



## LSA SG Regular Meeting

January 27, 2021

1. Call to Order
2. Opening Roll Call () - Present, E - Excused, A- Absent
  - i. Those with voting rights (minus the person acting as chair)
  - ii. Those without voting rights
  - b. Bazzi, Selena ()
  - c. Walker, Josiah ()
  - d. McCaughey, Kathryn ()
  - e. Watt, Tyler ()
  - f. Colvin, Anna ()
  - g. McKillop, Mary ()
  - h. Torres, Gabriela ()
  - i. Fivecoat, Chayton ()
  - j. Goodsell, Allison (E)
  - k. Farah, Zackariah ()
  - l. Manikandan, Divya ()
  - m. Cohen, Jacob ()
  - n. Theuerkauf, Caroline ()
  - o. Nelson, Erica ()
  - p. Zhao, Suki ()
  - q. Gunasekaran, Gaurie ()
  - r. Lobodzinski, Joseph ()
  - s. Hamamy, Salma ()
  - t. Rich, Sophia ()
  - u. Salino, Sarah ()
  - v. Stevens, Major White ()
  - w. McLean, Claudia ()
  - x. Rothstein, Kayla ()

y. Williams, Erik ()  
z. Dai, Carol ()  
aa. Fioritto, Tyler (E)  
bb. Nguyen, Alex ()  
cc. Altimemy, Zahraa (A)  
dd. List, Riley ()  
ee. Jonaitis, Cody ()  
ff. Stoneman, Max ()  
gg. Prasad, Ajay (A)  
hh. Simmons, Natalie ()  
ii. Wojtara, Magda (A)  
jj. Tedrick, Vincenzo ()  
kk. Orleans, Louis (E)  
ll. Rifkin, Cameron ()  
mm. Hwang, Tae Won (Danny) ()  
nn. Diaz, Danae ()  
oo. Crespo, Maleny ()  
pp. Farmer, Adrianna ()  
qq. Pierangeli, Cody (E)  
rr. Donahue, Thomas ()  
ss. Pratapa, Pranavi ()  
tt. Browdy, Wyatt (A)  
uu. Kernis, Jacob (A)  
vv. Sommerfeld, Elizabeth (A)  
ww. Mulliken, Ryan (A)  
xx. Dalrymple, Timothy (A)

### 3. Announcements

- a. Nelson: My birthday is next week!
- b. Farah: CSG allocation 30k to affordable housing in Ann Arbor.
- c. Crespo: Transfer Student Appreciation week is coming up in February. ONSP is holding

a variety of events and a mentorship program for transfer students, that I have heard really great things about.

- d. Bazzi: Eboard voted to operate differently to maximize efficiency for meeting times. Executive officer, Committee/Sub-committee, and Task force reports will be linked in the agenda that will be present before the meeting. There will be a period for questions with every block of reports.

4. Approval of the Agenda

- a. *Motion: Ngyuen*
- b. *Second: Watt*
  - i. Motion: Vote by acclamation: APPROVED

5. Approval of Previous Minutes

- a. *Motion: Rothstein*
- b. *Second: Torres*
  - i. *Vote by Acclamation: APPROVED*

6. Constituents' Time

- a. None scheduled

7. Guest Speaker(s)

- a. Ann Arbor for Public Power,
  - i. [Presentation](#)

8. Executive Officer Reports

- a. [President](#) - Selena Bazzi
- b. [Vice President](#) - [Josiah Walker](#)
- c. [Treasurer](#) - [Kathryn McCaughey](#)
- d. [Counsel](#) - [Tyler R. Watt](#)
- e. [Academic Relations Officer](#) - [Gabriela Torres](#)
- f. [External Relations Officer](#) - [Mary McKillop](#)

