Campus	Is your Alma/Primo compliant with this policy?	If not yet compliant, what are the plans to be compliant	Any additional information you would like noted?
UCSC	Yes for students, faculty, and staff		
UCI	Yes		Oct 4 updates: We are now compliance with the policy for the end users. Previously we were displaying both preferred names and legal names in Alma for employees(from UC Path) and preferred names in preferred names fileds if available for students(from campus system). We have made changes to make sure the patrons or end users only see preferred names. However Alma has both legal and preferred name for user records. The reason we have the legal FN,MN & LN is for billing purposes. After discussing this locally we plan to change this to make sure we are only loading preferred names and not legal names.
UCB	Yes; we are displaying preferred name for all of our user streams (SIS, UC Path, LBNL) for end users	yes	We are compliant given end user access. Currently, both preferred and legal names are displaying if in a user record in internal user records for records inherited from UC Path and SIS (only viewable for staff with access to user records), but we are currently in the process of updating our automated user loading to only load preferred name to the name fields in Alma. LBNL records are already in compliance with this policy
UCSB	Yes		In our campus systems, there is an option for "lived name" and that information then trickles down to Alma via the daily student and employee feed, so we don't use the preferred name option. The lived name becomes the primary name in Alma and what displays in Primol
UCSD	Yes		Update 9/27/23 - UCSD recently updated their Patron Load application so that UCSD students who provide a Lived Name to our campus have that information downloaded into the First, Middle, and Last name fields in their Alma user record. In these instances, we will not download their legal name whatsoever. For employees (which is not a recent change), we only download one name per person and that information populates the general name fields in Alma. If the employee defines a Lived Name in UCPath, then that information populates the First, Middle, and Last name fields and we do not obtain their legal name. Effectively, we are phasing out the use of the Alma user record Preferred Name fields. UCSD Library Staff are in the process of manually resolving user records in our Alma IZ for former UCSD students who provided Lived Names, but since they are not currently enrolled were not impacted by this recent change.
UCSF	Yes		We import lived name from EDS/SIS to populate the general name fields. We use the same name in the preferred name fields.
UCD	Yes		Our patron loading script defers to preferred names. This has been in place since July.
UCR	Yes		Our patron information in Alma is fed directly from a data feed from our campus SIS. The lived name is the primary name in Alma/Primo. The prefered name is blank in Alma. https://chancellor.ucr.edu/gender-recognition-and-lived-name-committee
UCLA	Yes		Our data is inherited from other systems in use on campus. For students we have the ability to use preferred names automatically. We get employee data from UC Path; Library staff do have the ability to add a preferred name to our record manually, in addition to (not replacing) the legal name, on request from the patron
UCM	Alma is compliant and is using only "preferred name". However, we are working with our campus IT to ensure that what we are receiving from them is lived name	Our campus IT is currently working on their strategy to be compliant. Since we are dependent on them to be compliant, we will need to re-populate our users once they are ready to do so.	

Campus	Is your Alma/Primo compliant with this policy?	If not yet compliant, what are the plans to be compliant	Any additional information you would like noted?
			Oct 4 updates: We are now compliance with the policy for the end users.