estamp					
	Enter the text of your question below:	Response:			
		The Adult Education Initiatives Office does not currently have any grant opportunities or scholarships for individual adult			
		learners pursuing occupational skills training (whether or not at the college level). Our office funds grants for k-12 schools,			
		non-profit organizations, libraries, tribes, post-secondary			
	Hi I am an adult trying to extend my education through	institutions, etc. to deliver various educational, training, and wrap around services programming to adults who are seeking			
	attending the Boulder Massage Therapy Institute. I was	to advance their academic, english language, and digital			
	wondering if you issue grants for adults in the healing and health field that aren't taking curriculum through a formal	literacy skills and/or pursue industry-recognize certifications, secondary, or postsecondary credentials. At this time we do			
10/30/2023	college?	not offer funding for individuals.			
		Laptops may be purchased for staff and student use while participating in the program. Incentives are not an allowable			
		participating in the program. Incentives are not an allowable expense under AELA. Therefore, laptops purchased with			
10/31/2023	Can we purchase Chromebooks and use them as incentives for students (give them away at completion)?	AELA funds can not be given to students permanently as an incentive.			
	Regarding the EAP. CCD is the lead applicant and we have a				
	primary partner. The EAP form notes "lead applicant". Does CCD need to fill out the EAP and also include primary partner,				
	or just fill out one for the primary partner? I asked this on the				
10/31/2023		The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list.			
	We have a current Grant Coordinator for our current AELA				
	dollars. If we are awarded additional monies - the scope of her work will increase. Can I build in an increase into her salary in				
	the new grant?	Yes, grantees may use funds to increase salaries with the increased work load			
11/1/2023		NRS testing is an optional Outcome for all AELA grantees and			
	Are HSE participants required to pre and post test via NRS?	learners regardless of program enrollment type. Please see			
11/2/2023 9:24:43	Or are we permitted to use HiSET placement and GED ready for pre-test and official HiSET and GED tests for post-testing?	the Assessment Assurances document for how to opt-in or out of NRS testing.			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Although NRS tests may provide some context of learners'			
		workforce readiness, they are an optional Outcome for all AELA grantees and learners regardless of program enrollment			
		type. Please see the Assessment Assurances document for			
	If AELA funds are utilized to offer a contextualized ESL class - geared toward a specific industry - are NRS (CASAS STEPS)	how to opt-in or out of NRS testing. AELA services using braided funds may need to meet requirements of other grants,			
11/2/2023 10:47:14	pre and post-tests required?	including but not limited to NRS testing.			
	We track if learners are currently employed or not, and many of our students select that they are 'unemployed' or 'not in the				
	workforce.' For the new data collection requirement on				
11/2/2023 19:04:09	income, do grantees need to track individual learner's income or household incomes?	Grantees should track individual learner income.			
	The Assessment assurance doesn't include CASAS Steps.				
11/4/2023 8-42-20	We will be migrating from Life & Work Reading to STEPS. How should we proceed?	Attachment edited and updated on the website on 11/7/23			
	Would DEDO, TANF, or the workforce development board				
11/7/2023 11:49:26	count as a workforce development provider?				
		rying to get some financial aid to take a 3 day class to become a			
		ying to get some financial aid to take a 3 day class to become a			
		and noticed a change from previous years. I wanted to verify this to this by creating a new view in "view manager". How can I then			
		E, questions from Section I. IET much be answered as well" how			
	If we have individuals in a correctional facility, would we use		 ,	 ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
10/30/2023	the zip code where they are currently incarcerated or the zip code of their residence prior to incarceration?	Learners should report their permanent zip codes, rather than the zip codes of the facilities.			
	Regarding administrative costs and the 10% cap. The word	Academic planning (including curriculum) falls under			
		instructional costs. The administrative planning was more operational, such as schedules, how many classes will run,			
	under administrative costs?	etc.			
10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs,	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or			
10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness in meeting those outcomes	etc.			
10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now.			