- g. [Student Life Relations Officer](#) - [Anna Colvin](#)
  - h. [Secretary](#) - [Chayton Fivecoat](#)
9. Committee Reports (in alphabetical order)
- a. [Appointments Committee](#) - [Sophia Rich](#)
    - i. Watt: Do you have ideas about the Mass Meeting date and the timeline for appointment?
      - 1. Riley, Mary, and I will finalize the Appointments timeline this Friday. I thought that Exec was planning the mass meeting, but I would love to be a part of that. We are hoping that it will be next week at the latest.
  - b. Budget Allocations Committee - [Cameron Rifkin](#)
    - i. Rifkin: BAC did not meet this week. We will be meeting next week to discuss 3 applications we received. We have BAC-At-Large Nominations tonight.
  - c. [Committee Advocating for Transfer, Non Traditional, and International Students](#) - [Caroline Theuerkauf](#)
  - d. Diversity Affairs Committee - [Tae Won \(Danny\) Hwang](#)
    - i. DAC was great this week. We wanted to talk about overall representation in education for students. We are working with Appointments to increase diversity in our government as well. The demographic survey is linked in the email Josiah said. I think we lack representation in transfer students, international students, and minority racial and ethnic groups. We are hoping to get DEI training added to a requirement for LSA SG members.
10. Task Force and Subcommittee Reports
- a. [Election Code Review and Amendment Formation Task Force](#) - [Jacob Cohen](#)
  - b. [Health Subcommittee Report](#) - [Gaurie Gunasekaran](#)
  - c. [Subcommittee on Technology, Advising, and Academic Resources](#) - [Jacob Cohen](#)
  - d. [Taking Responsibility for the Earth and Environment Subcommittee](#) - [Zackariah Farah](#)
11. [Individual Representative Reports](#)
- a. None scheduled

12. Appointments, Elections, and Nominations

a. BAC At-Large-Membership Nominations

- i. George Asher
- ii. Will Garvin
- iii. Danielle Goodstein
- iv. Jee-In Kwon
- v. Thomas O’Heney
- vi. Ajay Prasad
- vii. Theodora Vorias
- viii. Ethan Reider
  1. Motion to Approve: McCaughey
  2. Second: Walker
    - a. Vote by Acclamation: APPROVE

13. Budget Allocations Committee Recommendations

- a. None

14. Old Business (YES-NO-ABSTAIN)

a. [B F2020.22 Codifying the Assistant Election Director Position](#)

- i. Motion to bring to the floor: Watt
  1. Second: Rothstein
- ii. Cohen: This was a resolution that we were working on in ECRAFTF. This is codifying something that is already an active practice. This is so we can have an assistant election director during the presidential elections. There is a clear power difference between assistant and head director. There is a lineage of power codified, should the election director be terminated or step down.
- iii. Watt: I echo the previous speaker and think this is a beneficial change to the election code.
- iv. Farah: I believe there is still an inconsistency within the bylaws. This will be something that we can work on this semester. ECRAFTF is working on getting rid of these inconsistencies to make a simpler, more efficient election code.
- v. McCaughey: Did you codify the payment method for the assistant director position?

1. Watt: Yes, it would fall under the same scheme as the election director.
- vi. McCaughey: There is a section in the bylaws called non-LSA SG employees, is there any reference to this position in that chapter, already? In Chapter 19, there is an assistant director election director, does this amendment comply with that section?
  1. Cohen: Yes, we are trying to make these be all in agreement with each other in the bylaws. I don't see anything that we added, except making it so we can hire an assistant director.
  2. Watt: I believe it was our intention to apply edits to that, and we did not due to time constraints at the end of the semester.
  3. Cohen: Could you help us make sure that the payment system is correct?
- vii. Motion to table for one week: Cohen
  1. Second: Watt
    - a. Vote by acclamation: APPROVED
- b. **[B F2020.24 An Amendment to Chapter of the Bylaws to Improve the Sustainability of BAC Funding](#)**
  - i. Motion to bring to the floor: Walker
    1. Second: Stoneman
      - a. Objection: Watt
      - b. Walker: Motion rescinded
  - ii. **Motion to postpone indefinitely:** Watt
    1. Second: McCaughey
      - a. Vote by Acclamation: APPROVED

15. New Business

- a. **[C W2021.01 An Amendment to the Constitution of the College of Literature, Science and the Arts Student Government](#)**
  - i. Watt: This amendment dives into the issue in the bylaws about the president's ability to vote. The reason I have issue with this is that it goes against Robert's Rules of Order and our Bylaws. This would bring our Constitution inline with

Robert's rules of Order and our Bylaws. This would allow the president to vote in things like a tie, as defined by Robert's Rules.

ii. Ngyuen: Has this ever happened before?

1. Watt: In my personal memory, we have not had a vote that has been tied in a public vote. Once in a private vote. There may have been once, during 2018-2019, Nathan Wilson, might have, but I am not 100% sure.

iii. Motion to Table for one week: Watt

1. Second: Crespo

a. Vote by Acclamation: Pass

b. [C W2020.02 An Amendment to the Third, Fourth, and Seventh Articles of the Constitution of the College of Literature, Science, and the Arts Student Government to Create the Position of Speaker of the Government](#)

- i. Watt: This is the second Constitutional Amendment, and a lot more meater that the first one. This creates a new position in the government, one that I argue is much needed. The President and VP are supposed to be the spokespeople of the government. This Amendment creates a Speaker of the Government. This position is much needed. They would lead the meeting. They would cast the tiebreaking votes. They would function similarly to a chair/vice chair interms of elections, due to a semesterly requirement.

ii. Cohen: Would they be nominated by the President and VP like an executive board member?

