Strategic Assessment of Australia's Response to Chinese Naval Deployment

Australia and New Zealand found themselves closely monitoring a Chinese naval task group comprising the cruiser CNS *Zunyi* (107), frigate CNS *Hengyang* (568), and fleet oiler CNS *Weishanhu* (887) as it operated in the Tasman Sea in February 2025. This deployment, unusual due to its proximity to Australia and the inclusion of the advanced *Renhai*-class cruiser, one of the world's most advanced warships, prompted a measured response from the Australian government, raising questions about its strategic implications and potential impacts on Australia-China relations[1]. This article explores Australia's reaction to this deployment, examining the government's objectives, strengths and weaknesses of its response, potential implications for Australia-China relations, and possible future scenarios.

Background

The Chinese naval task group, designated Task Group 107, was first detected in the Coral Sea, northeast of Australia, in early February 2025[3]. It then proceeded south, entering Australia's Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) east of Hobart, Tasmania[4]. This marked the first time Chinese warships with this level of capability had ventured so far south[5]. The task group conducted live-fire exercises in the Tasman Sea, giving limited notice that caused disruptions to commercial flights and prompting concerns from Australian and New Zealand authorities[6]. While the exercises were conducted in international waters and within the bounds of international law, the incident, likely aimed at testing Australia's responses and sending a message, highlighted China's growing naval capabilities and assertiveness in the region[2].

Adding to the complexity of the situation, the New Zealand Defence Force (NZDF) deployed its own assets to monitor the Chinese task group. These assets included the Anzac-class frigate HMNZS *Te Kaha*, the maritime sustainment vessel HMNZS *Aotearoa*, and RNZAF P-8A Poseidon maritime patrol aircraft[8]. This coordinated monitoring effort between Australia and New Zealand underscored the regional significance of the Chinese naval deployment.

It is important to understand the historical context of China's evolving naval strategy. From its initial focus on coastal defense, the Chinese navy has gradually expanded its operational reach and capabilities[9]. This shift has been driven by China's perception of security threats and its expanding national interests, as it seeks to protect its maritime trade routes and project power further afield[10]. The deployment of Task Group 107, including the advanced *Renhai*-class cruiser, reflects this evolving strategy and China's growing ambition to develop a global navy capable of sustained presence in distant regions[11].

Australia's Response

The Australian government, led by Prime Minister Anthony Albanese, responded to the Chinese naval deployment with a combination of public statements, diplomatic engagement, and military monitoring[3]. Defense Minister Richard Marles emphasized that the Chinese warships were operating within international law and that Australia was monitoring their activities closely[12]. He

also highlighted Australia's right to be "prudent" and maintain surveillance of the task group[12]. An Australian intelligence official assessed the deployment as "designed to be provocative," suggesting a deliberate attempt by China to test regional responses and gather intelligence[13].

In addition to military monitoring, the Australian government engaged in diplomatic efforts to address the situation. Foreign Minister Penny Wong planned to raise the issue with her Chinese counterpart at the G20 summit in South Africa[3]. This diplomatic engagement aimed to express Australia's concerns and seek clarification regarding the purpose and intentions behind the Chinese naval deployment.

Key Objectives and Priorities

The Australian government's response to the Chinese naval deployment appears to be guided by several key objectives:

- **Maintaining a calm and measured approach:** The government has consistently emphasized that the Chinese warships are not a direct threat and are operating within international law. This approach aims to avoid escalating tensions and maintain stability in the region[3].
- **Protecting Australia's interests:** While acknowledging China's right to operate in international waters, the government has stressed its commitment to protecting Australia's maritime interests and ensuring the safety of its citizens[12].
- **Strengthening alliances and partnerships:** The government has engaged with regional partners, including New Zealand and Papua New Guinea, to coordinate responses and share information about the Chinese naval deployment[12].

Strengths and Weaknesses of the Australian Response

Strengths:

- **Measured and responsible:** By emphasizing adherence to international law and avoiding provocative rhetoric, the government has prevented the situation from escalating into a crisis[3].
- **Transparent and communicative:** The government has provided regular updates on the Chinese ships' movements and activities, keeping the public informed and managing potential anxieties[4].
- **Alliance-focused:** Engaging with regional partners like New Zealand and Papua New Guinea has demonstrated a commitment to collective security and regional stability[12].

