Cultivating a Wine Industry: *A Market & Policy Analysis with Recommendations for Colorado's Developing Wine Sector*

Dr. Seth M. Porter

University of Colorado Colorado Springs

Associate Professor

Dean of the Kraemer Family Library

Senior Executive Director

Academic Online Lead

Wine Policy & Business Analyst: VinoPolicy.com

*In order to make this document compliant with Accessibility requirements, page numbers may no longer coordinate with those listed in the Table of Contents.

Table of Contents*

*In order to make this document compliant with Accessibility requirements, page numbers may no longer coordinate with those listed in the Table of Contents.

Abstract

This analysis is a comprehensive market and policy evaluation of the Colorado wine industry, which focuses on enhancing collaboration among public and private stakeholders, including NGOs, government entities, higher education institutions, and private businesses. In identifying the industry's significant role in rural development and sustainable agricultural economics and tax infrastructure, this analysis used an inventive methodological approach, including text mining, quantitative analysis, environmental scan, document analysis, and a comprehensive literature review. It then integrates this data and market and policy frameworks through program evaluation using the tools of impact evaluation and SWOT analysis. These results highlight the potential for growth, quality improvement, and the critical interplay between the various stakeholders.

Key findings from the quantitative analysis include the price comparison within the U.S. Wine Market, explicitly focusing on wines produced in Colorado with Colorado-grown grapes. Data collected by the author in 2023 reveals a mean (average) price of $28.35 and a median price of $26.00, indicating a premium/luxury segment within the broader market. While the premium pricing segment demonstrates the value placed on Colorado-produced wines, it also poses a potential threat to the industry. With a mean price of $28.35 and a median price of $26.00, this pricing strategy may limit market accessibility and deter a broader consumer base, potentially hindering the industry's growth by narrowing its appeal and reducing its competitiveness in a diverse and price-sensitive global market. Another key finding highlights a significant obstacle to market access: the insufficient penetration of Colorado wine in grocery store sectors is a major barrier to its widespread market expansion, emphasizing the need for strategic policy measures to improve its distribution reach.

The study culminates in 40 actionable policy and market recommendations for industry improvement informed by all previous analyses and findings. It illustrates the importance of informed empirical evidence and best practices for the future development of the Colorado wine industry and other developing wine regions.

INTRODUCTION

This analysis is a critical exploration of the Colorado wine industry. It analyzes its historical and current state and how strategic market and policy analysis with actionable recommendations can assist in its continued development. In delving into the sector's status and potential growth paths, this paper aims to analyze the intricate balance between market dynamics and policy frameworks that shape the industry's future. Central to this examination is an empirical-driven view of the industry's present realities, challenges, and opportunities. The paper navigates the complexities of Colorado's unique environmental conditions, overall and localized market trends, and policy environment to understand the industry's current position comprehensively.

Next, the focus shifts to the potential trajectories for the Colorado wine industry. This forward-looking perspective is grounded in a detailed market and policy analysis that attempts to identify the strategic decisions that could propel the industry to greater heights. The analysis offers actionable insights for policymakers, industry stakeholders, and farmers, emphasizing how informed decisions can shape the industry's future. Simply put, this analysis serves as a compass for the developing Colorado wine industry, assisting in developing a direction from its current state toward a prosperous and sustainable future. A thorough understanding of market conditions and policy interventions guides this analysis of the potential opportunities within the sector. The goal is to present a clear, actionable strategy for nurturing and advancing this vibrant, developing industry.

It does this through five key sections: First, the Colorado Wine Industry Overview, a detailed assessment of the commercial enterprise and the public policy environment. Second is the Contextual Overview and Literature Review about emerging wine regions, consumer behavior, wine, agricultural economic impact, and rural development. Third, the Program Evaluation and Market Analysis Methods and Data Section details the overall process, the empirical programmatic evaluation, market analysis tools, and data sources that inform the analysis, including text mining, document analysis, environmental scan/literature review, and quantitative analysis. Fourth, the Program Evaluation and Market Analysis Section investigates the Colorado wine industry through an impact evaluation followed by a SWOT analysis, which is informed by all previous data and literature. Fifth, the Policy and Market Recommendations that detail the evidence-based recommendations informed by this analysis for continued industry and state development are summarized with a brief conclusion.

