



Request for Proposals

FY 2024 and FY 2025 Continuum of Care Competition

Date: August 8, 2024

The **St. Louis County Continuum of Care** announces the availability of grants to prevent and end homelessness through the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development's "Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for the Fiscal Year 2024 and 2025 Continuum of Care Competition." **The CoC is open to and will accept and consider proposals from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program Grants.**

NEW PROJECTS

- Only these basic types of new projects are eligible: Permanent Supportive Housing for chronically homeless and other specific high-risk populations, Rapid Rehousing, Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing, Coordinated Entry Expansion, and HMIS Expansion. The NOFO provides details on each of these.
- Applications that serve victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking will also be considered. These projects must be Rapid Rehousing, Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing, or Coordinated Entry.

All interested organizations are urged to contact the CoC and read the NOFO in its entirety before applying. Select [this link](#) to download the FY 2024 FY 2025 NOFO. Select [this link](#) to access the HUD CoC Competition page.

New projects must create a 'New Project Application' in accordance with the attached instructions AND complete an application in HUD's e-snaps electronic grants systems **by 9/20/24.**

RENEWAL PROJECTS

Renewal projects must create a 'Renewal Application' in accordance with the attached instructions AND complete their e-snaps renewal **application by 9/20/24.**

Two informational meetings will occur. The first on Tuesday, August 14th at 11 am. The second on Monday, August 19th at 11 am. The information to be presented at both meetings will be the same. Use the following link to connect to the meeting <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/81707063038?pwd=bTollG0q29albIUQvvB1tedlknhi1f.1>. All Project applications are **DUE 9/20/24**. The CoC Executive Committee will notify all applicants of acceptance, ranking or rejection, or modification of their projects **by 10/2/24.**

Please understand that HUD has specific requirements for applicants, including:

- The Rank & Review Committee **MUST** carefully review the qualifications of the applicant and the proposed project to assure that they meet HUD's threshold requirements.
- Projects that (1) are eligible; and (2) that meet HUD's threshold requirements; and (3) that demonstrate need; and (4) that demonstrate organizational capacity, will be accepted and ranked in priority order using an objective process. **The CoC evaluation metrics for new and renewal projects are explained in the attached project application packets.**
- Individuals, for-profit organizations, and unincorporated entities are not eligible to apply.
- Questions regarding the application process can be sent to ccurtner@misi.org

Project Ranking Criteria

The St. Louis County CoC (MO-500) FY 2024-FY 2025 Ranking Tools are modeled after the HUD CoC Program Project Rating and Ranking Tool. Recommended by the CoC Rank and Review Committee and approved by the Executive Committee, the tools use objective, performance-based scoring criteria approved by the CoC to determine the extent to which project outcomes address federal and local policy priorities.

HUD has nine national priorities:

- Ending homelessness for all persons
- Housing First
- Reducing unsheltered homelessness
- Improving system performance
- Partnering with housing, health and service agencies
- Racial equity
- Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+
- Engaging persons with lived experience
- Building an Effective Workforce
- Increasing Affordable Housing Supply

The CoC set its priorities based on an analysis of deduplicated HMIS data for persons in shelter, encountered by outreach and on the CoC prioritization list combined with deidentified data from the victim service provider. The data shows 47.5% of persons in need of housing are households with adults and children, 32.4% are youth and 12.9% are chronically homeless. Conversely, 63.6% of available housing in the CoC is for households with children, 11.06% is for youth, 24% for chronically homeless and 17% for veterans.

Threshold Criteria

The CoC requires all applicants to meet the following criteria for their projects to be selected and ranked:

- The applicant has the demonstrated capacity to implement the project.
- The applicant is in good standing with HUD and other governmental and regulatory agencies.
- The projects and activities proposed are eligible for funding under the HEARTH Act and the FY2024 - FY2025 NOFO.
- The proposed project commits to full participation in Coordinated Entry and HMIS (or a comparable database).
- The proposed project commits to adhering to Housing First principles and practices.