Weaknesses:

- **Limited options:** Australia's naval capabilities are currently limited, potentially hindering its ability to effectively monitor and respond to increased Chinese naval activity in the region[2].
- **Potential for miscalculation:** While a measured response is crucial, there is a risk that China might interpret it as weakness or a lack of resolve, potentially emboldening further assertiveness[13].
- **Domestic political pressure:** The government faces pressure from the opposition to take a stronger stance against China, potentially complicating its efforts to manage the situation diplomatically[14].

Potential Implications for Australia-China Relations

The Chinese naval deployment and Australia's response have several potential implications for the complex and evolving relationship between the two countries:

- **Increased strategic competition:** The incident highlights the growing strategic competition between Australia and China in the Indo-Pacific region, with the potential for further military maneuvers and displays of force[15]. This competition is further fueled by China's stated intention to project power and presence into Australia's maritime approaches[16].
- **Erosion of trust:** The lack of prior notification for the live-fire exercises, despite diplomatic efforts to improve relations, could erode trust between the two countries[6]. This lack of transparency, despite "best practice" being to issue a notice 24 to 48 hours in advance, raises concerns about China's commitment to open communication and adherence to established norms[17].
- **Economic ramifications:** While diplomatic and trade relations have recently improved, increased tensions could have negative consequences for Australia's economic ties with China[15].
- **Impact on regional dynamics:** The incident could influence the security perceptions of other countries in the region, potentially leading to increased defense spending and closer alignment with either Australia or China[18]. This is evident in the calls for increased defense spending in New Zealand following the incident[18]. The incident also serves as a "wake-up call" for New Zealand and its people regarding China's growing military assertiveness[17]. It is not just targeting Australia but is intended to shape the responses of other countries in the region as well[13].

Possible Future Scenarios and Australian Options

Possible Future Scenarios	Australian Options
Continued presence: China might continue to deploy naval assets to the region, normalizing its presence and increasing its operational reach[15].	**Enhance maritime capabilities:** Investing in naval modernization and expanding its fleet is crucial to effectively monitor and respond to Chinese naval activity[2].
Increased assertiveness: China might conduct more frequent and assertive military activities, including exercises and deployments, to test Australia's responses and demonstrate its growing power[15].	**Strengthen alliances:** Deepening security cooperation with allies like the United States, Japan, and other regional partners is essential to deter Chinese assertiveness and maintain a balance of power[13].
Diplomatic engagement: Both countries	**Engage in strategic dialogue:**

might engage in diplomatic efforts to manage tensions, clarify intentions, and prevent further escalation[14].	Maintaining open communication with China, despite tensions, is crucial to manage disagreements, build trust, and prevent miscalculations[14].
	Promote regional security cooperation: Australia can play a leading role in fostering regional security cooperation mechanisms to address common challenges and promote stability in the Indo-Pacific[15].

Conclusion

The deployment of a Chinese naval task group near Australia has presented a significant challenge for the Australian government. Its response has been characterized by a measured and responsible approach, emphasizing adherence to international law and avoiding escalation. However, the incident highlights the growing strategic competition between Australia and China and the potential for further tensions in the region. Australia must continue to enhance its maritime capabilities, strengthen alliances, and engage in strategic dialogue to effectively manage this evolving security environment and protect its national interests.

This incident has broader implications for Australia's long-term strategic posture in the Indo-Pacific. It underscores the need for a comprehensive and proactive approach to regional security, one that involves not only military modernization but also strengthened alliances and diplomatic engagement. By leveraging its partnerships and actively contributing to regional security cooperation, Australia can work towards shaping a more stable and favorable environment in the face of growing challenges.