*In order to make this document compliant with Accessibility requirements, page numbers may no longer coordinate with those listed in the Table of Contents.

COLORADO WINE INDUSTRY OVERVIEW

The Colorado wine industry is a relatively new commercial enterprise with deep historical roots dating back to the 19th century. The industry experienced comparatively continual growth until the state's prohibition law was passed in 1916 (Schlachter, 2012). The industry reignited its development in 1997 and has been growing rapidly since, evolving into its current state, boasting approximately 145+ licensed wineries, including 20 cideries and 12 meaderies. Although the industry is diffused throughout the state, the primary geographic location is centered in two main American Viticultural Areas (AVAs) on the state's western side. The Grand Valley and West Elks, primarily located on the western slope, are renowned for their agricultural production. Most of this activity is concentrated in the 76,000-acre Grand Valley, stretching between Palisade and Grand Junction along the Colorado River. The Grand Valley AVA is known for its temperate climate, high elevation, and vineyards in the Palisades that are protected from some of the harsh Colorado weather conditions through the moderating canyon winds. The 48,000-acre West Elks are in Delta County around Paonia and Hotchkiss in North Fork Valley along the Gunnison River. It is well known for its alkaline soils, high elevation with some of the highest vineyards in the northern hemisphere, arid climate, and remote geographic location (Schlachter, 2012; Willcox, 2022). Other regions with viticultural potential complement these two primary viticultural areas in Colorado. The Four Corner Regions exhibits promising viticultural prospects, while Denver's high population density supports a thriving urban winery industry. Additional viticulture is observed in Delta and Montrose counties, the Rocky Mountains region in the north-central area, the northern and central Front Range, the northeastern plains, and the Pikes Peak southern area of the state (Colorado Wine, 2023). Particularly in Fremont County, near the Arkansas River Valley and east of the Royal Gorge, viticulture has potential in a region long recognized for agricultural production (Goble et al., 2023). Notably, this area has a rich history of grape growing, dating back to pre-prohibition times when Italian immigrants working in the mines established vineyards (Schlachter, 2012; McMillin, 2020).

Although Colorado's climate is highly variable, it does offer favorable and unique conditions for high-quality viticulture, with high UV saturation, over 300 days of sunshine annually, and extreme diurnal temperature variation (Colorado Wine, 2023). In detail, with high UV light saturation at high altitudes, there is less atmosphere to filter out the UV light; therefore, there is less protection on the vine, and the vine is forced to respond (Clarke, 2022). Clarke (2022) details the positive impacts of this High UV light saturation:

The entire vine senses the higher UV light, and different tissues react differently. The skin will increase the concentration of certain compounds, mostly phenolic compounds, that work as a natural sunblock. The vines reduce the size of the canopy and the leaves in an effort to control transpiration; water stress actually has similar effects on the vines. According to the Catena Institute, the result is a one-two punch that concentrates flavors and aromatics, creates complexity and deepens the color of red wines (pg.1).

Moreover, high-altitude viticulture is naturally aligned with extreme diurnal temperature variation, which assists in high-quality viticulture. To illustrate, extreme diurnal variation is expected in high-altitude areas that often have high temperatures during the day, which helps in positive grape development through sugar evolution, followed by cool nights that slow down or stop grape growth and development, which conserves vital buoyant aromas and acidity (Cohen et al., 2012; Willcox, 2023). While there is clear environmental potential for unique terroir-driven viticulture and industry, there are also clear downside risks.

Extreme cold weather variability consistently threatens overall viticulture and grape production. In detail, unpredictable climatic and sudden storm/cold emergence during the spring around bud-break and harvest, such as the October freezes in 2019 and specifically 2020, severely damaged the harvest and were so extreme they led to widespread vineyard reduction and removal (High Peak Strategy, 2021; Stuber, 2023). Furthermore, regular wildfires consistently threaten to damage entire crops through smoke-taint. Burky (2020) details this after-effect of the constant threat of Colorado wildfires, “smoke taint happens when compounds from smoke — called free volatile phenols — are released from burning wood and bind onto the sugars within a grape’s skin. Drinking smoke-tainted wine has been likened to licking an ashtray, affecting red wines more than others. Even thoroughly rinsing grapes with water will probably not help keep the taint from overwhelming the grapes.” Farming and viticulture are consistently a variable and risk-filled industry, and Colorado's extreme environment and terroir are on the vanguard of this in the world of wine.

