Elementary: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Dasar
Volume xx, Issue x, Year xxxx, ISSN: 2579-9282
https://e-journal.metrouniv.ac.id/index.php/elementary

Article Title (Maximum 16 Words; 14 pt, Book Antiqua)

First Author's Name* (12 pt, Book Antiqua)
Full Institutional Affiliation (Do Not Use Abbreviations)
Institutional Address
E-mail: Provide an active and accessible e-mail address

Second Author's Name, and So On
Full Institutional Affiliation (Do Not Use Abbreviations)
Institutional Address
E-mail: Provide an active and accessible e-mail address

Received: …/…/… Revised: …/…/… Accepted: …/…/…

*Corresponding Author

DOI: ….leave blank…

Abstract (Font 12, Book Antiqua)

As a central component of the manuscript, the abstract should clearly and concisely communicate the overall significance of the study. Minimize the use of abbreviations, and do not include citations or references. The abstract must not exceed 250 words. It should include the study background, objective, methods, a summary of the results or findings, and the implications of the study.

Keywords: keyword one; keyword two; keyword three; etc. (A maximum of five keywords, arranged alphabetically)

A. Introduction (Font 12, Book Antiqua)

The Introduction should present the research background, the problem under investigation, and the rationale for the study, supported by relevant concepts, theories, and previous research findings. The review of previous studies (state of the art) should critically examine high-quality, up-to-date, and reputable primary

scholarly sources to identify the research gap that provides the basis for establishing the study's novelty. The final part of the Introduction should clearly state the research objective. The Introduction must be written in a narrative form without subheadings.

The Introduction should account for approximately 20–25% of the total article length. All sources must be properly cited. Citations and references must follow the APA 7th Edition style, and reference management software must be used.

B. Research Methods (Font 12, Book Antiqua)

The Research Methods section should describe the research procedures clearly, systematically, and in sufficient detail to enable other researchers to replicate the study. It should include the type and design of the research; the research location and period (where relevant); the population and sample or participants/data sources; research instruments or materials, as appropriate to the study; data collection techniques; instrument validity and reliability or data trustworthiness procedures; and data analysis techniques. This section is recommended to account for approximately 15–20% of the total article length.

C. Results (Font 12, Book Antiqua)

The Results section should present the research findings objectively and systematically, in accordance with the research objectives or questions. The presentation may be supported by relevant tables, figures, graphs, diagrams, or other illustrations to clarify the information. Each table, figure, or graph must be numbered consecutively, provided with a title, referred to in the narrative, and accompanied by relevant commentary or discussion. Information already presented in tables or figures need not be repeated in full in the text. In qualitative research, the findings should be presented in detail under subtopics corresponding to the research focus, themes, or categories. The Results section should account for approximately 20–25% of the total article length.

Example of Table Presentation:

Table 1. Product Revision Based on Expert Feedback

Product Before Revision	Expert Advice	Product After Revision
The cultural diversity material only provided general explanations without concrete examples.	Add representative examples of Indonesian culture to make the material more contextual and easier for students to understand.	Examples of traditional clothing, traditional houses, regional dances, and local foods from different regions of Indonesia were added.

Example of Graph/Figure Presentation:



Figure 1. Framework of Problem-Based Learning Mathematics Teaching Materials

D. **Discussion** (Font 12, Book Antiqua)

The Discussion section aims to interpret the research results, explain the meaning of the findings, and demonstrate their contributions to the development of knowledge and educational practice. The discussion should address the research objectives or questions by relating the findings to relevant concepts, theories, and previous research. Authors should explain the similarities, differences, or novel aspects of their findings in comparison with previous studies and discuss the theoretical and practical implications of the results.

The Discussion must be critically developed based on the data obtained. Authors must not provide interpretations that go beyond the findings, make speculation unsupported by the data, or present exaggerated claims. As the most important part of the article, the Discussion should account for approximately 25–30% of the total article length.

E. **Conlusion** (Font 12, Book Antiqua)

The Conclusion should answer the research objectives based on the results and discussion presented. It should be concise, clear, and focused, emphasizing the main findings and the study's contribution to the development of knowledge, practice, or policy in the relevant field. Rather than merely repeating the results, this section should provide a synthesis of the findings.