Renewal Project Rating Breakdown

- System Performance Measures (63 points, 51% of total score)
 - Length of Stay (15 points)
 - Exits to PH (25 points)
 - New or Increased Income Leavers and Stayers (6 points)
 - New or Increased Earned Income Leavers and Stayers (12 points)
 - Returns to Homelessness (5 points)
- High Need Populations (15 points, 12% of total score)
- Project Effectiveness (25 points, 22% of total score)
 - Expenditure of funds (5 points)
 - Average Cost for Successful Outcome (5 points)
 - Use of Coordinated Entry (5 points)
 - Housing First (10 points)
 - Plan to Rapidly Connect to Employment and Housing (6 points)
- Local Priority for youth focused housing (10 points, 8% of total score)
- Equity Factors (15 points, 12% of total score)

Of the 129 total points, 103 points are based on objective, quantifiable data (80%). New

Project Rating Breakdown

- System Performance Measures (25 points, 25% of total score)
 - Lengths of Time Homeless (5 points)
 - Exits to PH (5 points)
 - New or Increased Income and Earned Income (5 points)
 - Mainstream Benefits/Other Income (5 points)
 - Returns to Homelessness (5 points)
- High Need Populations (17 points, 17% of total score)
 - Vulnerabilities at project entry (9 points)
 - Safety Planning for persons fleeing domestic violence (3 points)
 - Connecting survivors with community supports (5 points)
- Project Effectiveness (13 points, 13% of total score)
 - Experience utilizing funds (3 points)
 - Effective Budgeting (10 points)
- Equity Factors (20 points, 10% of total score)
 - Project plan to address barriers faced by BIPOC individuals (10 points)

Of the 89 total points, 62 points are based on objective, quantifiable data (62%).

Renewal Application **DUE 9/20/2024**

FY 2024 - FY 2025 COC RENEWAL PROJECT APPLICATION:

This application includes basic information for applicants. All agencies should review HUD's FY 2024-FY 2025 Notice of Funding Opportunity, which contains comprehensive details about the CoC Competition. In addition, agencies should review the CoC scoring criteria included in this application packet. Agencies are expected to be familiar with all information included in this funding announcement. Applicants are applying for St. Louis County Continuum of Care funding.



Saint Louis County
Continuum of Care

https://www.hud.gov/sites/dfiles/CPD/documents/FY2024_FY2025_CoC_and_YHDP_NOFO_FR-6800-N-25.pdf (click related documents for the Full Announcement)

AGENCY NAME:	Indicate Renewal Agency
PROJECT NAME:	Indicate Renewal Project
CONTACT PERSON:	
EMAIL:	
TELEPHONE:	
PROGRAM LOCATION (address of housing/service):	
Today's Date:	

BEFORE STARTING THIS APPLICATION, REVIEW AND COMPLETE SECTION 1, THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS, WHICH MUST BE SATISFIED BY ALL RENEWAL APPLICANTS.

*All questions must be sent in writing to ccurtner@msi.org
Completed applications should be sent to ccurtner@msi.org*

Renewal Application

DUE 9/20/2024

SECTION 1: THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS – Agencies must include the following attachments

Categories	Required Response or Attachment:	
Agency's Non-profit status	Attachment	Agency should Attach Evidence of 501 © (3) status
Agrees to participate in Coordinated Entry System (or alternative system for DV)	Response	The project will receive _____% of its referrals from a local Coordinated Entry System (CES) or from a community managed prioritization list.
Fiscal Documentation	Attachment	Agency MUST attach Audited Financial Statement for the last completed fiscal year
Evidence of HMIS (or comparable database) participation	Attachment	Agency MUST attach print out of CoC Project APR for its latest completed program year.
Outstanding HUD Audit Finding(s) for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory	Attachment	If applicable, agency must attach copy of outstanding HUD audit
HUD Monitoring within last (2) years	Attachment	If the project has received a HUD audit within the past (2) years, a copy of the "Audit Notification Letter" from HUD or *HUD Monitoring Letter +any related correspondence
Board Representation /Leadership role	Response	Applicant has a person with lived experience involved in its policy-making entity _____Yes _____No
eSNAPS Project Application	Attachment	Required components of eSNAPS application MUST be attached to application
Questions regarding project design and operations	Attachment	Narrative responses to proposal questions 1-4 MUST be completed using the online form

Send completed application to ccourtner@misi.org

Renewal Application

DUE 9/20/2024

PROJECT DETAILS: Use the table below to determine the application components relevant to your pending renewal. Please export from eSNAPS the following sections of your renewal application in PDF format. Attach the export to this application.

