

Our current *Race to Zero* is about **lines that go down**, back to zero *Is that inspiring?*

Global greenhouse gas emissions and warming scenarios

- Each pathway comes with uncertainty, marked by the shading from low to high emissions under each scenario.
- Warming refers to the expected global temperature rise by 2100, relative to pre-industrial temperatures.

Annual global greenhouse gas emissions
in gigatonnes of carbon dioxide-equivalents

150 Gt

100 Gt

50 Gt

Greenhouse gas emissions
up to the present

0

1990 2000 2010 2020 2030 2040 2050 2060 2070 2080 2090 2100

No climate policies

4.1 – 4.8 °C

→ expected emissions in a baseline scenario if countries had not implemented climate reduction policies.

Current policies

2.7 – 3.1 °C

→ emissions with current climate policies in place result in warming of 2.7 to 3.1°C by 2100.


Pledges & targets (2.4 °C)

→ emissions if all countries delivered on reduction pledges result in warming of 2.4°C by 2100.

2°C pathways

1.5°C pathways

What if we *inverted* this and, instead, had a **race to the top**: growing to a net *positive* impact?



And, rather than basing everything on *one* crisis (climate)
What if we reimagined it for our **whole ecosystem** (our omni-crises)

Net Zero

=

net zero impact on our biosphere

Connected ecosystems are the basis of all life,
and are the foundations of our economy and society

A stable climate, nature and biosphere
will bring prosperity and quality of life for all

What if we could ...

RISE TO ZERO



ib1.org

LinkedIn


@IcebreakerOne

partner@ib1.org

To go far, we go together

IB1.ORG

Join us.



THE TIME
FOR THEORY
IS OVER