The Conclusion must not contain citations, tables, figures, statistics, or new information or discussion that has not been presented in the Results and Discussion sections. Where relevant, authors may briefly state the study's implications, limitations, and recommendations for future research at the end of the Conclusion. The Conclusion should be written in a single paragraph. If

necessary, it may consist of two paragraphs, without numbering or bullet points. This section should account for approximately 5–8% of the total article length.

F. Acknowledgments (Font 12, Book Antiqua)

This section should briefly acknowledge the contributions of specific colleagues, institutions, or organizations that supported the authors' work.

G. Funding Statement (Font 12, Book Antiqua)

The Funding Statement should identify the sources of financial support for the research and the preparation of the article. Authors must disclose all funding received, including the name of the funding institution or organization and, where available, the research grant number or code. If the research did not receive funding from any source, authors may state that the study was conducted without specific funding.

Example:

This research was supported by [Name of Institution] through the [Name of Funding Scheme/Program], under grant number [Grant Number].

or

This research received no external funding and was conducted using the authors' personal resources.

H. Conflict of Interest Statement (Font 12, Book Antiqua)

Authors must disclose any conflicts of interest that could potentially influence the conduct of the research, the interpretation of the results, or the preparation of the manuscript, whether financial or non-financial. If no conflicts of interest exist, authors must explicitly state that there are no conflicts of interest related to the research and publication of the article.

Example:

The authors declare that there are no financial or non-financial conflicts of interest related to the research and publication of this article.

I. Author Contribution (Font 12, Book Antiqua)

The Author Contributions section should briefly describe each author's contribution to the research and the preparation of the article. Contributions may be specified using roles such as conceptualization, methodology, investigation, data analysis, writing the original draft, review and editing, supervision, or funding acquisition, in accordance with the CRediT Taxonomy. Each author must be identified consistently by their initials. Initials may consist of one, two, or more letters, depending on the author's name, and must distinguish between authors with similar initials.

Note:

Contributor Roles (CRediT Taxonomy)

Authors are required to describe each contributor's role according to the Contributor Roles Taxonomy (CRediT). Each author must be assigned at least three roles that accurately reflect their involvement in the research and preparation of the article.

1. Conceptualization – Formulating the research idea, objectives, and scope.
2. Methodology – Designing or developing the research design and methods.
3. Software – Developing, modifying, or implementing software, computer programs, or algorithms that support the research.
4. Validation – Verifying the accuracy, consistency, and reproducibility of the research results.
5. Formal Analysis – Analyzing data using statistical, mathematical, computational, or other formal analytical approaches.
6. Investigation – Conducting research activities, including experiments, observations, surveys, interviews, and data collection.
7. Resources – Providing the materials, instruments, facilities, equipment, or other resources required for the research.
8. Data Curation – Managing, organizing, documenting, and maintaining research data to ensure that it is ready for use and can be reused.
9. Writing – Original Draft – Preparing and writing the initial draft of the scholarly article.
10. Writing – Review & Editing – Reviewing, providing feedback on, revising, and refining the manuscript through to its final version.
11. Visualization – Preparing and presenting research findings in tables, graphs, figures, diagrams, or other visual formats.
12. Supervision – Providing guidance, mentoring, and oversight throughout the research process and the preparation of the article.
13. Project Administration – Managing and coordinating research activities to ensure that they are carried out according to plan.
14. Funding Acquisition – Securing or managing financial support for the research.

Example of Author Contributions

FA: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft. **UA:** Formal Analysis, Data Curation, Writing – Review & Editing. **JS:** Supervision, Validation, Project Administration.

J. AI Use Statement (Font 12, Book Antiqua)

In preparing this manuscript, the authors used Grammarly to assist with

checking grammar, spelling, punctuation, and readability. After using the tool, the authors reviewed and edited the generated suggestions as necessary and take full responsibility for the accuracy, substance, and content of the publication.

Note:

Elementary: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Dasar has established the following policy on the use of artificial intelligence (AI) to ensure that such technology is used transparently, responsibly, and in accordance with the principles of academic integrity and publication ethics.

1. **Use of AI by Authors**

Authors may use AI tools to assist in preparing a manuscript, provided that such use is transparent, responsible, and ethical. AI may be used to support activities such as language editing, grammar and spelling checks, improving readability, translation, formatting, programming assistance, or other technical tasks that do not replace the authors' intellectual contributions.