- 3A. Project Detail
- 3B. Description
- 6A. Funding Request
- 6D. Match
- 6D. Match --> Sources of Match Detail
- 6E. Summary Budget
- 7A. Attachment(s)
- 7A. Attachment(s) --> Attachment Details
- 7A. In-Kind Match MOU Attachment
- 7A. In-Kind Match MOU Attachment --> Attachment Details
- 7B. Certification
- 8B Summary

Each project applicant **MUST** answer the following narrative questions:

- **How will your project assist clients in rapidly securing and maintaining affordable, safe housing that meets their needs ?**
- **How will your project assist clients in obtaining employment or increasing income from employment?**
- **What steps will your project take to identify and overcome barriers to participation faced by persons of color and other minorities who are participants in your program?**
- **How will your program incorporate feedback for persons with lived experience into your policies and procedures?**

To complete the Project Questionnaire, applicants should click the link below to access the fillable form. Answer each narrative question and the six yes/no questions. When the form is finished click complete. ***By submitting this form, the agency is indicating its acceptance/non acceptance of the material specified in the document.*** A copy of your answers will be emailed to you for your records. Also, a copy will be included in the renewal packet given to the Rank and Review Committee.

[PROJECT QUESTIONS \(CRL+CLICK HERE\)](#)

**Saint Louis County Continuum of Care RENEWAL
Projects Scoring Tool 2024 – FY 2025 Threshold
Questions (Pass/Fail)**

Category	Required Attachment	Received Yes/No
Evidence of Agency's Non-Profit Status	501(c)3 Letter	
Agreement to Participate in Coordinated Entry	CoC Coordinated Entry Letter	
Match	Letter of Intent from Agency	
Most Recent Audit	Audited Financial Statement from last completed fiscal year	
Evidence of HMIS Participation (or Comparable Database)	CoC APR for prior program year	
Outstanding HUD Audit Findings	Audit Outcome Document	
HUD Monitoring Finding (within the last 2 years)	Documentation of Corrective Action Plan from HUD and related correspondence	
DHS Monitoring Finding (within the 2 years)	Documentation of Corrective Action Plan from HUD and related correspondence	

Local Priority Questions

The following information is collected from the applicant's RFP response. Scoring is based on applicant answers describing their proposed program

Category	Scoring Rubric	Source	
Service Priority	Permanent Housing = 3	RFP Response	
	Rapid Re-housing = 3		
	Transitional Housing = 2		
Attendance at CoC General Meeting, CoC Committee, and/or Weekly Match	2=yes	CoC Attendance Records	
	0=no		
Narrative Questions	Scoring Rubric	Maximum Score	Assigned Score
Plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe affordable, accessible and acceptable to their needs.	Plan clearly defines path to client stability and expected outcomes = 3	3	
	Plan shows basic understanding of client stability and expected outcomes = 2		
	Plan is vague and poorly structured, or information is missing = 0		
Plan to assist clients to obtain or increase employment.	Plan clearly defines path to assist clients to obtain or increase employment= 3	3	
	Plan shows basic understanding of services needed to assist clients to obtain or increase employment = 2		
	Plan is vague and poorly structured or information is missing = 0		
Plan to incorporate Lived Experience Feedback	Plan clearly defines an established process for soliciting lived experience feedback and cites specific examples of change in program operations based on feedback = 10 points	10	
	Response shows basic understanding of need for feedback and openness to incorporate change = 5 points		
	Response indicates agency has not attempted to gather feedback or implement changes based on participant input = 0 points		
Plan to ensure racial equity in the delivery of services, including steps the program will take to identify and overcome barriers to participation faced by persons of color	Plan clearly defines how program will ensure all persons have equal access to services = 15	15	
	Plan shows basic understanding of potential barriers facing persons of color without specific plan to overcome barriers. =7		
	Plan is vague and poorly structured or information is missing = 0		

12. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE PROVIDER: Describes safety planning process with clients.	Proposal clearly defines how survivors are assisted in safety planning = 15	15	
	Proposal shows basic understanding of safety planning process= 7		
	Proposal gives vague description of safety planning = 1		
13. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE PROVIDER: Proposal clearly describes how clients are connected to community resources available to help them	Proposal clearly defines how survivors are educated about how to connect to the community resources available to help them = 15	15	
	Proposal gives general description of how survivors connect with community resources=7		
	Proposal is vague about how survivors connect with community resources = 1		

Performance Metrics

The Continuum of Care will use data from HMIS, SAGE or a comparable database to evaluate a program's prior performance based on the criteria listed below:

Project serves individuals with low or no income		50% or more of adults had no income or very low income when entering project = 5 points, less than 50% = 0 points	5	
Project serves individuals with Mental Illness		50% or more of adults had mental illness when entering project = 5 points, less than 50% = 0 points	5	
Project serves individuals with Alcohol or Drug Abuse		50% or more of adults had alcohol or substance abuse when entering project = 5 points, less than 50% = 0 points	5	
PH	Length of Stay	Days spent between project entry and residential move-in is less than 30 days = 15 points; 31-60 = 12 points; 61-180 days = 9 points; 181-365 = 6 points; 366-730 =3 points	15	
RRH	Length of Stay	Days spent between project entry and residential move-in is less than 30 days = 15 points; 31-60 = 12 points; 61-180 days = 9 points; 181-365 = 6 points; 366-730 =6 points	15	
TH	Length of Stay	Percentage of clients who spend 9 months or less in TH. 85% or more = 15 points; 84%-75%=12 points; 74%-65% = 9 points; 64%-55% = 6 points; 54%-45%=3 points; 49%-25%=2 points; less than 25% = 1point	15	
PSH	Exits to Permanent Housing	100% of participants exit PSH to a HUD defined permanent housing option or remaining in housing =25 points; 99%-85% = 20 points; 84%-75% = 15 points; 74%-60% = 10 points; 59%-25%= 5 points; less than 25%=2 points	25	
RRH	Exits to Permanent Housing	100% of participants exit RRH to a HUD defined permanent housing option = 25 points; 99%-85% = 20 points; 84%-75% = 15 points; 74%-60% = 10 points; 59%-25%= 5 points; less than 25%= 2 points	25	
TH	Exits to Permanent Housing	100% of participants exit TH to a HUD defined permanent housing option = 25 points; 99%-85% = 20 points; 84%-75% = 15 points; 74%-60% = 10 points; 59%-25%= 5 points; less than 25%=2 points	25	

Stayers	New or Increased Earned Income	50% or more of project stayers have new or increased earned income=6 points; 49%-40%=5 points; 39%-30%=4 points; 29%-20%=3 points; 19%-10%=2 point, less than 10%=1	6	
Stayers	New or Increased Other Income	50% or more of project stayers have new or increased other income=3 points; 49%-25%=2 points; 24%-10%=1 point	3	
Leavers	New or Increased Earned Income	50% or more of project leavers have new or increased earned income=6 points; 49%-40%=5 points; 39%-30%=4 points; 29%-20%=3 points; 19%-10%=2 point, less than 10%=1	6	
Leavers	New or Increased Other Income	50% or more of project leacers have new or increased other income=3 points; 49%-25%=2 points; 24%-10%=1 point	3	