1. Watt: No. They would not be an executive board member, nor would they be voted on like that. They would be nominated by the government and be elected by the government.

iii. Salino: Would this be an executive board member, or a representative?

1. Watt: It would be a representative. I would like to see this be an elected representative, but I don't want to restrict associate representatives. We have a lot of good people, and I don't want to restrict it any further than it needs to be.

iv. Colvin: What would their requirements be for attendance?

1. Their attendance requirements would be for the elected representative position that they hold. They should be more restricted in their absences to General, as they would be needed to keep the meeting going.
- v. Motion to table for one week: Watt
  1. Second: Fivecoat
    - a. Vote by Acclamation: APPROVED
- c. [B W2021.01 A Bylaw Amendment to Revitalize the Fundraising Committee as the LSA Annual Scholarship Task Force for Student Government \(The LAST Force\)](#)
  - i. Tedrick: Kathryn and I went over the bylaws that were passed last year for the committee, and there were many aspects that we wanted to change. We added more to the membership, this committee has standing members, similar to BAC. We wanted to open this up to more of the University at large. The committee needs to raise the money by December 2026, or the endowment and the committee will be no longer needed.
  - ii. Watt: There are probably still a few more whereas clauses coming for this correct?
    1. Tedrick: Yes!
  - iii. Farah: I was not here when the fundraising committee was active, what was the work that happened prior and what you are looking to improve on this year?
  - iv. McCaughey: It never met. The endowment committee and the endowment in general was made in the week after spring break, and figure the details later. Then COVID happened. So we are starting off totally fresh this year.
  - v. Motion to table for one week: Colvin
    1. Secon: Tedrick
      - a. Vote by Acclamation: APPROVED
- d. [B W2021.02 An Amendment to the Sixth Chapter of the Bylaws Clarifying the Order of the Bylaws](#)
  - i. Watt: This is mostly to improve the quality of life of the Bylaws. I added a paragraph list about the order of the bylaws. This specifies that the non-bloc sitting committees would come after all of the Blocs. This is truly a change to make the bylaws cleaner, not really a substantive change.

- ii. Motion to table for one week: Watt
  - 1. Second: Cohen
    - a. Vote by Acclamation: Pass
- e. [B W2021.03 An Amendment to the First Chapter of the Bylaws Allowing for the Suspension of the Bylaws](#)
  - i. Watt: I really need to take a page out of the Honorable ARO's book and get more sponsors. This talks about the fact that Robert's rules say that we cannot suspend the bylaws unless the bylaws say so. This is a quality of life thing, and makes it easier for us as a government. We have suspended the rules before, and this gives us the firm footing to do so.
  - ii. Tedrick: What determines the times that we could do this? This would be for emergencies only?
    - 1. Watt: They can only be done in unanimous agreement. This is only used with the most important, non controversial things.
  - iii. Motion to table for one week: Ngyuen
    - 1. Second: Watt
      - a. Vote by Acclamation: APPROVED
- f. [B W2021.04 An Amendment to the Thirteenth Chapter of the Bylaws to Create Budget Allocations Committee Sustainability Criteria](#)
  - i. Farah: This is a resolution that this intended to replace the resolution that was brought forward by the previous TREES leadership. This encourages students to eliminate waste in their events. This would add an extra \$1k funding to an event that is sustainable. This is a large incentive. The organization is eligible to apply for this is the event is promotes environmental sustainability on campus either through advocacy, education, or organized action, or utilizes products that are compostable or recyclable, wherever possible and includes a collection plan for compostables, recyclables, and/or waste that has been reviewed by the Office of Campus Sustainability.
  - ii. Rifikin: I would like to echo the previous speaker.

