# Learning Based Error Mitigation for VQE





## Introduction

### **Motivation**

- Learning based error mitigation (LBEM)
  - Promising new method of error mitigation
  - Doesn't require prior knowledge of noise models
  - Training circuits can be efficiently simulated

- Variational quantum eigensolver (VQE) for molecule ground state calculation
  - Important application of near-term quantum computers
  - Noise limits its use

#### $\Rightarrow$ Let's try LBEM on VQE!

### Theory of Learning Based Error Mitigation

### **Quantum Error Mitigation**

- Current quantum hardware is noisy
- Quantum error correction
  - Idea: Use entanglement to preserve quantum state
  - $\circ \quad \text{Large overhead} \rightarrow \text{infeasible!}$
- Quantum error mitigation
  - Idea: noisy measurement results → approximate of accurate result
  - Ex) Zero-noise extrapolation:
  - Expectation values @ different noise levels
    - $\rightarrow$  extrapolate to zero noise



#### Zero noise extrapolation diagram

Kandala, A., Temme, K., Córcoles, A.D. *et al.* Error mitigation extends the computational reach of a noisy quantum processor. *Nature* 567, 491–495 (2019)

### Learning Based Error Mitigation (LBEM) [1]

- Model:  $com^{EM}(R, I) = \sum q(P)com(R, P)$ 
  - Error mitigated expectation value of circuit **R**:  $com^{EM}(R, I)$
  - Noisy expectation value circuit **R modified by P**: com(R, P)
  - Quasi-probability: q(P)
  - Modify by P: insert Pauli operators **P** before & after single qubit gates in **R**
- $\Rightarrow$  Learn q(P) by minimizing empirical error of training set
  - $\circ \quad \mbox{Training set: clifford gates} \rightarrow \mbox{efficient to classically simulate, noise-model independent}$



[1] Strikis, Armands, et al. "Learning-based quantum error mitigation." PRX Quantum 2.4 (2021): 040330.

#### [LBEM Diagram]



## **Experiment Design**

### **Experiment Flow**

- Training Session
  - Create Ansatz & Hamiltonian
  - Generate training/error-mitigated circuits
    - Generate training circuits by substituting the *R* gates in Ansatz with single-qubit Clifford gates
    - Generate error-mitigated circuits by inserting a Pauli gate before each R gate
    - For Ansatz with more than one R gate, truncate the number of circuits by using only a portion of Clifford group and Pauli words
  - Calculate expectation values
    - Append measurement circuits to training/error-mitigated circuits with respect to the given Hamiltonian
    - Calculate the expectation value of Hamiltonian with training circuits on a simulator
    - Calculate the expectation value of Hamiltonian with error-mitigated circuits on a noisy backend
  - Optimize q(P) to minimize the prediction error
- Testing Session
  - Calculate the expectation value with optimized q(P)

## **Experiment Results**

### 1. LBEM - simple circuit

• Objective: Determine expectation value of a given pauli sum.



 $\Rightarrow$  Error is mitigated for both simulated noise models and real hardware

### 2. LBEM - A Gate circuit

- Objective: Try for a more complex circuit with 2 parametrized gates
- Ansatz:
  - 2 parameterized gates
  - 4<sup>2</sup> Pauli gates
  - 24<sup>2</sup> Clifford gates

 $q_0$  - X -  $R_Y$   $R_Z$   $R_Z$   $R_Z$   $R_Z$   $R_Z$   $R_Z$   $R_Z$   $R_Z$   $R_Z$   $R_Z$ 

Results:



⇒ Error is mitigated for both simulated noise models and real hardware (hardware: train set truncate to 50 training circuits)

```
Using LBEM for a VQE
```



### 2. LBEM for $H_2$ simulation (PES curve)



#### Backend: FakeVigo

The exact energy is calculated using NumpyEigenSolver

The error mitigated value is closer to the exact energy value than the noisy one.

### 3. Effects of Training Set Truncation - LiH

- Objective: calculate LiH energy at certain parameters
- Particle preserving ansatz:
  - 16 parameterized gates
  - 4<sup>16</sup> Pauli gates
  - 24<sup>16</sup> Clifford gates



- Problem: # parameterized gates  $\uparrow \rightarrow$  # training circuits, paulis increase **exponentially**
- ⇒ Randomly truncate training circuits & paulis (# training circuits = 3 \* # paulis, following [1])

[1] Strikis, Armands, et al. "Learning-based quantum error mitigation." PRX Quantum 2.4 (2021): 040330.

### 3. Effects of Training Set Truncation - LiH

- Classical simulation LBEM for |P| = 2, 5, 10, 20, 50
- Depolarizing error model used



 $\Rightarrow$  High |P| generally mitigated more error

### **Results Summary**

- 1.  $H_2$  Simulation with simple ansatz
  - a. Error mitigated for depolarizing model, FakeVigo model, and ibm\_perth hardware

- 2.  $H_2$  Simulation with A gate ansatz
  - a. Error mitigated for FakeVigo model
  - b. Simulated noisy VQE with error mitigation gave accurate results

- 3. Effects of Training Set Truncation LiH
  - a. Number of training circuits increase exponentially  $\rightarrow$  truncate
  - b. Larger number of training circuits give better mitigation results

## Discussion

### **Future Work**

- Apply effective truncation methods for feasible training
  - As the problem size grows, the size of possible combinations of single-qubit Clifford gates and Pauli gates to be used in the circuit grows exponentially
  - Simulating LBEM using noise models might provide insights into dominant Pauli words in q(P), which, in turn, can be selected for efficient training on real QPU
- Compare LBEM to other mitigation methods
  - Error mitigation methods such as Zero Noise Extrapolation, Clifford Data Regression, Dynamic Decoupling, etc. have been proposed
  - Analytical comparison to such methods could reveal the dominant factor of errors on existing quantum devices
- Combine LBEM with other mitigation methods
  - Different mitigation methods are proposed to combat different types of noises
  - Careful combination of error mitigation methods can lead to efficiency and better performance