ALL	Returns to Homelessness	10% or less of persons exiting to permanent housing return to homelessness = 5 points, 11%-20% = 4 points, 21%-30% = 3 points, 31%-40%= 2 points, 41-50%=1 point, over 50% = 0 points	5	
ALL	Financial	Agency successfully expended 100% - 98% of funds without budget modification = 5 points, 3%-4% return of funds = 4 points, 5-8% return = 3 points, 9-11% = 2 points, 13-14% = 1 point, more than 15% = 0	5	
All	Financial	Cost/Successful Outcomes are equal to or below CoC average in comparison to like projects = 5 points, within 5% of average = 4 points, within 10% of average = 3 points, within 15% of average = 2 points, within 20% of average = 1 point, more than 20% == 0 points	5	
All	Coordinated Entry	100-75% of clients who entered project came from Coordinated Entry Prioritization List= 5 points, 74-50%= 4 points, 49%-25%= 3 points, 24% or less=2	5	
All	Housing First	Compliance with Housing First (1 Point for each "yes" answer in a 10 question monitoring review attached to project application)	10	
TOTAL POSSIBLE SCORE			139	

New Project Application **DUE 9/20/2024**



Saint Louis County
Continuum of Care

FY2024 – FY2025 Continuum of Care (CoC) NEW PROJECT APPLICATION

This application includes basic information for applicants. All agencies should review HUD’s FY2024 – FY 2025 Notice of Funding Opportunity, which contains comprehensive details about the CoC Competition. In addition, agencies should review the CoC scoring criteria included in this application packet. Agencies are expected to be familiar with all information included in this funding announcement. Applicants are applying for funding through the St. Louis County Continuum of Care.

SECTION 1: APPLICANT CONTACT INFORMATION

AGENCY NAME:	
PROJECT NAME:	
CONTACT PERSON:	
EMAIL:	
TELEPHONE:	
PROGRAM LOCATION (address of housing/service):	
Today’s Date:	

NOTICE: Please complete and confirm that all the threshold requirements in “Section 2” have been met before moving to “Section 3” of the application.

*All questions must be sent in writing to ccurtner@msi.org
Completed applications should be sent to ccurtner@msi.org*

New Project Application

DUE 9/20/2024

SECTION 2: THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Please attach documents to the application in the following order:

Categories	Required Response or Attachment	
Agency's Non-profit status	Attachment A	Agency should Attach Evidence of 501 © (3) status
Agrees to Participate in Coordinated Entry System (or alternative system for DV)	Response on agency letterhead	The project will receive_____ % of its referrals from a local Coordinated Entry System (CES) or from a community managed prioritization list.
Match & Leverage	Attachment B	Minimum Match: 25% Agency must attach award letters or letters of intent to the agency (on letterhead) AND indicate match amount
Most Recent Financial Audit	Attachment C	Agency MUST attach Audited Financial Statements for last completed fiscal year
Letter Documenting Experience with Similar Funding	Attachment D	If the agency has prior experience with HUD or another federal funding source, a letter explaining the related activities should be included
Questions regarding project design and operations	Attachment E	Narrative responses to proposal questions 1-13 MUST be attached to project proposal

Using the online form, each applicant must provide narrative answers to the following questions about their proposed new project. (If a question does not apply, indicate N/A)

1. Provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project including the service needs of the population to be served and the project's proposed staffing levels:
2. Provide a description of your agency's experience in providing the described services, include number of years and examples of similar projects:
3. Describe your agency's experience in effectively using local, state or federal funds:
4. Victim Service Provider - Indicate how your project will meet the data collection requirements associated with the funding?
5. Victim Service Provider - Describe how your project connects clients with community resources:
6. Victim Service Provider - Describe how your project ensures client safety:
7. Describe the steps the project will take to assist participants in obtaining permanent housing.
8. Describe how the project will help prevent participants from returning to homelessness once they are housed.
9. Describe specifically how participants will be assisted both to increase their employment income and to maximize their ability to live independently:
10. Describe how the project will ensure persons of color and minorities will have equal access to available services, and how potential barriers will be eliminated:
11. Describe how will your program incorporate feedback for persons with lived experience into your policies and procedures?

