Function Notation



We've seen how functions like gt can be defined, and then applied to a number to create a green triangle. And once gt is defined, we can use it with many different numbers to create many different triangles - all without having to write out "solid", "green", etc.

But how does this function work?

When we apply a function to the inputs it needs, we substitute those inputs for the variables in the definition.

fun gt(size): triangle(size, "solid", "green") end

Function Application	Substituted Input	Final Product
gt(10)	<pre>triangle(10, "solid", "green")</pre>	
gt(20)	<pre>triangle(20, "solid", "green")</pre>	
gt(30)	<pre>triangle(30, "solid", "green")</pre>	
gt(40)	<pre>triangle(40, "solid", "green")</pre>	
gt(50)	<pre>triangle(50, "solid", "green")</pre>	

Math books use Function Notation to define functions, too, though most of the time their functions only work with numbers and certainly not images!

fun f(x): x + 8 end

Function Application	Substituted Input	Final Product
f(10)	10 + 8	18
f(20)	20 + 8	28
f(30)	30 + 8	38
f(40)	40 + 8	48
f(50)	50 + 8	58

- Turn to <u>Matching Examples and Definitions (Math)</u> and <u>Function Notation - Substitution</u>.
- Look at each table and highlight what is changing from the first row to the following rows.
- Then, match each table to the function that defines it.



You can think of f(3) as a question.

- What question is it asking you to evaluate?
- What is another way you can ask it?

If f(x) = x - 5, what is the value of f(7), and why?



- How could we determine that f(7) = 2 from looking at the graph, if we hadn't started with the function definition?
- What is the value of f (3)?



We don't need to know a function definition in order to use function notation to describe a value with an expression! Let's take a look at the scatterplot below.



- From looking at the graph, what is the value of f (-2)?
- What is the value of f (1)?
- What is the value of f (3)?
- What other values on this graph could we describe using function notation?



This works for even more complex functions, which we haven't seen yet!

When evaluating an expression for a piecewise function, points on the graph marked with hollow circles are boundary points, but not part of the solution set, so we ignore them and focus on the solid points. For example, on the graph below, when evaluating f(2), we ignore the hollow point at (2, 4) and focus on the solid point at (2, 3), so f(2) = 3.



Complete <u>Function Notation - Graphs</u>.

If you're ready to engage with piecewise functions, try <u>Function</u> <u>Notation - Piecewise Graphs</u>.



Can you think of any values that it would be difficult to determine from one of these graphs?

Function Notation (Tables)

Let's take a look at a table of input-output pairs that satisfy the function f(x)=x-5, and think about how could we have determined the value of f(7) from looking at the table.

x	-10	-5	5	7	13
У	-15	-10	0	2	8

Function Notation (Tables)

Complete <u>Function Notation - Tables</u>

Function Notation (Tables)



What did you Notice?

What did you Wonder?

A few of the tables did not represent functions. Which ones?

How did the fact that those tables weren't functions impact our ability to describe a value using function notation?