Situated Resistance to Extractive AI

Moderator: Sandra Moreno · Speakers: Jeremias Meyer, Bronwen Morgan, Willamina Asitharana Worakulsawat, Jetniphit Srisinghasongkram, Thitirerk Phromvanich
Saturday, November 14, 2026 · 11:15 AM to 12:00 noon · Room B · Format: Reverse Panel 5
Upload longer documents pertaining to your session, such as papers and articles, to the Solidarity AI Commons.
Reporter: Jeremias Meyer, Subin Mulmi
This is a shared document. Anyone can add questions, takeaways, disagreements and next steps, as well as sketches, photos, poems and songs, because truth, beauty and justice belong together. People following online can contribute too. Every evening, these notes feed the Bangkok Theses.

Purpose

Explore resistance to extractive AI that starts from the communities whose knowledge, labor, and data are captured.

Desired outcome

Examples and strategies for replacing extraction with consent and collective ownership.

Questions for the room

The moderator asks, the room answers.

1. Critique: Who has power here, and who gets hurt?
2. Policy: Which laws, public funds, or procurement rules could help?
3. Practice: What can we build together? Who shares time or money, who decides, and what do we owe one another?

Notes

- Yosuke Uchiyama: From Platform Parasitism to
    - Platform parasitism describes how platforms secure value and control by selectively incorporating existing institutions…
    - Mechanisms
        - Platforms depend on existing systems:
        - Platforms selectively incorporate these resources
        - Substantial responsibilities remain elsewhere
    - Malaysia: Formal Access, Unequal responsibility
    - African Mobility
    - Platform parasitism across the AI stack

    - Solidarity against AI-enabled extraction
- Jetniphit Srisinghasongkram
    - How can we use the data without leaving the people behind
    - AI can make forensic processes faster
    - AI is a tool and cannot replace human work and decisions
    - Behind every forensic case, there is a human story
- Thitirerk Phromvanich: Sit still and do nothing
    - Design your own justice
    - Gather people and ask them what is their frustration
        - Then they ask them to do something about their frustration
        - Then they were asked to gather and do nothing
    - Key observation
- Willamina Asitharana Worakulsawat
    - Extractive AI - Bjork (2025)
        - Siphoning away the value from the many to the few
            - Data centers and its impact on the natural resources
            - Workers' data being used for low compensation
            - Their data being used without consent
            - Inclusion then becomes insidious: being included means further extraction
    - Effects AI has on humans
        - Generative AI affects creativity
        - You can have sovereignty and ownership over something, but that thing can still have negative externalities
- Jeremias Meyer
    - Based more in theory than communities
        - How can organizational democracies look like in cooperatives?
        - Research approach is in deliberative democracies
        - The idea is to put deliberative democratic conversations online
            - 1000 people making decisions together
            - Ensuring same person isn't attending and speaking
        - AI is then transcribing the conversations to generate collective transcription and ideas
        - Went to 3 cooperatives and invited the members together
            - Saw the same people coming that come ot the board meetings
            - What kind of role does AI have in cooperatives in decision making
        - AI is then trying to solve collective problems and is used in a positive way
        -

Questions

- How can you make sure that technology is used for good?
    - This theory of platform parasitism looks at AI more negatively, but sometimes parasites affect things positively

- With the young people, the idea of organizing is not very difficult. If you can convince 300 people to come together and do nothing using digital means, that is amazing; young people have more potential and they will do it better in the future.
- After doing nothing for quite a while, this creates a space for something good to emerge. We live in the age of productivity and expect quick achievements, so this is an interesting initiative.
- Technology is making things faster, so do you think what you are doing is political?
    - There is a political agenda here which is not easy to describe. Just putting it on Facebook - and asking people to do nothing and making the GDP drop
- How to make the use more equitable?
    - Not a clear response, but there needs to be more awareness of the risks and harms of AI and help people make a more informed choice
- The power of deliberative decision-making is to break things down. At its core, is it about sharing knowledge or decision-making? Solve the governance questions first and then see what technology can do.
- People are not going out and voting even when there are extremely pressing situations. What if we train their AI agents on their viewpoints and have them debate on their behalf?
- It is not that people don't want to discuss. People aren't showing up because they don't have time or money. A lot of times, organizations don't provide a lot of time off. People do want to discuss, but they would prefer to be able to do it without losing financial aid. This needs to be fixed first or incentivized.
- The effect AI is having on the pace of our work. Can we build alternatives where there can be more human interaction?
-

Takeaways

- Extraction concerns both value and responsibility
- People must remain central to AI assisted work
- Inclusion doesn't automatically prevent extraction
- Better deliberation tool does not necessarily broaden meaningful participation
- Time together sometimes even doing nothing can challenge dominant ideas about productivity

Disagreements

-

Sketches, photos, poems, songs

Paste or drop them here.

For the map and resource list

Projects, tools, or cooperatives mentioned in this session: name, link, who it helps, who owns it, and what support it needs.

-

Commitments and next steps

- What: · Who: · By when:
- What: · Who: · By when:
- What: · Who: · By when:

How to stay in touch

Channel (Hylo group, email list, or similar):
Convener:

For the Bangkok Theses

One sentence this session wants the Theses to say:

Conditions (optional)

To act on what this session identified, AI should be used only if these conditions are met, or not at all. List up to three, one sentence each.

1.
2.
3.

Examples: no stolen data; the right to appeal AI decisions to a person; public or cooperative ownership of key parts of the AI stack; a ban on worker surveillance.