- iii. Farah: Because the funding cycle is about to begin, we are requesting that this is passed tonight. This is considered the second reading, the first reading occurred via email the required time before.
- iv. Move to close debate and consider the resolution: Cohen
  - 1. Second: Farah
    - a. Vote by Acclamation: APPROVED
- g. [B W2021.05 An Amendment to the Second Chapter of the Bylaws Allowing for the Further Movement of the Chair](#)
  - i. Watt: This bylaws amendment allows the chair to be moved beyond the Executive Board. This would be voted on by the general membership, and then the chair would return to the President when completed. This would not be in competition with the Speaker Position possibly created by the Amendment.
  - ii. Tores: I think this is a no brainer and is a very good idea. This would close loopholes and make this more streamlined. Please let me know if you would like to be a sponsor.
  - iii. Stoneman: I would like to thank the original sponsors for presenting this to the body, I think that this is something that people would not have thought of and could have slipped through the cracks. It is inherently valuable that we are catching this right now.
  - iv. Salino: In point 14, three is a minor thing that was not crossed out in the appendix.
  - v. Motion to table for one week: Watt
    - 1. Second: Salino
      - a. Vote by Acclamation: APPROVED
- h. [B W2021.06 An Amendment to the Second, Fourth, and Seventh Chapters of the Bylaws Creating the Position of Speaker](#)
  - i. Watt: This would essentially throw the word speaker in where the chair would be found. This changes the primary duty of the President from the leader of the meeting, to the speaker. I am open to opening it up to associate representatives. This speaker will not be taking the Counsel's duties nor the Secretary's duties. They will only be in charge of leading the meetings.

- ii. Cohen: Who would sit on the board for the Judiciary Appointing Board?
  - 1. Watt: Yes, this would fall in line with how CSG appoints CSJ members. This would be something good to bring back up when we start codifying those pieces.
- iii. Farah: What happens if the Constitutional Amendment does not pass?
  - 1. Watt: Until the Constitutional Amendment passes, the President can defer their power to the speaker at any time. Selena is welcome to make this unofficial official change at any time until the Amendment is voted on. I have given this some thought, and I want to make sure that the bylaws and constitution do not contradict.
- iv. Motion to table for one week: McCaughey
  - 1. Second: Watt
    - a. Vote by Acclamation: APPROVED
- i. [R W2021.01 A Resolution Condemning the Capitol Hill Riot Instigated by President Trump on January 6th, 2021](#)
  - i. Lobodzinski: I wrote this resolution shortly after the Capitol Hill riots occurred. In short, Trump instigated a crowd of supporters to storm the Capitol. There were 5 people killed, thousands of dollars of damage, and in short a horrible day for our democracy. The Government needs to take a stand on this today, and condemn it for what it is: a failed coup. We need to stand by our democracy. This does not take a political stance, just backs up our democracy.
  - ii. Nguyen: Is this too late, as the riots are a couple weeks old at this point?
  - iii. Lobodzinski: It is better to say something than nothing at all. Trump is still up for removal, and the trial is happening soon. This would ensure that our stance is against the failed coup.
  - iv. Nguyen: Where would this be posted?
  - v. Lobodzinski: This would be published on our website. This would also go on our social media.
  - vi. Farah: Would the sponsors be reaching out the ERO for the purpose of creating graphics for our stance?
  - vii. Lobodzinski: Yes. I will be happy to ensure that this gets out as purpose creating graphics.

- viii. Farah: A similar resolution is currently being passed through CSG codeming Regent Ron Weiser. I would be interested in connecting you with the sponsors of that resolution to ensure the LSA SG and CSG have the same messaging.
- ix. Speakers List:
1. Farah (in favor): I do like this resolution. Even though it is a bit taboo to be talking about policial issues, I dont think we should allow this issue to be swept under the rug. LSA SG was formed during the Vitnam War, and LSA SG played a part in ending that war. This is not a partsain issue, this is a democracy issue. Given that CSG is considering a similar issue, we should pass this and communicate with the sponsors of the resolution.
  2. Watt (against): I am entirely for what the resolution is stating. I think that the resolution is flawless, interms of not be partisain and it's content. I am softly on the opposing side because this puts us in a certain camp/position...I encourage members to make sure that this is not taking too policial of a stance. Keep in mind the implication that this has. I believe that this is wonderfully written by the sponsor.
  3. Stoneman (in favor): I believe that the previous speaker made some eloquent points, and thoughts that we should consider. I believe that this has large implications in the current news cycle and historical context. This would put us in a unique position, but this is written from an entirely nonpartisan way. This something that everyone has awareness of and it is the responsibility of the government to talk about these type of issues.
  4. Ngyuen (opposed): I agree with a lot of what the previous previous speaker said. I agree that the overall stance of the resolution is outstanding. My consideration is that this is too late. This has been three weeks, and we have not put anything out yet. The organizations that have put things out have been sufficient. This would put us not in a great position in terms of timing. I know we did not meet, but there could have been an emergency meeting. I do not think the timing is right, I

legitimately do not think the timing is right. The language is amazing, but timing is not right.