[**NEW PROJECT QUESTIONS \(CRL+CLICK HERE\)**](#)

Agency:

Reviewer #: _____

Project Name:

PSH	RRH	TH RRH	THRESHOLD QUESTIONS	Value	Assigned
X	X	X	The type of housing proposed, including the number of units will fit the needs of the program participants (e.g. two or more bedrooms for families)	1	
X	X	X	The type of supportive services that will be offered to program participants will ensure successful retention in or help to obtain permanent housing, including supportive services regardless of funding source	1	
X	X	X	The proposed project has a specific plan for ensuring program participants will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of mainstream health, social, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply and which meets the needs of program participants (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, local Workforce office, early childhood education)	1	
X	X	X	Program participants are assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (e.g., provides the participant with some type of transportation to access needed services, safety planning, case management, additional assistance to ensure retention of permanent housing).	1	
		X	The proposed project will provide enough rapid rehousing assistance to ensure that at any give time a program participant may move from transitional housing to permanent housing. This may be demonstrated by identifying a budget that has twice as many resources for the rapid rehousing portion of the project than the TH portion, by having twice as many PH-RRH units at a point in time as TH units, or by demonstrating that the budget and units are appropriate for the population being	1	
		X	The project adheres to a housing first model as defined in Section III.B.2 of the FY 2022 Notice of Funding Opportunity	1	
PH and RRH Projects MUST receive 3 of the 4 possible points, TH-RRH projects MUST receive 4 of the 6 possible points.					

Category	Required Attachment	Received Yes/No
Evidence of Agency's Non-Profit Status	501(c)3 Letter	
Agreement to Participate in Coordinated Entry	CoC Coordinated Entry MOU (included in RFP)	
Outstanding HUD Audit Findings	Yes/NO	
HUD Monitoring Finding (within the last 2 years)	Documentation from HUD and related correspondence	

Project Component:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Permanent Supportive Housing Rapid Re-Housing Joint Transitional Housing and Permanent Housing -Rapid Re-housing HMIS Expansion Coordinated Entry 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Services for survivors of domestic violence 	
If project will serve victims of DOMESTIC VIOLENCE , include score for questions #12 and #13 related to SAFETY PLANNING and			
A. Project Priorities	Points Available/Based on RFP Response	Max Score	Final Score
1. All required documents submitted as listed in RFP	On time, all documents included = 3 On time, 1 or 2 attachments missing =2 On time, incomplete application, or 3 or more missing attachments = 0	3	
2. Project <u>prioritizes</u> beds for Youth	100% = 5 points 1-99% = 1 point 0% = 0 points	5	

5. Attendance at CoC General Meeting, CoC Committee, and/or Weekly Match	2=yes 0=no	2	
7. Project proposal indicates it will serve individuals with low or no income	3=yes 0=no	3	

8. Project proposal indicates it will serve individuals with mental illness	3=yes 0=no	3	
9. Project proposal indicates it will serve individuals with alcohol or drug abuse.	3=yes 0=no	3	

B. Experience	Points Available/Method of Measurement	Max Score	Final Score
---------------	--	-----------	-------------

10. Experience of applicant working with the proposed population and in providing housing services similar to the proposed in the application.	Strong history (10+ years)=4	4	
	Experienced (6-10 years)=3		
	Moderate (3-6 years)=2		
	Novice (1-3 years)=1		
	No prior history=0		
11. Experience in effectively utilizing funds including HUD grants and other funding sources.	Letter submitted with all required information and expenditure rate. =3	3	
	Letter submitted without all required information. =1		
	Letter not submitted = 0		
12. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE PROVIDER: Proposal describes safety planning process with clients.	Proposal clearly defines how survivors are assisted in safety planning = 3	3	
	Proposal shows basic understanding of safety planning process= 2		
	Proposal gives vague description of safety planning = 1		
13. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE PROVIDER: Proposal clearly describes how clients are connected with community resources available to help them	Proposal clearly defines how survivors are educated about how to connect to the community resources available to help them = 3	3	
	Proposal gives general description of how survivors connect with community resources=2		
	Proposal is vague about how survivors connect with community resources = 1		

