Philosophy Jeopardy!

Categories

Metaphysics	Epistemology	Ethics	Logic	Name the Philosopher	Potpourri
100	100	100	100	100	100
200	200	200	200	200	200
300	300	300	300	300	300
400	400	400	400	400	400
500	500	500	500	500	500
600	600	600	600	600	600

According to Plato, a physical object's essence comes from this type of perfect and unchanging non-physical entity.

Form (*μορφή*)

The theory of modal realism, famously defended by Lewis, asserts the real, irreducible existence of these things.

Possible worlds

This ontological position asserts that everything in existence has the same origin and/or is composed of a single type of substance.

Monism

This view in the philosophy of space, associated with Leibniz, asserts that space consists of the relations between material bodies and possesses no substance itself.

Relationalism

In the philosophy of time, theories of this type assert that there does not exist an objective or metaphysically privileged present.

B-theories

According to a Meinongian ontology, abstract objects are real entities that lack the property of existence, but possess this property.

Being (sosein)

Descartes feared the possibility that his entire lived experience was an illusion created by this malicious entity.

Evil demon

Hume argued that this sort of reasoning is problematic because it relies on the unjustified assumption that the future will resemble the past.

Induction

As opposed to analytic propositions, which are true by virtue of the meanings of their content, these sorts of propositions are true by virtue of how their content relates to the world.

Synthetic propositions

These sorts of cases, named for the philosopher who proposed them, represent instances in which a justified true belief does not amount to knowledge.

Gettier cases



The statement, "Here is one hand, and here is another," is the prototypical example of this sort of fact, supposedly so self-evident that no viable theory can deny it.

Moorean fact



According to Goodman, the deepest problem of scientific epistemology is the lack of an *a priori* distinction between accidental hypotheses and hypotheses of this other sort.

Lawlike hypotheses

Blue



Observed Before 2050

Not Observed Before 2050

Ethics 100

Per this theory of normative ethics, an action is moral insofar as it promotes the greatest good for the greatest number of people.



Utilitarianism

Ethics 200

In reference to Aristotle's ethics, this term refers to the intermediate virtuous state between two vices.



Golden mean ($\mu \varepsilon \sigma \delta \tau \eta \varsigma$)

Ethics 300

Emotivism, universal prescriptivism, and quasi-realism all belong to this family of metaethical views because they do not take moral propositions to express truth-apt beliefs.



Non-cognitivism

Ethics 400

Per this view in moral psychology, a moral judgment is necessarily followed by a moral motivation.



Motivational internalism

Ethics 500

If a categorical imperative must be followed under any circumstance, then this type of imperative need only be followed in some given circumstance.



Hypothetical imperative
Ethics 600

This metaethical position, associated with Sturgeon and Brink (among others), asserts that objective mind-independent moral facts are natural properties that supervene on but do not reduce to other natural properties.



Cornell realism

This term applies to an argument that is valid and whose premises are true.



Sound

This quantifier can be read as "there exists a..." "there is at least one..." or "there is some..."



Existential quantifier (\exists)

The theorems $\neg (P \lor Q) \leftrightarrow (\neg P) \land (\neg Q)$ and $\neg (P \land Q) \leftrightarrow (\neg P) \lor (\neg Q)$ are collectively known by this name.

DeMorgan's laws



According to this principle of classical logic, a contradiction entails anything and everything.

Principle of explosion

Construct a proof for the argument: $P \land \neg P \therefore Q$

1	$P \land \neg P$	
2	Р	∧E 1
3	$P \lor Q$	VI 2
4	$\neg P$	∧E 1
5	Q	DS 3, 4

Denying the Law of Excluded Middle results in these sorts of nonclassical logics.



Non-bivalent logics

In Kripke's semantics for modal logic, a frame $\langle W, R \rangle$ where W is a set representing a world and R is a binary relation on W, the relation R is known as this.



Accessibility relation

This Ancient Greek philosopher supposedly claimed to be the wisest man in Athens because he was the only one who knew that he knew nothing.

Socrates



This Prussian philosopher, a central thinker of the Enlightenment, is best known for founding the German Idealist tradition and for his system of deontological ethics.

Immanuel Kant



This American political philosopher (who taught at Cornell in the 1950's) argued that we should organize our society as though we sit behind a "veil of ignorance" about our personal circumstances.

John Rawls



This Irish philosopher, a pioneer of contemporary virtue ethics and prolific interpreter of Wittgenstein, famously argued that intention is an aspect of action rather than something that precedes it.

G. E. M. Anscombe



This American philosopher of mind (who briefly taught at Cornell in the 1970's) is best known for his arguments against nonreductive physicalism and for defending a supervenience view of mental properties.

Jaegwon Kim



This American philosopher/logician created the first axiomatic systems of quantified modal logic and introduced the \Box operator for necessity.

Ruth Barcan Marcus



These ghoulish subjects of a thought experiment associated with Chalmers look and behave exactly like typical people despite lacking conscious experience.



Philosophical zombies

This influential argument in the philosophy of religion is based on the premises that God is a being greater than which none can be conceived, and that such a being necessarily exists.



The ontological argument

This view in the philosophy of mathematics asserts that mathematical truths are reducible to logical truths.

Logicism

*54.43. $\vdash :. \alpha, \beta \in 1 . \supset : \alpha \cap \beta = \Lambda . \equiv . \alpha \cup \beta \in 2$ Dem. $\vdash . *54.26 . \supset \vdash :. \alpha = \iota^{t}x . \beta = \iota^{t}y . \supset : \alpha \cup \beta \in 2 . \equiv . x \neq y .$ $[*51.231] \qquad \equiv . \iota^{t}x \cap \iota^{t}y = \Lambda .$ $[*13.12] \qquad \equiv . \alpha \cap \beta = \Lambda \qquad (1)$ $\vdash . (1) . *11.11.35 . \bigcirc$ $\vdash :. (\exists x, y) . \alpha = \iota^{t}x . \beta = \iota^{t}y . \bigcirc : \alpha \cup \beta \in 2 . \equiv . \alpha \cap \beta = \Lambda \qquad (2)$ $\vdash . (2) . *11.54 . *52.1 . \supset \vdash . Prop$ From this proposition it will follow, when arithmetical addition has been

From this proposition it will follow, when arithmetical addition has been defined, that 1 + 1 = 2.

These sorts of terms, essential to Kripke's theory of naming, identify the same object in all possible worlds.



Rigid designators

This account of the metaphysics of mind, proposed by Davidson, asserts that mental events are token-identical to physical events, but unlike physical events, are not governed by laws.



Anomalous monism
Potpourri 600

This view in the philosophy of action asserts that free will is not possible, regardless of whether determinism or indeterminism is true.

Potpourri 600

Hard incompatibilism



Final Jeopardy

Category:

The Philosophical Review

^{тне} Philosophical Review

VOLUME 121, NUMBER 1, JANUARY 2012

Published for the Sage School of Philosophy at Cornell University by Duke University Press

Final Jeopardy

In this landmark paper, published by the *Review* in 1951, Quine attacked two positions essential to logical positivism: the analytic/synthetic distinction and the reduction of semantics to logical construction.

Final Jeopardy

"Two Dogmas of Empiricism"