(broader-category)	20%			Description: This-parameter-replaces-the-idea-that-the-risk-we-form-much-under-the-funding-decisions-of-other-(governments/philanthropists-as-well-as-our-own-
Total-discount-adjustment	-10%			Calculation:
Cost-effectiveness-in-units	7.1			
% value-from-shewing-more-funding-to-a-VR-program	44%			
% value-from-shewing-less-funding-to-a-program	55%			
% value-from-short-benefits	0%			