C. Design of Housing and Supportive Services	Points Available/Method of Measurement	Max Score	Final Score
--	--	-----------	-------------

14. Length of Time Homeless: Proposal clearly identifies the population, its service needs and outlines plan to connect persons with housing quickly to minimize time homeless	Proposal clearly defines population and defines plan to secure client housing quickly to minimize time homeless = 5	5	
	Proposal shows basic understanding of population and services needed =3		
	Proposal is vague and poorly structured or information is missing = 0		
15. Agency establishes a housing placement and income performance measures plan that is objective and trackable.	Plan clearly defines path to meet or exceed 2021 System Performance Measures = 5	5	
	Plan shows basic understanding of path to meet or exceed 2021 System Performance Measures=3		
	Plan is vague and poorly structured or information is missing = 0		
16. Income Growth: Plan to assist clients in obtaining and coordinating the provision of mainstream benefits	Plan clearly defines path to meet or exceed 2021 System Performance Measures = 5	5	
	Plan shows basic understanding of path to meet or exceed 2021 System Performance Measures=3		
	Plan is vague and poorly structured or information is missing = 0		
17. Housing Placement: Plan to assist clients to rapidly secure permanent housing that is safe, affordable and acceptable to their needs, and identify supports to needed to prevent returning to homelessness.	Plan clearly defines path to client stability and expected outcomes = 5	5	
	Plan shows basic understanding of client stability and expected outcomes = 3		
	Plan is vague and poorly structured or information is missing = 0		
18. Income Growth: Plan to assist clients to obtain or increase employment income to maximize ability to live independently.	Plan clearly defines path to assist clients to obtain or increase employment= 5	5	
	Plan shows basic understanding of services needed to assist clients to obtain or increase employment = 3		
	Plan is vague and poorly structured or information is missing = 0		
19. Plan includes a rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin providing services on the first day on the contract period.	Plan clearly indicates how the program will be ready to begin providing services on the first day of the contract period= 3	3	
	Plan shows basic understanding that the program should be ready to begin providing services on the first day of contract period =1		
	Plan is vague and poorly structured or information is missing = 0		

20. Plan to incorporate Lived Experience Feedback	Response clearly defines an established process for soliciting feedback from persons with lived experience and cites specific examples of change in program operations based on feedback = 10 points	10		
	Response shows basic understanding of need for feedback and openness to incorporate change = 5 points			
	Plan is vague and poorly structured or information is missing = 0			
21. Plan to ensure racial equity in the delivery of services, including steps the program will take to identify and overcome barriers to participation faced by persons of color.	Plan clearly indicates how the program will ensure all persons have equal access to services = 10	10		
	Plan shows basic understanding of potential barriers facing persons of color without specific plan to overcome barriers. = 5			
	Plan is vague and poorly structured or information is missing = 0			
D. Fiscal Practices		Points Available/Method of Measurement	Max Score	Final Score
22. Budget costs are allowable and structure detailed in narrative are reasonable to effectively administer program.	Budget and Budget Narrative reflect effective program administration and costs are allowable. = 10	10		
	Budget and Budget Narrative included but the information is unclear. =5			
	Budget and Budget Narrative do not include detailed information or information is missing. =0			
22. Total program sources leveraged from source other than CoC program funds, suggest a minimum of 25% or greater projects total leverage requirement.	Yes = 3 points	3		
	No = 0 points			

24. Agency policies and procedures reflect effective fiscal management.	Yes=4 points	4		
	No=0 points			
25. COMPARABLE DATABASE: Agency has, or plans to implement within 12 months, a database system compliant with HMIS requirements	Yes=2 points	2		
	No=0 points			
		Points Possible	99	
Bonus Points		Points Available/Method of Measurement	Initial Score	Final Score
26. Project will leverage housing resources with housing units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs	Yes = 5 points	5		
	No = 0 points			
	N/A = 0 points			
27. RRH projects that will serve homeless individuals and families including youth up to age 24.	Yes = 5 points	5		
	No = 0 points			
		Total Points Possible	109	