5. Cohen (infavor): I was talking with the counsel, and we could have had an emergency meeting, but this is in the past now. The precedent we set can set is that we always speak up on issues like this. If we are worried about our image, then the worst thing that we could do is talk about nothing at all. It is vital to promote a democratic citizenry, as a liberal arts college.
6. Gunasekaren (opposed): defer my time to Ngyuen.
  - a. Ngyuen: What if we condemn him and the capitol riots at the same time. The capital riots are three weeks from today, three weeks from today. There should be one resolution rather than two. If we do it all as one resolution, it would be better to condemn them both at the same time.
7. Crespo (in favor): Timing does not matter when condemning these acts. If we make a statement despite the time, it will honestly have a positive effect on the future. That students took a stance on this condemning these riots. This really stands up against the people who incite the riots.
8. Walker (in favor): The House and the Senate are still currently voting on the impeachment of Donald Trump. The Michigan College Republicans recently voted unanimously to condemn these actions as well. This, to me, delineates the notion that this is not a partisan issue.
9. Fivecoat (in favor): This looks inherently awful if we do not vote on this unanimously. I suggest that we either vote on this unanimously or not at all.
10. Cohen: Motion to extend time on the resolution for 10 minutes
  - a. Second: Walker
    - i. Motion: APPROVED
  - b. Motion to vote by secret ballot: Cohen
    - i. Second: Ngyuen
      1. Results: 22-1-2 Motion PASS

- j. [R W2021.02 A Resolution Advocating that the College of Literature, Science, and the Arts Encourage Its Professors and Other Employed Teaching Faculty to Allow International Students Living Abroad to take their Classes Asynchronously for the Winter 2021 Semester](#)
- i. Lobodzinski: This calls upon academic faculty of the University to consider the problems that international students face taking courses around the world. This would advocate for teachers to allow students to take courses asynchronously. This has to do with the immense time difference between countries and with issues that are being faced there that are not being faced here.
  - ii. Ngyuen: Is this resolution a recommendation or a demand for professors?
    - 1. Lobodzinski: This is merely a recommendation. Professors have a lot of leeway on what they can do with their courses. This would be difficult for LSA to ensure that this is applied across the college. This is more so that professors are aware of international students going through so they are more empathetic towards their concerns. This does not include GSI and discussion sections. There is a policy in LSA that requires students to be in discussions. This is merely a recommendation.
  - iii. Gunasekaran: How big of a problem is it this semester than last semester?
    - 1. Lobodzinski: I believe that it was a bigger problem last semester than this semester. But I have heard problems this year. It would be nice as a student government to recognize this.
  - iv. Crespo: All of my classes are asynchronous, so is it that international students are not able to take asynchronous classes?
    - 1. Lobodzinski: I don't think you know if it is asynchronous when selecting courses. A lot of courses are up to the professors. Some professors make students show up and that they won't record them. This is unfair to international students.
  - v. Stoneman: I think having a resolution like this shows that we are working to create tangible solutions. This is very beneficial for our mission on the DEI front. I think that the international students should not have to face these challenges right now, especially with COVID being such a global problem still. This tells

international students that we are listening to them, working for them, and fighting for them on these issues.

- vi. Motion to Call the Question by Unanimous Consent: Watt
  - 1. Second: Cohen
    - a. Vote by Unanimous Consent: APPROVED
- k. [R W2021.03 A Resolution Allocating \\$10,000 from the Fall 2020 LSA Student Government Surplus to the Budget Allocations Committee](#)
  - i. McCaughey: What this is doing is that we cannot spend money until we pass the budget. The earliest that we can do this is next week. This allows them to legally allocate money to student organizations. The remainder will be dissolved into the general LSA SG budget for this semester.
  - ii. Motion to Call the Question: Watt
    - 1. Second: McCaughey
      - a. Vote by Acclamation: APPROVED
- l. [R W2021.04 A Resolution Expressing Support for the Municipalization of Ann Arbor's Energy Grid](#)
  - i. Farah: This resolution was mentioned at the beginning of the meeting during the guest speaker portion. I believe that they laid out a compelling case to explore this idea. The University of Michigan has the potential to be the biggest user of the new power grid. If this is something that the assembly supports, this gives the mandate to TREES to organize support of students to call into meetings and advertisements to support this resolution. This would be a huge step forward to achieving our sustainability goals. This would be a very drastic shift in how we get our power. I would like this to be tabled for this week so we can make some minor changes.
  - ii. Lobodzinski: Is CSG taking any action in supporting Ann Arbor for Public Power? If this was passed, would this be forwarded to the Ann Arbor for Public Power and public officials?
    - 1. Farah: There is not a resolution like this in CSG. I would pursue collaborating with CSG to bring this to the floor. The change that you propose of sending this to public officials is something that I would like

to make. I would like this to be sent to the Power Commission and the City Council. I hope that we can get this vote in the next four years.

