

Dor Laor, Avi Kivity Cloudius Systems





Glauber Costa KVM, Containers, Xen

Nadav Har'EL, Nested KVM





Pekka Enberg, kvm, jvm, slab





Avi Kivity KVM originator

Dor Laor, Former kvm project mngr


hch

Or Cohen









Guy Zana



The story so far

In the beginning there was hardware ... and then they added an application ... and then they added an operating system ... and then they added a hypervisor ... and then they added managed runtime Notice the pattern?

Typical Cloud Stack

Your App

Application Server

JVM


Operating System

Hypervisor


Hardware

Our software stack Congealed into existence.





Too Many Layers, Too Little Value





Virtualization

Virtualization 1.0





Transformed the enterprise from physical2virtual



Virtualization 2.0




Compute node

Virtualization 2.0, Massive Scale



Virtualization 2.0, Dev/Ops



Virtualization 2.0, agility!

Deployments at Amazon.com

11.6

Seconds mean time between deployments (weekday)

1,079

Max number of deployments in a single hour


10,000

Mean number of hosts simultaneously receiving a deployment

30,000

Max number of hosts simultaneously receiving a deployment

Rolling upgrade within seconds and a fall back option



Virtualization 2.0

Architecture




Figure 1. Example cloud-based deployment of an application onto a two-tier Web server architectural pattern.

vServer OS 1.0

- No Hardware
- No Users
- No app(S)

• Yes Complexity




less is more.

Mission statement


Be the best OS powering virtual machines in the cloud



The new Cloud Stack - OS^v




The new Cloud Stack - OS^v



Van Jacobson == TCP/IP




Common kernel network stack



Van Jacobson == TCP/IP




Net Channel design:




Van Jacobson == TCP/IP






Dynamic heap, sharing is good



Milestones



Status

• Runs:

- Java, C, JRuby, Scala, Groovy, Clojure, JavaScript
- Outperforms Linux:
 - SpecJVM, MemCacheD, Cassandra, TCP/IP
- 400% better w/ scheduler micro-benchmark
- < 1sec boot time</p>
- ZFS filesystem
- Huge pages from the very beginning

Open Source

These days, credibility == open source

- Looking for cooperation:
 - Kernel-level developers
 - Management stack
 - Dev/ops workflow
- BSD-style license




Fort no on Cittub

Architecture ports

• 64-bit x86


- KVM running like a bat out of hell
- Xen HVM running (still slow :-()
- Xen PV in progress
- VMware planned in 2 months
- 64-bit ARM planned
- Others patches welcome



Integrating the JVM into the kernel


Dynamic Heap Memory


TCP in the JVM + App context



Fast inter thread wakeup


Integrating the JVM into the kernel





Technical deep dive

- C++
- Idle time polling
- Performance and tracing
- Virtio-app



C++

```
int after(something* p)
int before(struct something *p)
{
                                        if (!p)
    int r;
                                            return - ENOENT;
                                        WITH LOCK(p->lock) {
    r = -ENOENT;
                                            if (!p->y)
    if (!p)
                                                return -EINVAL;
        goto out2;
                                            WITH LOCK(p->y->lock)
    mutex lock(&p->lock);
                                                return ++p->v->n;
    r = -EINVAL;
                                        }
    if (!p->y)
        goto out1;
    mutex_lock(&p->y->lock);
    r = ++p->y->n;
    mutex unlock(&p->y->lock);
out1:
    mutex unlock(&p->lock);
out2:
    return r;
```

Idle-time polling

- Going idle is much more expensive on virtual machines
- So are inter-processor interrupts IPIs
- Combine the two:
 - Before going idle, **announce** it via shared memory
 - **Delay** going idle
 - In the meanwhile, poll for wakeup requests from other processors
- Result: wakeups are faster, both for the processor waking, and for the wakee

Performance and tracing

```
TRACEPOINT(trace_mutex_lock, "%p", mutex *);
TRACEPOINT(trace_mutex_lock_wait, "%p", mutex *);
```

```
// ...
```


```
void mutex::lock()
{
```

```
trace_mutex_lock(this);
```

[/]\$ perf stat	mutex lock mute	x lock wait sche	d switch
mutex lock n	nutex lock wait	sched switch	
- 11	0	- 2	
885	0	181	
154	Θ	152	
154	Θ	154	
404	0	190	
222	0	157	
150	Θ	152	


Virtio-app || Data plane

- For specialized applications, bypass the I/O stack completely
- Application consumes data from virtio rings





OS^v at the cutting edge front



OS^v at the cutting edge front

- Transactional Memory (lock elision) Better architecture match with higher transaction/sec and less contention
- Perfect match with NVRam abundance
 In the near future we'll see NVRam reaches
 mainstream adoption. The importance of traditional
 filesystems will decrease, applications will manage their
 IO directly using NVRam

OS that doesn't get in the way

NO Tuning NO State NO Patching X4 VMs per sys admin ratio

http://www.computerworld.com.au/article/352635/there_best_practice_server_system_administrator_ratio_/


Management

								1					
6° °	3 🗋 192.16	58.122.89:80	80/upload							● 🗘 🖒 (00	~	≡
	OSv	Home	Deploy	Manage	Monitor				About	Contact			

OSv application deployment


Deploy your Java applications into OSv by following these steps:


- Upload your application zip file (see example project).
- Activate the uploaded application by starting it.





Virtualization 2.0: Stateless servers





Let's Build A COMMUNITY



Porting a JVM application to OS^V

1. Done^{*}

* well, unless the application fork()s

Porting a C application to OS^V

- 1. Must be a single-process application
- 2. May not fork() or exec()
- 3. Need to rebuild as a shared object (.so)
- 4. Other API limitations apply

Resources



http://osv.io



https://github.com/cloudius-systems/osv



@CloudiusSystems



osv-dev@googlegroups.com



Cloudius Systems, OS Comparison

Feature/Property	OS ^v	Traditional OS
Good for:	Machete: Cloud/Virtualization	Swiss knife: anything goes
Typical workload	Single app * VMs	Multiple apps/users, utilities, anything
kernel vs app	Cooperation	distrust
API, compatibility	JVM, POSIX	Any, but versions/releases
# Config files	0	1000
Tuning	Auto	Manual, requires certifications
Upgrade/state	Stateless, just boots	Complex, needs snapshots, hope
JVM support	Tailored GC/STW solution	Yet another app
Lines of code	Few	Gazillion
License	BSD	GPL / proprietary