10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional			
10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness on in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to			
10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be tisled in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldn't want to replace			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness on in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be isled in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying lo avoid). However, if you			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other openeral	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable.			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with his additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplement, and supplement, and supplement, and supplement and supplement sets with the are tiving to will different funding stream (this is what we are tiving to avoid). However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expensess.			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses.			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid). However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding.			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplent, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid). However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would the applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as part of your ask because we have already deducted that			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplement, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it will a different funding stream (this is what we are flying to avoid). However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses on apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding, this means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA.			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with his additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplent, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid). However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would the applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as part of your ask because we have already deducted that			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or inmeeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid). However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deduced that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. If it is still it using your old one, we will update it for well update it on well update it for well and the well and the well update it for well and the well and the well and the well			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Maching funds - is frequired? How is it defined?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting operations are effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding, as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. If it is still suing your old one, we will update it for you.			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Makhing funds - is it required? How is it defined? Is there a minimum?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your sak because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. If it is still suing your old one, we will update it for you. There are not matching requirements, so those are not required in the voyour.			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or non-effectiveness or non-effectiveness or non-effectiveness or non-effectiveness or non-effectiveness or some such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? Is there a milimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplement so supplement not supplement for supplement to supplement for supplement for supplement for supplement funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid). However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. If it is till it using your old one, we will update it for the required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or inmeeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? Is there a minimum?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplemt, so we wouldn't went to replace existing programming by paving for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid). However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding, as a first means you should not include your current funding as a mount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. If it is still is using your old one, we will update it for you. There are not matching requirements, so those are not required in the budget.			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Maching funds - is frequired? How is it defined? Is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplement so we wouldn't went to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid). However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding, as a first manning to should not include your current funding as a mount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. If it is still is using your old one, we will update it for you. There are not matching requirements, so those are not required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds promised to previous grantees.			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? Is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming in the outper among and programming we are proposing?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplement so see wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. If it is still is using your old one, we will update it for. There are not matching requirements, so those are not required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds promised to previous grantees. If you are applying for these additional funds, you would have to get that information from your learners for reporting purposes.			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? Is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplement, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid). However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. If it is till it using your old one, we will update it for Nose are not required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds promised to previous grantees. If you are applying for these additional funds, you would have to get that information from your learners for reporting purposes.			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? Is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals are affect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplement so we wouldn't went to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid). However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding, as a mind to should not include your current funding as a mount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. If it is still is using your old one, we will update it for you. There are not matching requirements, so those are not required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds purposes. If you are applying for these additional funds, you would have to get that Information from your learners for reporting purposes.			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or inmeeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is t required? How is it defined? Is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals are affect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplemt, so we wouldn't went to replace existing programming by pawing for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid). However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to past on the world of addit that buy or current hading, and the part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. If it is still a using your old one, we will update it for you. There are not matching requirements, so those are not required in the budget. No, you'll only have not old one, we will update it for you. There are not matching requirements, so those are not required in the budget. No, you'll only any to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds promised to previous grantless. If you are applying for these additional funds, you would have to get that information from your learners for reporting purposes.			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or inmeeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is t required? How is it defined? Is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid). However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. If it is till it using your old one, we will update it for hose are not required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds promised to previous grantees. If you are applying for these additional funds, you would have to get that information from your learners for reporting purposes. You will still submit your and of year 23-24 and then you will have a 24-25 coulse. In other words, you'll be stilling targets for the rest of 23-24 and for all of 24-25. Existing AELA grantees usually already have outcomes set through the end of the year, but there will have to be new targets for 24-25. The grantees would now the word of the year, but there will have to be new targets for 24-25.			