iii. Motion to table for one week: Crespo

1. Second: McCaughey

a. Vote by Acclamation: PASSED

m. [R W2021.05 A Resolution Authorizing the Winter 2021 LSA Student Government Budget](#)

i. This is what I put together for the budget. If anyone has a question, please let me know. I put my contact information in my report, if you have any questions, please hit my line.

ii. Watt: Something that CSG does, they give their election directors an advertising budget. What are your broad thoughts on that?

1. Our election costs are \$1000. This gives us enough money to advertise after paying two election directors. The budget includes candidates are allowed to spend money, this is all covered in there. I based it off of the past precedent and how money is used in this fund.

iii. Motion to table for one week: Ngyuen

1. Second: McCaughey

a. Vote by Acclamation: APPROVED

#### 16. Matters Arising

a. McCaughey: I want to take a Zoom Pic for stuff me and Vince are going to use for advertisement stuff later!

b. Watt: I would like to know what letter would be added to ECRAFTF, and will the name be getting worse?

i. Cohen: A J is being added, and the name will be getting worse.

c. Stoneman: ECRAFTF is getting a name change. We have a lot on our plate right now, if you have any ideas on the judiciary, please come. Establishing a whole new branch to the government is a lot too it. We are working on it, but we could really use some help on it.

d. Cohen: I would like to echo what Max said. We have a lot we are taking on, and would like to have all of the help that we can get. If you cannot make our meeting time, please

email me so that we can work together.

- e. Salino: Winterfest is next week, if you are interested in joining any other students organizations, I highly recommend that you attend.
- f. Bazzi: We got through 15 resolutions and it is not even 11pm. This was very efficient and a productive meeting.

#### 17. Snaps

#### 18. Closing Roll Call

- a. Bazzi, Selena ()
- b. Walker, Josiah ()
- c. McCaughey, Kathryn ()
- d. Watt, Tyler ()
- e. Colvin, Anna ()
- f. McKillop, Mary ()
- g. Torres, Gabriela ()
- h. Fivecoat, Chayton ()
- i. Goodsell, Allison (E)
- j. Farah, Zackariah ()
- k. Manikandan, Divya ()
- l. Cohen, Jacob ()
- m. Theuerkauf, Caroline ()
- n. Nelson, Erica ()
- o. Zhao, Suki ()
- p. Gunasekaran, Gaurie ()
- q. Lobodzinski, Joseph ()
- r. Hamamy, Salma ()
- s. Rich, Sophia ()
- t. Salino, Sarah ()
- u. Stevens, Major White ()
- v. McLean, Claudia ()
- w. Rothstein, Kayla ()

- x. Williams, Erik ()
- y. Dai, Carol ()
- z. Fioritto, Tyler (E)
- aa. Nguyen, Alex ()
- bb. Altimemy, Zahraa (A)
- cc. List, Riley (E)
- dd. Jonaitis, Cody ()
- ee. Stoneman, Max ()
- ff. Prasad, Ajay (A)
- gg. Simmons, Natalie ()
- hh. Wojtara, Magda (A)
- ii. Tedrick, Vincenzo ()
- jj. Rifkin, Cameron ()
- kk. Hwang, Tae Won (Danny) ()
- ll. Diaz, Danae (A)
- mm. Crespo, Maleny ()
- nn. Farmer, Adrianna (A)
- oo. Pierangeli, Cody (A)
- pp. Donahue, Thomas ()
- qq. Pratapa, Pranavi ()
- rr. Browdy, Wyatt (A)
- ss. Kernis, Jacob (A)
- tt. Sommerfeld, Elizabeth (A)
- uu. Mulliken, Ryan (A)
- vv. Dalrymple, Timothy (A)

## 19. Adjournment

- a. Motion: Rothstein
- b. *Second*: Stoneman
  - i. Ajourned: 10:38pm