Works cited

1. Chinese Navy Task Group Operating in Australia's EEZ; U.S., Japan Hold Ballistic Missile Exercise - USNI News, accessed March 2, 2025, https://news.usni.org/2025/02/25/chinese-navy-task-group-operating-in-australias-eez-u-s-japan-hold-ballistic-missile-exercise
2. China wins from Australian overreaction to warship presence | The ..., accessed March 2, 2025, https://www.aspistrategist.org.au/china-wins-from-australian-overreaction-to-warship-presence/
3. Commercial Flights Rerouted After Chinese Navy Announces Last-Minute Live-Fire Drills Near Australia - USNI News, accessed March 2, 2025, https://news.usni.org/2025/02/21/commercial-flights-rerouted-after-chinese-navy-announces-last-minute-live-fire-drills-near-australia
4. People's Liberation Army–Navy vessels operating near Australia - Defence, accessed March 2, 2025, https://www.defence.gov.au/news-events/news/2025-03-01/peoples-liberation-army-navy-vessels-operating-near-australia
5. NZDF says 'no notice given' of Chinese navy ships in Tasman Sea - 1News, accessed March

2, 2025, https://www.1news.co.nz/2025/02/20/nzdf-says-no-notice-given-of-chinese-navy-ships-in-tasman-sea/
6. Here's Why Chinese Warships near Australia and New Zealand Have Prompted Alarm, accessed March 2, 2025, https://www.military.com/daily-news/2025/02/24/heres-why-chinese-warships-near-australia-and-new-zealand-have-prompted-alarm.html
7. Australia: Political row erupts over presence of Chinese warships - World Socialist Web Site, accessed March 2, 2025, https://www.wsws.org/en/articles/2025/03/01/vxvg-m01.html
8. Chinese Naval Task Group - New Zealand Defence Force, accessed March 2, 2025, https://www.nzdf.mil.nz/media-centre/story-collections/chinese-naval-task-group/
9. People's Liberation Army Navy - Office of Naval Intelligence, accessed March 2, 2025, https://www.oni.navy.mil/portals/12/intel%20agencies/china_media/2015_pla_navy_pub_interactive.pdf
10. The People's Liberation Army Navy: A Modern Navy with Chinese Characteristics - Intelligence Resource Program, accessed March 2, 2025, https://irp.fas.org/agency/oni/pla-navy.pdf
11. OPINION | China's ships near Australia and its challenges in the ..., accessed March 2, 2025, https://www.bairdmaritime.com/security/naval/naval-ships/opinion-chinas-ships-near-australia-and-its-challenges-in-the-south-china-sea-get-used-to-it
12. The Australian and New Zealand militaries are monitoring 3 Chinese warships off Australia, accessed March 2, 2025, https://apnews.com/article/australia-chinese-warships-new-zealand-ea78c3f1d49f444a6808719547e5fda7
13. 'Provocative' Chinese warship near Australia puts Japan on notice, accessed March 2, 2025, https://www.japantimes.co.jp/news/2025/02/26/asia-pacific/china-warships-australia-japan/
14. Australian Defence minister urges calm over China warships episode as they travel west, accessed March 2, 2025, https://www.rnz.co.nz/news/world/543223/australian-defence-minister-urges-calm-over-china-warships-episode-as-they-travel-west
15. Op-Ed: Australia Should Get Ready for More Run-Ins With China's Navy, accessed March 2, 2025, https://maritime-executive.com/editorials/op-ed-australia-should-get-ready-for-more-run-ins-with-china-s-navy
16. China drops flares ahead of RAAF plane, sends ships to Australia's ..., accessed March 2, 2025, https://www.aspistrategist.org.au/china-drops-flares-ahead-of-raaf-plane-sends-ships-to-australias-northern-approaches/
17. Flights diverted as China takes naval exercises to Australia's doorstep - VOA, accessed March 2, 2025, https://www.voanews.com/a/commercial-airlines-warned-as-chinese-navy-holds-live-fire-exercises-off-australia/7982998.html
18. Media raise alarm over Chinese ships - and the end of 'benign' times | RNZ News, accessed March 2, 2025, https://www.rnz.co.nz/news/mediawatch/543447/media-raise-alarm-over-chinese-ships-and-the-end-of-benign-times