

EIP-3607

Reject transactions from senders with deployed code

Motivation

- Ethereum address are 20 bytes (160 bits)
 - Finding a collision is unlikely (2^{80} operations) but not impossible
 - Collision between EOA and Contract could be found
 - Would allow an attacker to drain a contract
-
- Can be prevented by disallowing transactions to be send from addresses with deployed contract code



Implementation in geth

```
1 diff --git a/core/state_transition.go b/core/state_transition.go
2 index 18777d8d4..3b25155c6 100644
3 --- a/core/state_transition.go
4 +++ b/core/state_transition.go
5 @@ -219,6 +219,11 @@ func (st *StateTransition) preCheck() error {
6         st.msg.From().Hex(), msgNonce, stNonce)
7     }
8 }
9 + // Make sure the sender is an EOA
10 + if codeHash := st.state.GetCodeHash(st.msg.From()); codeHash != emptyCodeHash {
11 +     return fmt.Errorf("%w: address %v, codehash: %s", ErrSenderNoEOA,
12 +         st.msg.From().Hex(), codeHash)
13 + }
14 // Make sure that transaction feeCap is greater than the baseFee (post london)
15 if st.evm.ChainConfig().IsLondon(st.evm.Context.BlockNumber) {
16     if l := st.feeCap.BitLen(); l > 256 {
```

Testing

- Contracts can be deployed on EOA's in the genesis
- Testing did rely heavily on EOA's also containing code
- Many tests had to be rewritten
- EIP-3607 couldn't be merged into Geth without testing fixed
- An inverse test (testing that sending from contracts fails) could not be merged in before the EIP was merged in Geth
- Update to the yellowpaper was merged to solidify the rule



Gotcha's

- Old version of gnosis safe uses `eth_call` to construct the transaction as if it originated from the contract.
- Thus EIP-3607 is not in place if the transaction is not real (`eth_call`, `estimate_gas`,...)
- EIP-3607 is implemented on the `CodeHash` not on `CodeSize`, etc.
- In Geth `GetCodeHash` could return `emptyCodeHash` or `common.Hash{}`
- In both cases we accept the transaction

