9/28/21

# **Operational Data Standard**

Streamlining transit operations through a common data language





#### **Operational Data Standard (ODS): Streamlined transit operations**

#### **ODS goals**



Create common standard for transit operational data

2 Improve efficiency in dispatching, planning, scheduling, driver shift management, and other back-end transit operations

**3** Reduce the burden of generating transit operational data for FTA reporting



## Agenda

#### What we hope to cover today

- Working Group status
  - What have we done so far?
  - What's happened since meeting 2?
- Discussion: Drivers/Rostering
  - Comments
  - Open Questions
- Next Steps



## **Working Group Status**

**Group work to-date** 

- Kicked off effort to release ODS v1 covering operational scheduling
- Scoped effort to include standard for drivers/rostering, yards/facilities, and runcutting/pull-in/pull-out
- Selected GTFS as framework for standardizing this data



## **Working Group Status**

Since last meeting

- Proposal for GTFS Driver/Rostering released for comment
- First draft was open for comments between September 13 and September 24, 2021
- Cal-ITP has been responding to comments and proposing changes to draft in response



#### **Drivers/Rostering**

- Drivers/Rostering is first of three planned additions for ODS
- Includes information about personnel to establish operator schedules in subsequent modules



#### **Feedback to First Draft**

- Don't back-add info to existing files
- "Drivers" too limited
- Desire for clarity around use cases for employee data in proposal
- Add employee name to file
- Change seniority to be more flexible



- How to represent employees?
- Support for creating a new file
- Opposition to adding employee info through reference to existing files



- How to re-designate drivers.txt?
  - "Roster," "Drivers," "Operators" all have limitations and/or overloaded meanings
- Suggested replacements:
  - personnel.txt
  - employees.txt



- Should employee names be in file?
- Support for including name of employee in GTFS extensions
- Requires decision(s) about preserving security of personally identifying information



- Do we limit employees to drivers?
- Support for broadening category to include other on-board employees



- How to distinguish between on-board employees?
- Proposals:
  - 1: String, agency decides how to identify individual employees
  - 2: Enum
    - a: binary (0, driver; 1, non-driver)
    - b: select from list (0, driver; 1, conductor; 2,...)



#### **Next Steps**

#### **GTFS Drivers/Rostering 2nd Draft**

- Comments fully incorporated based on today's meeting
- Second draft will be open for comment October 4



## **Next Steps**

#### **Meeting 4**

- Scheduled for **October 19**
- We will be focusing on adding yard/assets data
- On October 4, we will distribute a GTFS extension proposal for yards, maintenance facilities and other assets
- Initial Comment period October 4 through October 15



#### **ODS Working Group**

Cal-ITP is convening mobility data stakeholders to develop a prototype ODS v1

#### Join us!

Contact: <u>scott@compiler.la</u> Working Group FAQ: <u>Click Here</u> Next meeting: October 19, 2021