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or inmeeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is t required? How is it defined? Is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals are effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplement so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. It is still us using your old one, we will update it for you. There are not matching requirements, so those are not required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds promised to previous grantees. If you are applying for these additional funds, you would have to get that information from your learners for reporting purposes. You will still submit your and of year 23.94 and then you will have a 24.25 coutome. In other words, you'll be setting targets for the rest of 23.24 and for all of 24.25. Existing AELA grantees usually already have cutomes set through the end of the year, but there will have to be new targets for 24.25. The grantees well with as hor bove for the year, but there will h			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programming? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? is there a minimur partner, should we include our current programming will be used to a current AELA students? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming he be budget and transfave, or only the new programming we are proposing? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldnt want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue, All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. If it is all to using your off one, we will update it for you. There are not matching requirements, so those are not required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds promises of the part of your ask to budget. No were all this propriets and the your would have to get that information from your learners for reporting purposes. You will still submit your end of year 23-24 and then you will have a 24-25 outcome. In other words, you'll be setting targets for the rest of 22-24 and for all of 24-25. Existing AELA and the report of the year. But there will have to be mindful of the obstacles that could arise with such a short period with seed for dratess.			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budge is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? Is there a minimur partner, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposating? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? How are you going to be able to tell the difference between students funded from previous funding and new funding when students funded from previous funding and new funding when	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals are affect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldnt want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue, All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. If it is all to using your off one, we will update it for you. There are not matching requirements, so those are not required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds promises of the year. But the will have to be enter targets for the rest of 22-24 and for all of 24-25. Existing AELA. The yantees who have not received any AELA funding before the rest of 25-04 and for all of 24-25. Existing AELA there are all the proposes.			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budge is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? Is there a minimur partner, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposating? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? How are you going to be able to tell the difference between students funded from previous funding and new funding when students funded from previous funding and new funding when	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplement so supplement not supplement so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, his will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. It is still is using your old one, we will update it for you. There are not matching requirements, so those are not required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds promised to previous grantees. You will still submit your end of year 23-24 and then you will have a 24-25 outcome. In other words, you'll be setting targets for the est of 23-24 and for all of 24-25. Existing AELA grantees usually already have outcomes set through the end of the year, but there will have to be new targets for 24-25. The gantees with such a short port of your will you will just but the same targets that you previously ent of 23-24 unded for the same targets that you previously set for			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or thure to be a provider;? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? Is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing? will we have to go back and get the new items—language, zip code, income, for our current AELA students? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? How are you going to be able to tell the difference between students funded from previous funding and new funding when we are setting targets?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals are affect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplement so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, his will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institution. There are not matching requirements, so those are not required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds promised to previous grantees. If you are applying for these additional funds, you would have to get that information from your learners for reporting purposes. You will still submit your and of year 23-24 and then you will have a 24-25 calcome. In other words, you'll be setting targets for the est of 23-24 and for all of 24-25 Exising AELA grantees usually already have culoures set through the end of the year, but there will have to be new targets for 24-25. The grantees will wash to have not received any AELA funding before and will need new targets. Please be mindful of the obstacles and of the year, but there will have to be new targets for			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? is there a minimur partner, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? How are you going to be able to tell the difference between students funded from previous funding and new funding when we are setting targets?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be acuse we have well update it for you. There are not matching requirements, so those are not required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds promises and the proper supplication because we have already deducted for funds promises of the proper supplication because we have already deducted for funds promises of the proper supplication because we have already deducted for funds promises and the supplication because we have already deducted for funds promises to great that information from your learners for reporting purposes. You will still submit your end of year 23-24 and then you will have a 24-25 coutcome. In other words, you'll be setting targets for the rest of 23-24 and for all of 24-25. Existing AELA for the rest of 23-24 and the you will have to get that information from your learners for reporting purposes. You will still submit your end			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? is there a minimur partner, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? How are you going to be able to tell the difference between students funded from previous funding and new funding when we are setting targets?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be acuse we have well update it for you. There are not matching requirements, so those are not required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds promises of the partners of the province of th			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or too be a provider;? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? Is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing? will we have to go back and get the new items—language, zip code, income, for our current AELA students? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? How are you going to be able to tell the difference between students funded from previous funding and new funding when we are setting targets?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals are effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplement so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. It is still us using your old one, we will update it for you. There are not matching requirements, so those are not required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds promised to previous grantees. You will still submit your end of year 23-24 and then you will have a 24-25 outcome. In other words, you'll be setting targets for the ens of 23-24 and for all of 24-25. Existing AELA grantees usually already have undersome set through the end of the year, but there will have to be new targets for 24-25. The grantees with such a short port of when setting the targets for the 6 month period. For previously funded grantees, out will year the same target start you previously set for 23-24 unless you			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or when we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? Is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing? will we have to go back and get the new items—language, zip code, income, for our current AELA students? