Level 1 Programme/Unit/Course Overview

| Year | Term 1 11 weeks | Term 2 11-12 weeks | Term 3 10 weeks | Term 4 4 weeks + |
|---|---|--|---|-------------------------|
| Learning Context and title (overview) This could be the overarching theme/concept for the term and the specific skills to be developed | What project will you do? Main points or explanation of the project State the length of the project in weeks or terms Merge cells if project idea runs over 2 terms | | | |
| Big Ideas (BI) and Significant Learning (SL) Copy and paste the relevant BI and SL for each term. These may be aspects you will teach but not assess. All BI and SL should be covered within the year of teaching and learning. | MPT Level 1: BI 1: Design empathy leads to Materials and Processing Technology outcomes that enhance people's lives SL: SL: BI 3: Authentic contexts encourage fit-forpurpose Materials and Processing Technology outcomes SL: SL: | Creative problem solving in Materials and Processing Technology develops innovation and resilience SL: SL: SL: | Sustainability underpins intervention by design in Materials and Processing Technology practice SL: SL: SL: | SL: SL: |

| Generic skills & e.g. TP – BD L6 (state the strand and component and curriculum level) Achievement e.g. Justify the nature of an intended outcome in relation | |
|--|--|
| Achievement e.g. Justify the nature of an intended outcome in relation | |
| e.g. Justify the nature of an intended outcome in relation | |
| | |
| Object to the need or opportunity and justify specifications in | |
| Copy and paste terms of key stakeholder feedback and wider community | |
| the relevant: considerations. | |
| Achievement | |
| Objectives (AOs), Copy and paste the relevant student indicators for the | |
| These may be AOs | |
| aspects you will This means select the relevant student indicators you will | |
| teach but not cover within the teaching and learning programme – you | |
| assess (look to do not need them all. | |
| include aspects of | |
| each of the 3 e.g. establish a conceptual statement that justifies the nature of the outcome and why such an outcome should | |
| Technology be developed | |
| strands TP, TK and | |
| NT) communicate specifications that allow an outcome to be | |
| evaluated as fit for purpose. | |
| (Achievement | |
| Objective/s | |
| descriptor from | |
| Indicators of | |
| progression.) | |
| | |
| (use the relevant | |
| student indicators student indicators | |
| – that relate to | |
| the chosen | |
| Achievement | |
| Objective/s – you | |
| do not need to | |
| use all student | |
| indicators) | |
| | |
| Context specific | |
| skills | |
| List any specific | |
| skills and | |
| knowledge | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | ASSESSMENT | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Assessment | e.g. MPT 1.1 | | | | | |
| Assessed using AS (numbers): | 92012 – Internal – 6 Credits | | | | | |
| | Develop a MPT outcome in an authentic context | | | | | |
| Internal or External | | | | | | |
| Credit value | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Term Plan

| Kaupapa | Week 1 | Week 2 | Week 3 | Week 4 | Week 5 | Week 6 | Week 7 | Week 8 | Week 9 | Week 10 |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Breakdown of learning per term EXAMPLE

| Week 1 (plan for 4 hours per week) | Kaupapa/Learning Intentions (e.g. focusing questions, key ideas/ concepts) | Key learning and assessment activities Identify the type of activity (e.g. discussion, written task, expert groups/collaborative, task, inquiry or investigation, experiment, presentations, peer assessment etc) Describe the 'what' and 'how' for key learning (and/or assessment) activities and the organisation for learning (e.g. pairs, groups, individuals) | Key resources and/or links (for activities described) | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| EXAMPLE of first 60 minutes | Topic/Focus: Kaupapa/ Learning intentions: Students will Students will consider Students will explore Students will share these findings by, to learn about | Teaching Point: • Overview of weeks learning Student activity - discussion: Write on the board key ideas that students identify. Could be followed by a powerpoint presentation containing a few examples of designs. • What factors are important to consider when we design things? • What makes something a good or bad design? • Examples of good and bad designs | | | | | |
| | BI and SL links to learning: | Student activity - peer: Students are to research and identify two design outcomes, one which they think is good, and the other bad. | Examples online | | | | |
| | Key Competency links (circle) Managing Self Participating and Contributing Relating to Others Thinking Understanding language symbols and text. Formative Assessment opportunities Learning that provides evidence for Summative Assessment Anything else that is relevant to your context | They should fill out the digital activity sheet, which asks for general information about the own personal opinions about the own personal opinions about the open activity: Students are to peers. The peers must share agree with the student's good or bad, list their own Student activity - discussion: Ask students to share their findings. What good/bad design did you find? What made the design good/bad? Did your peers agree/disagree with Why? Address the fact that design is subjective, which is why some opinions may differ. They revisit the initial questions of the the board of the board o | | | | | |
| | | Then revisit the initial questions after the exercise and see if students have any more ideas to add to the board. What factors are important to consider when we design things? What makes something a good or bad design? examples of good and bad designs | | | | | |

| Week 1 | Kaupapa/Learning Intentions (e.g. focusing questions, key ideas/ concepts) | Identify the type of activity (e.g. discussion, written task, expert groups/collaborative, task, inquiry or investigation, experiment, presentations, peer assessment etc) | Key resources and/or links (for activities described) |
|--------|--|--|---|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Week 2 | Kaupapa/Learning Intentions (e.g. focusing questions, key ideas/ concepts) | Identify the type of activity (e.g. discussion, written task, expert groups/collaborative, task, inquiry or investigation, | Key resources and/or links (for activities described) |
|--------|--|--|---|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |