

WARNING: I skimmed some of these issues pretty quickly and may have some things wrong, but this should be a good starting point.

	Note for new rep	Classification	Action	Close?	Notes
Relative json pointer does not allow to "get" object keys? (draft5)	Yes	json pointer	out of scope	should be closed	really a JSON [Relative] Pointer issue
Relative JSON pointer extension	Yes	json pointer	out of scope	should be closed	This is for the Relative JSON Pointer draft RFC
Refactor thinking on the LITE	Yes	process	ongoing	should be closed	should be closed - there is no specific, trackable action here
oneOf/implicit validation	Yes	question	ANSWERED	should be closed	should be closed
strict/Properties for date-time format/Minimum and format/Maximum	Yes	question	ANSWERED	should be closed	should be closed
Cloning JSON schema	Yes	question	ANSWERED	should be closed	should be closed
Even number of elements in array	Yes	question	ANSWERED	should be closed	should be closed. you could read a spec proposal in, but no one spoke in favor and one against
Checking value of an attribute against value of other attributes in input	Yes	question	ANSWERED	should be closed	should be closed - not possible in v4; falls under \$data in v5 proposals
Is it possible to have "dependencies" based on values instead of presence	Yes	question	ANSWERED	should be closed	should be closed - left open "for further comment" but none made
Validating equivalence between two (or more) properties	Yes	question	ANSWERED	should be closed	should be closed - \$data in v5 would handle this
How to contribute to site?	Yes	question	DUPLICATE	should be closed	points to #167 "Publish v5 draft"
Grammar and formal semantics	Yes	question	not actionable?		there's some interest here although no clear path to a resolution
Is it possible to validate just the base objects?	Yes	question	unanswered		well, partially answered, but needs a follow up
Unclear is pattern value is a RegExp body or RegExp literal	Yes	spec bug	easy		Simple request for clarification
Too much indirection in "5.2 rel" chapter of Hyper Schema Spec	Yes	spec bug	easy		just need to link directly to rel registry instead of via Atom RFC
Sections on protocols redundant or unnecessary	Yes	spec bug	easy		side discussion on "profile" resolved with no additional action required, just the original issue
Documentation of profile parameter is invalid	Yes	spec bug	FIXED	should be closed	duped to a closed issue that points to a json-schema-spec pull request. Should be closed
json-schema.org/address example wrong usage of required keyword	Yes	spec bug	FIXED	should be closed	pull requests merged, as far as I can tell
URI vocabulary: "resolution scope" -> "base URI"	Yes	spec bug	FIXED	should be closed	only remaining "resolution scope" is in the changelog at the bottom from v3
URI vocabulary: "URI" vs "URI reference"	Yes	spec bug	FIXED	should be closed	should be closed. Actual issue fixed. An expanded assertion that a total rewrite is necessary led to argument decamping to IRC. Not relevant to main issue.
Another Typo	Yes	spec bug	FIXED	should be closed	<a href="https://github.com/json-schema-org/json-schema-spec/pull/43">https://github.com/json-schema-org/json-schema-spec/pull/43</a>
Typo in Hyper Schema Docs	Yes	spec bug	FIXED	should be closed	<a href="https://github.com/json-schema-org/json-schema-spec/pull/43">https://github.com/json-schema-org/json-schema-spec/pull/43</a>
Draft 4 meta-schema missing "format" keyword	Yes	spec bug	FIXED	should be closed	<a href="https://github.com/json-schema-org/json-schema-spec/pull/42">https://github.com/json-schema-org/json-schema-spec/pull/42</a>
Allow any/oneOf/allOf with one value instead of extends	Yes	spec proposal	DUPLICATE	should be closed	already redirected to new repo, should be closed
Enum-controlled object types don't fail usefully	Yes	spec proposal	DUPLICATE	should be closed	turned into another use case for switch
Proposal: "patch", "merge": how to definitely get rid of the "additionalProperties"	Yes	spec proposal	DUPLICATE	should be closed	Now tracked at <a href="https://github.com/json-schema-org/json-schema-spec/issues/15">https://github.com/json-schema-org/json-schema-spec/issues/15</a>
allOf with object schemas and additionalProperties = false	Yes	spec proposal	DUPLICATE	should be closed	became fromProperties, then yet another merge/patch discussion with refs to email threads
Can't find a way to restrict that some pattern-matched property is required (v4)	Yes	spec proposal	DUPLICATE?	should be closed	seems to lead to patternRequired, not sure who there are two issues
Multiple media types in JSON Hyper Schema	Yes	spec proposal	encouraged		Ultimately about content negotiations. Good ideas, trails off late last year
Proposal: "propertyOrder"	Yes	spec proposal	forked commits?		acceptance unlikely? also side discussion of UI attributes in general
AdditionalProperties considers properties and patternProperties but not	Yes	spec proposal	MIGRATED	should be closed	<a href="https://github.com/json-schema-org/json-schema-spec/issues/65">https://github.com/json-schema-org/json-schema-spec/issues/65</a>
New "informative" keywords	Yes	spec proposal	needs resolution		propertyOrder; unordered; format; became a larger metadata discussion
Rename "id" to "Sid" (v5 proposal)	Yes	spec proposal	needs resolution		various people upset about backwards compatibility
[v5 proposal] choices (improvement to enum)	Yes	spec proposal	MIGRATED	should be closed	<a href="https://github.com/json-schema-org/json-schema-spec/issues/57">https://github.com/json-schema-org/json-schema-spec/issues/57</a>
patternGroups (v5 proposal)	Yes	spec proposal	needs resolution		seems to have forked off from the patternRequired discussion?
switch (v5 proposal)	Yes	spec proposal	MIGRATED	should be closed	<a href="https://github.com/json-schema-org/json-schema-spec/issues/64">https://github.com/json-schema-org/json-schema-spec/issues/64</a>
Is "Type" a required keyword? If not, how should validation be handled v	Yes	spec proposal	needs resolution		Significant question about rejecting intuitively nonsensical schemas
v5: "non unknown properties" alternative	Yes	spec proposal	needs resolution		more \$merge/\$patch/why can't people use additionalProperties "right" arguments
Proposal JSON as an xml refer parser	Yes	spec proposal	out of scope	should be closed	JSON version of E4X, a project which itself was deprecated in 2014
Proposal for next draft: Remove 'of' keyword	Yes	spec proposal	needs resolution		good discussion here
suggestion: "example" keywords	Yes	spec proposal	needs resolution		or could be an extension?
[Proposal] Improving date-time: min/max, linking & step	Yes	spec proposal	no comments yet		
Specific link relation for JSON Schema	Yes	spec proposal	MIGRATED	should be closed	<a href="https://github.com/json-schema-org/json-schema-spec/issues/9">https://github.com/json-schema-org/json-schema-spec/issues/9</a>
suggestion: rel additions	Yes	spec proposal	out of scope?		seems somewhat receptive to this but it's a bit questionable.
Add "messages" property	Yes	spec proposal	rejected?	maybe close?	Seems like it should have been closed but discussion continued?
Rename "format" and define it as a whitespace-separated list of values	Yes	spec proposal	rejected?	maybe close?	seems pretty dubious based on comments
Collision-aware schemas	Yes	spec proposal	scope expansion?		No comments pro or con yet
patternRequired: how should it be defined?	Yes	spec proposal	well received		this didn't make it into v4 but there was agreement that it was worth pursuing eventually
Browser support?	Yes	tooling	accepted?		No objections as far as I can tell
Support for min/max/length on object property names	Yes	tooling	out of scope?	maybe close?	Request for better regex error messages from a particular implementation
Add ability to extract values	Yes	transform	propose out of scope	should be closed	should be closed - this is about a schema transformation system
Keyword names bad in address example: uses dashes instead of camel case	Yes	web site	easy		
<a href="http://json-schema.org/latest/json-schema-core.html">http://json-schema.org/latest/json-schema-core.html</a> outdated	Yes	web site	FIXED	should be closed	
Website theme, implementations and test suite integration	Yes	web site	huge project		
Website: clearly mark implementations by supported version	Yes	web site	not too hard		
Example schemas extracted from schema.org?	Yes	web site	tool exists		
address should not require locality and region	Yes	web site	easy?	maybe close?	not sure if this is for web site or perhaps a tool collection?
Website: Add cloudflare/json-schema-docs-generator to software	Yes	web site link	easy		Complaint about one of the examples
Please add Manifest.json to list of implementations	Yes	web site link	easy		
Link to SchemaStore.org	Yes	web site link	easy		
Section for guides/introductions	Yes	web site link	easy		
Prove the usefulness of JSON Schema on the web site: write schemas for exist	Yes	web site link	easy		