AI must not be used to replace scholarly reasoning, formulate or manipulate research data, generate research findings that do not arise from the research process, or produce scholarly interpretations that are presented as the authors' own work without adequate verification. Authors remain fully responsible for all content in the manuscript, including the accuracy of the data, analyses, interpretations, references, and every section drafted or revised with the assistance of AI.

AI tools must not be listed as authors because they cannot assume responsibility, approve the final version of the manuscript, or be held accountable for the integrity of scholarly work. All individuals listed as authors must have made an intellectual contribution and meet the applicable authorship criteria.

2. **Disclosure of AI Use**

Authors must disclose the use of AI tools during manuscript preparation. This disclosure includes, but is not limited to, the use of AI for generating or editing text, creating or modifying images, data analysis, programming assistance, translation, or other activities that substantively affect the preparation of the manuscript.

The AI use disclosure must be included in the AI Use Statement section of the manuscript. At a minimum, the statement must specify the name of the AI tool used, its version or model where available, and the purpose for which it was used.

Example:

The authors used Grammarly to check the grammar, spelling, punctuation, and readability of the manuscript. All suggestions were reviewed and appropriately revised by the authors. The authors take full responsibility for the entire content and substance of the publication.

Failure to disclose AI use as required may be considered a violation of the principles of transparency and publication ethics. Depending on the severity of the violation, it may be taken into consideration during the editorial process and may result in the manuscript being returned or rejected, or corrective action being taken in relation to an already published article.

3. **Authors Who Do Not Use AI**

Authors who do not use AI tools in preparing their manuscripts are not required to include an AI use statement. However, if an explicit statement is considered necessary, authors may use the following wording:

Example:
The authors declare that no generative artificial intelligence (AI) tools were used in the preparation, analysis, or writing of this manuscript.

K. Reference (Font 12, Book Antiqua)

Every source cited in the manuscript must appear in the reference list, and every reference listed must be cited in the text. Authors are responsible for the accuracy, completeness, and consistency of all in-text citations and reference entries.

References should primarily consist of relevant, recent, and reputable primary scholarly articles. Authors are encouraged to cite at least 25 references, at least 80% of which should be articles published in scholarly journals and at least 80% of which should have been published within the last five years. Books, conference proceedings, laws and regulations, and other sources may also be cited when relevant to the research topic.

The reference list must follow the American Psychological Association (APA) 7th Edition author–date citation style (in-text citations). Authors must use reference management software, such as Mendeley or Zotero, to prepare citations and the reference list. Manuscripts that do not use reference management software may be returned to the authors for correction before further processing.

A Digital Object Identifier (DOI) must be provided for every reference that has one.

Examples of Reference Entries:

Journal Articles

Antasari, I. W. (2025). Dukungan Orang Tua dalam Membangun Literasi Anak. *Edulib*, *6*(2), 138–146. https://doi.org/10.17509/EDULIB.V6I2.5025.G3573

Cengiz, C., Aylar, E., & Yıldız, E. (2025). Intuitive development of the concept of integers among primary school students. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, *11*(2), 191–199. https://doi.org/10.26822/iejee.2019248599

Books

Arikunto, S. (2016). *Prosedur Penelitian: Pendekatan Praktek*. Jakarta: PT. Rineka Cipta.

Hopkins, C., Pope, S., & Pepperell, S. (2020). *Understanding Primary Mathematics*. United States of America: David Fulton Publishers. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203963500

Conference Proceedings
Gayatri, T., Soegiyanto, H., & Rintayati, P. (2023). Development of Contextual Teaching Learning-Based Audio Visual Adobe Flash Media to Improve Critical Thinking Ability of Geography Learning at Senior High School. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, *145*(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/145/1/012004

Theses/Dissertations
Arfin, M. (2024). Implementasi Nilai-nilai Pendidikan Karakter Pada SD Negeri Mannuruki Makassar. *Tesis*, 1–116.

Copyright Holder:
© Author, dkk. (2026)

First Publication Right:
© Elementary: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Dasar

This article is under:
CC BY SA

Every submitted manuscript must be accompanied by a similarity-check report in PDF format, uploaded as a separate file. The permitted similarity index is a maximum of 20%. Manuscripts with a similarity index exceeding this limit will not proceed to the review stage until the authors have made the necessary revisions.