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? How are you going to be able to tell the difference between students funded from previous funding and new funding when we are setting targets? After June 30, 2024, can we use the funds for AELA students for post secondary credentials/classes/programs? (thinking of the supplemental funding grant that ends 6/30/24)	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals are effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplement so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institution. There are not matching requirements, so those are not required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds promised to previous grantees. If you are applying for these additional funds, you would have to get that information from your learners for reporting purposes. You will substimity your read of year 23-24 and then you will have a 24-25 outcome. In other words, you'll be sating largets or grantees usually already have current words, you'll be stenting before and will need new targets. Please be mindful of the obstations that could arise the same targets that you previously self or 23-24 united provides. The years but the same targets that you previously self or 23-24 united provides. The			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or thurse tigibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? Is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing? Will we have to go back and get the new items—language, zip code, income, for our current AELA students? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? How are you going to be able to tell the difference between students funded from previous funding and new funding when we are setting targets? After June 30, 2024, can we use the funds for AELA students for post secondary credentials/classes/programs? (thinking of the supplemental funding grant that ends 6/30/24)	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplement so see wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not because we have will update it for will update it for equived in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have will update it for unsplication because we have already deducted for funds promised to previous grantees. If you are applying for these additional funds, you would have to get that information from your learners for reporting purposes. You will still submit your end of year 23-24 and then you will have a 24-25 outcome. In other words, you'll be setting largets for the rest of 23-24 and for all of 24-25. Existing AELA grantees usually already have outcomes set through the end of the year, but there will have to be new targets for 24-25. The grantees with such a short period when setting the targets for the 6 month period. For previously funded grantees, you will pust put the same target be to do that and it will not be			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? Is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing? Will we have to go back and get the new items—language, zip code, income, for our current AELA students? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? After June 30, 2024, can we use the funds for AELA students for post secondary credentials/classes/programs? (thinking of the supplemental funded from previous funding and new funding when we are setting targets?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have aiready deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not because we have will update it for will update it for it is still is using your old one, we will update it for will required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have will update it for the partners of the programments of the progr			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or flow the var over primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? Is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing? will we have to go back and get the new items—language, zip code, income, for our current AELA students? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? After June 30, 2024, can we use the funds for AELA students for post secondary credentials/classes/programs? (thinking of the supplemental funding grant that ends 6/30/24) We are currently operating under a Workforce Development Partnership, but would like to add in a new Education Atlainment Partnership, is that tokagy? Som yunderstanding is that the December approval may be changed when the state board votes. Thinking of starting new	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldnt want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid). However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a manual to a supplement of the company of t			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? Is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? How are you going to be able to tell the difference between students funded from previous funding and new funding when we are setting targets? Alter June 30, 2024, can we use the funds for AELA students for poet as excended y credentials classes programs? (thinking of the supplemental funding grant that ends 63024). We are currently operating under a Workforce Development Partnership, but would like to add in a new Education Attainment Partnership, is that dockay? So my understanding is that the December approval may be chanced when the state board votes. Thinking of starting news.	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have aiready deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not because we have will update it for will update it for it is still is using your old one, we will update it for will required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have will update it for the partners of the programments of the progr			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or inmeding those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing? Will we have to go back and get the new items—language, zip code, income, for our current AELA students? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? After June 30, 2024, can we use the funds for AELA students for post secondary credentials/classes/programs? (thinking of the supplemental funding is that the December approval may be changed when the state board votes. Thinking of starting new programming that the fideling and the well-category and the starting is the the December approval may be changed when the state board votes. Thinking of technical contents the funding out that we aren't going to receive the funding	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not because we have will update if for will update if for it is still it using your old one, we will update if for will still the required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds pemplecation because we have already deducted for funds promises of the provious grantees. If you are applying for these additional funds, you would have to get that information from your learners for reporting purposes. You will still submit your end of year 23-24 and then you will have a 24-25 outcome. In other words, you'll be setting largets for the east of 23-24 and for all of 24-25. Existing AELA grantees usually already have outcomes set through the end of the year, but there will have to be new targets for 24-25. The grantees with such a short period when setting the targets for the 6 month period. For previously funded grantees, 23-24 under you want to increase it bec			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or inmeding those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing? Will we have to go back and get the new items—language, zip code, income, for our current AELA students? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? After June 30, 2024, can we use the funds for AELA students for post secondary credentials/classes/programs? (thinking of the supplemental funding is that the December approval may be changed when the state board votes. Thinking of starting new programming that the fideling and the well-category and the starting is the the December approval may be changed when the state board votes. Thinking of technical contents the funding out that we aren't going to receive the funding	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals are affect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldnt want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid). However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a manual to a supplement of the company of t			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or in meeting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? Is there a minimum grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposaning? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? After June 30, 2024, can we use the funds for AELA students for post secondary credentials/classes/programs? (thinking of the supplemental funding grant that ends 6/30/24) We are currently operating under a Workforce Development Partnership, but would like to add in a new Education Atlaiment Partnership, but would like to add in a new Education Atlaiment Partnership, is that okay? On your programming we had be added to the programming we programming with inclining out that we aren't going to receive the funding The budget has a drop down for defining types of funds. Will increase the funding	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldnt want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that any of your ask because we have already deducted that will be a supplication because the chief governmental institutions. It is at its using your off one, we will update it for you. There are not matching requirements, so those are not required in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds promises to previous grantees. If you are applying for these additional funds, you would have to get that information from your learners for reporting purposes. You will stimp you will have to be new targets for 24-25. The grantees who have not received any AELA funding before and will need new targets. Please be mindful of the obstacles that could arise with such a short period when setting grantees usually already have outcomes set through the end of the year. Dut there will have to be new targets for 24-25. The g			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or inmeding those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? How are you going to be able to tell the difference between students funded from current provides for our current AELA students? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? How are so you going to be able to tell the difference between students funded from perious funding and new funding when we are setting targets? After June 30, 2024, can we use the funds for AELA students for post secondary credentials/classes/programs? (thinking of the supplemental fundenting and new funding when we are setting that grant that ends 6702(4) We are currently operating under a Workforce Development Partnership, is, that okay? So my understanding is that the December approval may be changed when the state board votes. Thinking of starting new programming but then finding out that we aren't going to receive the funding	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplement so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. If it is still is using your old one, we will update it for well update it for nequired in the budget. No, you'll only want to include anything new into your application because we have already deducted for funds promised to previous grantees. If you are applying for these additional funds, you would have to get that information from your learners for reporting purposes. You will still submit your end of year 23-24 and then you will have a 24-25 outcome. In other words, you'll be setting largets for the est of 23-24 and for all of 24-25. Existing AELA grantees usually already have outcomes set through the end of the year, b			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or thure eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? How is it defined? is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? How are you going to be able to tell the difference between students funded from previous funding and new funding when we are setting traplets? After June 30, 2024, can we use the funds for AELA students for post secondary credentials/classes/programs? (thinking of the supplemental funding grant that ends 6:0024) We are currently operating under a Workforce Development Partnership, but would like to bad din a new Education Atlainment Partnership is that down? So my understanding is that the December approval may be changed when the state beaut overs. Thinking of starting new programming but then finding out that we aren't going to receive the funding. The budget has a drop down for defining types of funds. Will all of the funds we put on the budget be "State".	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. It is still is using your old one, we will update it for volume the payon of t			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or inmediting those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budge is pulling up the old code, but we have been easigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is t required? How is it defined? Is there a minimum grantee, should we include our current programming will be used to represent the programming will be used to go back and get the new items—language, zip code, income, for our current AELA students? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? After June 30, 2024, can we use the funds for AELA students for post secondary credentials/classes/programs? (thinking of the supplemental funding grant that ends 6/30/24) We are currently operating under a Workforce Development Partnership, but would like to add in a new Education Attainment Partnership, is that okay? After June 30, 2024, can we use the funds for AELA students for post secondary credentials/classes/programs? (thinking of the supplemental funding grant that ends 6/30/24) We are currently operating under a Workforce Development Partnership, is that okay? After June 30, 2024, can we use the funds for AELA students for post secondary credentials/classes/programs?	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goals are effect tutter funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. All funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldnt want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that any once the state of the partners of t			
10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023 10/30/2023	under administrative costs? Regarding the achievement rates set by the local programs, are there any incentives, rewards, or consequences for effectiveness or non-effectiveness or inmeding those outcomes (such as future funding or future eligibility to be a provider)? We have one primary EAP but several other partners, do we need a signed EAP for each? As a current grantee, are we advised to supplement our current programming or create new programming and new partnerships with this additional funding? Can these funds be used for rent and/or other general operating expenses? For current AELA recipients will we be writing for additional funds or for the total amount for all AELA programing? Our budget is pulling up the old code, but we have been assigned a new code. Is that an issue? Regarding Matching funds - is it required? Now is it defined? Is there a minimum? If we are a current grantee, should we include our current programming in the budget and narrative, or only the new programming we are proposing? Will we have to go back and get the new items—language, zip code, income, for our current AELA students? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? Will outcomes be measured over the 18 month period, or a 6 month and 12 month period? After June 30, 2024, can we use the funds for AELA students for post secondary credentials/classes/programs? (thinking of the supplemental funding grant that ends 6/30/24) We are currently operating under a Workforce Development Partnership, but would like to bad din a new Education Atlainment Partnership, its that okay? So my understanding is that the December approval may be changed when the state board votes. Thinking of starting new programming but then finding out that we aren't going to receive the funding	etc. At this time we have not tied any incentives, rewards or consequences to effectiveness. However, not meeting goal can effect future funding generally. We do not have a formal process for how that may happen right now. The main partners need to have documentation. Additional partners may be listed in the partner list. Alf funds that come from our grants are intended to supplement not supplant, so we wouldn't want to replace existing programming by paying for it with a different funding stream (this is what we are trying to avoid.) However, if you are referring to adding additional classes to the ones you are already doing, this is acceptable. They can, these fall under administrative costs and general operating expenses. Should you choose to apply for funds upfront instead of a budget revision, you would be applying for the amount that you want to add on, and we would add that to your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding. This means you should not include your current funding as a part of your ask because we have already deducted that amount from what is available for AELA. No, this will not be an issue. All community colleges were given a code that matches the other governmental institutions. It is still is using your old one, we will update it for volume the payon of t			

Timestamp	Enter the text of your question below:	Response:			
10/30/202	If we do get SLFRF money, would that money need to be 3 segregated from an accounting standpoint?	It can merge into the existing funds. Both funding streams will continue to have their existing grant codes and can still be categorized that way. For SLFRF the code is 9019 and the state funds is 3215.			