For the above stated reasons and others, I Denice Spangler Adams, the sole Voter Ballot Guide Opponent, hereby am filing a Fair Political Practice Commission (FPPC) Complaint, as per the Political Reform Act ("Act"), against the Santa Barbara Community College District, its five named Trustees, its Superintendent/President (involved in some published bond scandal related to her L.A.Valley CC/ Pasadena CC duties), its Faculty Association, a Finance Instructor, and the Editor-in-Chief of The Channels for multiple FPPC violations related to Measure P2024 campaigning. It is my understand that no campaign activities or partisan activities can be pursued at public expense. By filing this complaint, I trust that an investigation will be made by the The FPPC Enforcement Division for review of the forementioned concerns. I am not an attorney. I have no access to the various FPPC Code Violations corresponding to the Education Code.

This complaint does NOT address intentional admitted lies and false fiscal data presented as FACTS to the public and to elected state officials, by SBCC named Trustees and Administrators causing harm to the college's reputation and further eroding public trust in SBCC's management capability. The continued distribution of publicly admitted and recorded false representations by Proponents regarding this bond monetary costs are not under the jurisdiction of the FPPC. Wrongly, CA Representatives Monique Limone and Gregg Hart were not provided with fiscal truths by SBCC Administrators and/or Trustees prior to endorsing this bond measure. P2024 will both increase and extend housing costs to District residents.

To inform overseers about mismanagement by the SBCC named Trustee Majority and the Superintendent/President, I am copying the CA Community College Commission, Community College League, and Accreditation for Community and Junior Colleges. (ACCJC). The Fiscal Crisis Management Assessment Team (FCMAT) has already audited and reported on college deficits and SBCC's lack of adequate fiscal management by Trustees and administration.

Use of the public treasury, campus facilities, equipment, mailing lists, meeting space, including classrooms are being use to advocate passage of Measure P2024. The student Editor-in-Chief of the publicly funded school newspaper has refused publication of submitted opposition letters in full compliance with SBCC's The Channels Editorial Policies. Tensions and expectations for full student cooperation on campus are high; with some students reporting fear of retribution, lowered grades, etc, if they do not support SBCC's Bond Measure P2024.

This public educational community college is supported by only taxable properties with the SBCC District Boundaries. SBCC Trustees have a fiduciary and moral obligation to the college's District taxpayers, plus legal responsibilities and requirements to comply with the law for the safety and comfort of students and staff. By its partisan activities and direct link to the Democrat Party Central Committee of Santa Barbara County, the discriminatory partisan practices of SBCC Trustees, Administrators, and Faculty/Staff Unions are alienating, adversely impacting full accessibility to our District's CA Community College which is to serve residents and students of our District.

It is my understanding that both the "Act" and Education Code 7054 and 7055 prohibit use of the public (taxpayer funded) treasury to mount a political bond campaign which includes electronic emails, mailers, events, and use of public facilities and equipment: computers, phone banks, storage, on campus events, and office space.

Everything without exception distributed by SBCC urges a particular result in the election.
The Act prohibits sending a newsletter or other mass mailing at public expense. Mass mailings are prohibited that expressly advocate the qualification, passage, or defeat of a clearly identified measure, or even unambiguously urges a particular result in an election; public moneys via paid school faculty and staff paid to distribute the item, or to prepare the item, for more than $50, with the intent of sending the item; and more than 200 substantially similar items are sent during the course of an election is prohibited. This prohibition limits the
public subsidy of political campaigns, which would grant an unfair advantage to governmental bodies that desire to use public resources in pursuit of favorable election results.

An item unambiguously urges a particular result in an election in one of two ways: (1) when it clearly is campaign material or campaign activity because it is related to an election and it is an item such as bumper stickers, billboards, door-to-door canvassing, posters, pop-up media advertising "floats," or mass media advertising; or (2) when the style, tenor, and timing of the communication can be reasonably characterized as campaign material and not a fair presentation of facts serving only an informational purpose.

Moreover, faculty and staff are solicited and effectively harassed while on campus to participate, to campaign for bond passage of Measure P.

It has been made clear to faculty (9/20/24) during on-campus ‘marketing plan meetings’ that SBCC is in deficit spending ($7M shortfall 9/12/24) and needs bond passage.

Most recently (10/24/24) faculty were instructed by Faculty Association (union) Leader Cornelia Alheimer-Barthel using the all-campus email lists, public school equipment, and school office to “check the list below, to see how you can support Measure P during this critical time. Faculty advised to “SWING BY the Demogratic Headquarter during for of the following time slots …, PICK UP a BUTTON @ the SBCC Foundation Office and wear it anywhere (just not on college grounds) …, SIGN UP to table at the Farmer’s Market.”

On campus events (recently 10/12/24) have been held to campaign for Measure P with no evidence of paid rent to SBCC for campaigning. There has been no response from SBCC Superintendent Endrijonas if rent is even paid any campus facility use to campaign for Measure P. (Unused, unneeded publicly funded campus classrooms and facilities are used by private Antioch University, which results in Antioch staff under obligation to promote Measure P on campus to protect its ongoing use of the District owned public school facilities.)

The Santa Barbara City College Foundation is supposedly running the campaign but until 10/29/24 there wasno evidence of its involvement on its website.

All marketing of YES on Measure P has been done on the SBCC website prior to 10/29/24.

There are no Campaign Finance Committee Meeting Notices or Minutes available for public inspection.

While financial reports are filed by Trustee retained professionals to run the campaign, there have been no filings as required by the “Act” by individuals contributing $5000 or more.

Campaign supplies are kept on the main campus in the MacDougall Administration Building occupied with no evidence that the Foundation is paying rent for perusal of public facilities. Faculty-staff are encouraged regularly to pick up campaign materials the, in space that is taxpayer supported.

Faculty Finance Instructor Cornelia Alsheimer-Barthel under the direction of Superintendent Erika Endrijonas as sent out multiple emails to all faculty and staff utilizing campus email lists and equipment.

A payment of public moneys by a local governmental agency made in connection with a
communication, whether it is a mass mailing or not, that expressly advocates passage of a ballot
measure, or that taken as a whole and in context, unambiguously urges a particular result in an
election is an independent expenditure prohibited by the Act.

Any person or combination of persons who, in a calendar year, makes independent expenditures totaling $1,000 or more qualifies as an
independent expenditure committee.

An independent expenditure committee must file semi annual campaign statements each year for the period ending June 30 and December 31 if it made independent expenditures during the 6-month period prior to those dates.

An independent expenditure committee must report its late independent expenditures within 24
hours of the time they are made to the appropriate filing officers.

Shared mailers and internal communications to faculty/staff appear to me to meet the standard of directly and unambiguously urging support for Measure P.