**For GitHub Issues from the old repo, please look at sheet #1**

This sheet covers old repo wiki pages for v5, and new repo issues for v5/v6

grouping	wiki page	new repo issue	new repo dups	old repo issue(s)
general	<a href="#">\$data</a>			
general	<a href="#">Ban unknown properties mode</a>			
general	<a href="#">choices (enum enhancement)</a>			
general	<a href="#">Custom error messages</a>			
general	<a href="#">enumNames</a>			
hyper-schema	<a href="#">baseUri</a>			
hyper-schema	<a href="#">Extended templating syntax</a>			
hyper-schema	<a href="#">linkSource</a>			
hyper-schema	<a href="#">propertyLinks</a>			
hyper-schema	<a href="#">Templating for 'rel' etc.</a>			
meta	<a href="#">translations</a>			
semantic	<a href="#">"format" suggestions</a>			
semantic	<a href="#">format: [relative-]json-pointer; uri-template</a>			
semantic	<a href="#">format[Exclusive](Minimum Maximum)</a>			
semantic	<a href="#">unordered</a>			
validation	<a href="#">bounding</a>			
validation	<a href="#">constant</a>			
validation	<a href="#">contains</a>	<a href="#">Add `contains` keyword</a>		
validation	<a href="#">patternGroups and patternRequired</a>			
validation	<a href="#">switch</a>		34	205, 219