Array.fromAsync

avoid double construction of this value

Michael Ficarra • May 2023

```
3. Let from AsyncClosure be a new Abstract Closure with no parameters that captures C, mapfn, and this Arg and performs the following steps when called:
     a. If mapfn is undefined, let mapping be false.
     b. Else,
           i. If IsCallable(mapfn) is false, throw a TypeError exception.
          ii. Let mapping be true.
     c. Let using AsyncIterator be? GetMethod (asyncItems, @@asyncIterator).
     d. If using AsyncIterator is undefined, then
           i. Let using SyncIterator be? GetMethod(asyncItems, @@iterator).
     e. If IsConstructor(C) is true, then
           i. Let A be ? Construct(C).
     f. Else,
           i. Let A be! ArrayCreate(0).
     g. Let iteratorRecord be undefined.
     h. If using AsyncIterator is not undefined, then
           i. Set iteratorRecord to? GetIterator(asyncItems, async, using AsyncIterator).
     i. Else if using SyncIterator is not undefined, then
           i. Set iteratorRecord to? CreateAsyncFromSyncIterator(GetIterator(asyncItems, sync, usingSyncIterator)).
      j. If iteratorRecord is not undefined, then
           i. Let k be 0.
          ii. Repeat,
                1. ...
     k. Else,
           i. NOTE: asyncItems is neither an AsyncIterable nor an Iterable so assume it is an array-like object.
          ii. Let arrayLike be! ToObject(asyncItems).
         iii. Let len be? LengthOfArrayLike(arrayLike).
          iv. If IsConstructor(C) is true, then
                1. Let A be ? Construct(C, \ll \mathbb{F}(len) \gg).
           v. Else,
                1. Let A be ? ArrayCreate(len).
         vi. Let k be 0.
         vii. Repeat, while k < len,
```

I found this as well, while writing test262 tests. Here's a code snippet showing how it's observable from JS:

```
class MyArray {
   constructor(...args) {
      console.log('called with', args);
   }
}
await Array.fromAsync.call(MyArray, {
   length: 2,
   0: 1,
   1: 2
});
```

This logs:

called with



Normative: avoid double construction of this value #41

```
☼ Open by michaelficarra tc39:main ← michaelficarra:patch-2 [□
                                   E Checks 0
Conversation 0
                 -O- Commits 1
                                                  Files changed 1
all commits ▼ File filter ▼ Conversations ▼ Jump to ▼
 V 🔹 8 🞟 spec.html 📮
            @@ -122,16 +122,16 @@ <h1><ins>Array.fromAsync ( _asyncItems_ [ , _mapfn_ [ , _thisArg_ ] ] )</ins></h

    Let _usingAsyncIterator_ be ? GetMethod(_asyncItems_, @@asyncIterator).

            1. If _usingAsyncIterator_ is *undefined*, then

    Let _usingSyncIterator_ be ? GetMethod(_asyncItems_, @@iterator).

            1. If IsConstructor(_C_) is *true*, then
           1. Let A be ? Construct( C ).
           1. Else,
           1. Let A be ! ArrayCreate(0).

    Let _iteratorRecord_ be *undefined*.

            1. If _usingAsyncIterator_ is not *undefined*, then

    Set _iteratorRecord_ to ? GetIterator(_asyncItems_, ~async~, _usingAsyncIterator_).

    Else if _usingSyncIterator_ is not *undefined*, then

    Set iteratorRecord to ? CreateAsyncFromSyncIterator(GetIterator(_asyncItems_, ~sync~, _usingSyncIterator_)).

            1. If iteratorRecord is not *undefined*, then
            1. If IsConstructor( C ) is *true*, then
            1. Let A be ? Construct( C ).
            1. Else.
            1. Let A be ! ArrayCreate(0).
            1. Let _k_ be 0.
            1. Repeat,
            1. If _k_ ≥ 2<sup>53</sup> - 1, then
```

one small issue: champion appears absent

withdraw conditional stage 3? ask for new champion(s)? both?