



FACULTY – SEMESTER COURSE FEEDBACK

Name and code of Course: Engineering Workshop (MEE12001)

Name of Faculty: Mr. Sudip Chakraborty

Batch: 2020-21

Regular/Visiting/Contract: Regular

Class: B.Tech in Bio-Technology

Semester: 1st

1. Did you use Bloom's taxonomy to design your course modules, set Course Outcomes and select appropriate teaching tools to deliver your course?

Yes No

| |
|---|
| ✓ |
| |

If Yes, what was an impact of this planning on the effective teaching-learning? Where did you lag behind, and would like to improve, prior to delivery of this course the next academic year? (Write in not more than 100 words)

Bloom's taxonomy provides a universally effective strategy for creating all type of content to impart learning. It helps to structure a simple layout for learning process. Learning can be dealt stepwise. Each step of learning for students can be exciting and can be monitored regularly. By using this it was a great help to develop questions that require the progress of thinking and reflection from the knowledge level to the evaluation level. The taxonomy was developed before we understood the cognitive process involved in learning and performance, but now that we do understand the cognitive process and ready to implement fruitfully in the next academic year.

2. Did you have a well-written lesson plan for every topic?

Yes No

| |
|---|
| ✓ |
| |

If Yes, was it contemporary to enhance employability of the students? Are you satisfied with the effectiveness of the teaching tools? How would you wish to improve it prior to the next academic year? (Write in not more than 100 words)

In the lesson plan, I have included different explanation methods for the students to understand the topic easily. The methods could include giving real-life examples or creating a hypothetical situation related to the topic. Moreover, showing videos related to the topic may also assist in better understanding. Including activities related to the lesson is helpful for students to remember the topic being taught. I had to deliver all the activities during the class hours in order to finish the lesson according to the plan. Everything including explanation, examples, and activities have to be timed in a manner that the lesson is not extended for the next class. So that students have to grow their hands on skill & time management through the lesson plan which is enhanced their employability. During implementing lesson plan, some of the tutorial sessions took extra time as some slow learners required some additional time for their learning. So from the next academic year some extra session beyond the lesson plan is required to design.

3. Are you satisfied with the relevance of the Course, its structure and course content? Is it relevant and contemporary? Does it deliver on the industry requirement as well as professional/skill needs of the students?

Yes No

| |
|---|
| ✓ |
| |

If Not, what are your recommendations which could be forwarded to the affiliating university?

NA

4. Have you correlated Course Outcomes and Assessment tools with POs and PSO?

Yes No

| |
|---|
| ✓ |
| |

If No, why not?

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

5. Are you satisfied with the system of assessment and evaluation, currently in practice? Does it have larger emphasis on assessing a student on practical and skill competencies?

Yes No

| |
|---|
| ✓ |
| |

If No, recommend any two major reforms.

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

6. Did you assess your students on the given course outcomes by using appropriate internal assessment tools? Did you make use of rubrics where required?

Yes No

| |
|---|
| ✓ |
| |

If Yes, in what course outcomes students performed poorly? What are your recommendations to improve the results in this course?

(a) *The students have performed above average in every CO.*

(b) *Application of hands on skilled can be a good approach to make students understand the effectiveness of Engineering Workshop.*

7. What is the level of attainment of your course outcome of your course?

3, because CO is truly based on student's performance and assessment of all modules proved the level of attainment.

8. With reference to paragraph 7 above, give your reasons for not meeting the desired level set up by you as a target at the beginning of the course.
Suggest how this can be improved upon for the upcoming course.

NA

9. Do you feel, you personally need special training and competence-building to deliver the course better?

Yes No

| |
|---|
| |
| ✓ |

If Yes, specify the precise area of development needed and how the department can assist you.

10. Are you satisfied with the supporting academic infrastructure provided by the institute for delivery of this course?

Yes No

| |
|---|
| ✓ |
| |

If No, give your brief recommendations

11. List of weak students and meritorious students (last 5 and top 5 in the class)

| Weak students | Meritorious students |
|---------------|----------------------|
| Arijeet Patra | Sagnik Saha |
| Navoneel Dey | Sribas Chowdhury |
| Disha Sarkar | Tanishka Hazra |

12. How did you enable weak students during the course to help learn and perform better? Can you show progression of each weak student after your enablement? Do they further need your support?

1. Arranging extra classes for them. 2. Encouraging them to learn from their classmates. 3. Reviewing their assignments and class performances minutely. 4. Encouraging them to prepared their own job without any support so that they can learn something in this workshop.

Their participation in class had improved. Their interest in those lectures improved and became more interactive. Along with this, they have scored good marks in end semester exam also.

13. Were the majority of students interested in the course and found it useful to their attribute's attainment? Answer:

5 (Highly Interested).

If Not Interested, what were the reasons of their lack of interest?

NA

14. Were you able to cover the course with ease or was the curriculum too vast?

The course can be easily covered within the stipulated time.

15. Do you have any recommendation for review and revision of course? Describe in not more than 150 words (Please remember your recommendations shall have substantial bearings on the future of the course)

This course has designed very thoughtfully and it covers all the major aspects related to this course. Hence as per my concern, no revision is required for this particular course.

Name: Sudip Chakraborty

Signature



Date 22.03.2021

Remarks of the Director/ Dean