Even with this extreme terroir, the state produces many varieties, including high-quality Vitis Vinifera. These include Pinot Noir, Cabernet Franc, Chardonnay, Merlot, and Cabernet Sauvignon, but also other grape varieties like Riesling, Syrah, Sangiovese, Rkatsiteli, Barbera, and Lemberger, to name a few (Caspari et al., 2021). There is also a clear and growing emphasis on French-Hybrids as well as Native American Varietals, cold-hearty and disease resistant grapes and rootstock well-suited for extreme weather. These include Chambourcin, Petite Pearl, Marquette, Cabernet Dore, and Osceola Muscat amongst others. (Caspari et. al., 2021; Stuber, 2023).

In addition to the foundational executive summary regarding the viticultural and oenological environment, it is vital to have a deeper understanding and dive into the intricacies and complexities of the commercial enterprise of the industry.

Economic Environment

Colorado wine production, while minimal compared to many national and global competitors, has grown almost exponentially. In 1995, the total production was 12,573 cases and 29,894 gallons. In 2022, the total production was 206,233 cases and 490,331 gallons. Within the market-facing aspects of the industry, in 1991-1992 the percent of market share of Colorado wine within the state by fiscal resources allocated was 0.5392%; by 2021-2022 this had grown to 5.3069% (Colorado Department of Revenue, 2022). Although these are singular metrics, they illustrate the continuous growth and begin to detail the output from the industry. Furthermore, the impact of the macro-economic wine industry and the local Colorado wine industry is a major economic contribution to the state.
To illustrate further, the wine industry substantially contributes to Colorado's economy, generating approximately $3.91 billion in total economic activity to serve as a significant economic driver for the state. Furthermore, this is not limited to the wine regions alone. The economic impact extends across the state, influencing various sectors, including farming, banking, bottle shops, grocery stores, distributors, manufacturing, transportation, and other aligned industries (WineAmerica, 2023). It is

important to note that much of this economic impact is driven by overall retail, where the labor and economic impact is induced from the overall wine market and is not solely related to Colorado wine production.

Within this economic paradigm, sustainable long-term job creation is a major mechanism as the industry employs over 16,000 individuals and generates an additional 5,000 plus jobs in suppliers, transportation, and related sectors providing goods and services to the industry. In sum, the local wine industry supports over 28,000 jobs. It contributes to an even greater wage generation that assists in well-paid roles with an average annual salary and benefits of $48,100 (WineAmerica, 2023). The total wages generated by the wine industry, including direct, indirect, and tertiary economic impacts, are $1.36 billion in Colorado (WineAmerica, 2023). As discussed previously, only a segment of this is local Colorado-produced wine, but it is inherently aligned.

More directly, the local Colorado Wineries significantly impact the economy; in 2019, the wine industry emerged as a key employer in the state, directly employing 890 individuals, with 540 of these roles directly working for wineries. These wineries were spread across 29 counties, indicating a widespread employment impact across the state. Financially, the industry generated robust revenue, with a total revenue of $79.3 million in 2019. Winery revenues contributed a significant portion of this total, amounting to $50.7 million. Beyond direct revenue, the industry created an additional $8.4 million in revenue through mark-ups among wholesalers, retailers, and restaurants, showcasing a significant economic ripple effect across the broader market. In addition, in 2019, the overall impact of production, distribution, and sales was estimated to be $123.2 million (High Peak Strategy, 2021).

Agritourism is a significant driver of tourism within the state as the Colorado wine regions produce roughly 311,700 tourist visits annually, directly resulting in over $100 million in tourism expenditures (WineAmerica, 2023). Moreover, Colorado's commercial wine enterprise generates substantial local, state, and national tax revenues. In 2022, it was expected to pay nearly $125.54 million in state and local taxes and $254.93 million in federal taxes, totaling $380.47 million. Moreover, the industry generates approximately $17.98 million in federal and $58.32 million in state consumption taxes, including excise and sales taxes (WineAmerica, 2023). Undoubtedly, the industry, though in its nascent stage, is generating substantial economic impact locally.

As shown, the Colorado wine industry significantly impacts various aspects of the state's economy with a marked impact on rural economic development. While this is more difficult to quantify, viticulture and the aligned industries are long-term economic value-adds. They cannot simply pick up and move to a different region when they are recruited by different economic development organizations, which is common in manufacturing and technology (Hall, 2009). Furthermore, the value-add to rural economic development compounds as viticulture is effectively developing areas where other forms of agriculture often struggle, capitalizing on poor soils that, paradoxically, can contribute to producing high-quality grapes and viticulture (Jackson, 2008). This is vital as there are few opportunity costs and economic agriculture trade-offs with viticulture, as it is often farmed where nothing else would be. Moreover, given its current growth rate, the Colorado wine industry is expected to expand further, increasing its economic impact on the state.

Colorado Policy Environment

Primary Stakeholders

The primary stakeholders in the Colorado wine industry include the Colorado General Assembly, the Colorado Department of Agriculture, the Colorado Wine Industry Development Board (CWIDB), the Colorado Association for Viticulture & Enology (CAVE), Colorado State University Viticulture Extension, Colorado Liquor Enforcement Division (LED), Viticulture and Enology Program at Colorado Mesa University Tech, affiliated organizations, and private industry actors. These principal stakeholders, detailed below, play a pivotal role in the Colorado wine industry's functioning, regulation, and advancement.

- **Colorado General Assembly:** The Colorado General Assembly, the state's legislative body with a Senate and House of Representatives, not only passes general state laws but also plays a vital role in the wine industry. This includes enacting specific legislation like the Wine Industry Development Act and establishing the Colorado Wine Industry Development Board in 1990 to foster local wine industry growth. The assembly oversees regulatory aspects such as board members' term limits and other alcohol-related regulations, significantly contributing to the development of Colorado’s wine sector as part of its broader legislative duties (Colorado General Assembly, 2023).

- **Colorado’s Department of Agriculture (CDA):** The Colorado Department of Agriculture actively supports the wine industry by managing the Wine & Grape Industry Processing Grant with a $250,000 fund to boost processing capacity. It collaborates with the Colorado Wine Industry Development Board (CWIDB) and engages with industry stakeholders. Additionally, it promotes the sector through partnerships with organizations like the Colorado Association for Viticulture and Enology, contributing to the growth of Colorado's wine industry (Colorado Department of Agriculture, 2023).
- **Colorado Wine Industry Development Board (CWIDM)**: The Colorado Wine Industry Development Board (CWIDB), a fundamental entity within the Colorado wine industry, operates under the Colorado Department of Agriculture. Its mission is to support and promote the state's wine sector. Led by an Executive Director, the board frequently discusses strategies to advance the industry, focusing on promoting wineries, cideries, and meaderies and supporting enology and viticulture research. These activities significantly foster growth and improve the quality of Colorado's wine industry (Colorado Wine, 2023).
- **Colorado Association for Viticulture & Enology (CAVE)**: The Colorado Association for Viticulture & Enology (CAVE), a non-profit and member-based organization, plays a crucial role in Colorado's wine sector, independent from the state's Colorado Wine Industry Development Board. Focused on promoting and supporting Colorado's wine and grape industry, CAVE emphasizes enology, quality, and the science and practice of viticulture and winemaking. It organizes the annual VinCO Conference & Trade Show and engages in various industry and quality improvement initiatives, significantly contributing to the development and support of Colorado's wine industry (CAVE, 2023).
- **Colorado State Viticulture Extension Office (CSVTEO)**: The Colorado State Viticulture Extension Office (CSVTEO), part of Colorado State University, plays a pivotal role in the viticultural aspect of Colorado's wine industry. It conducts critical research on best practices for grape cultivation, covering varietal selection, cold hardiness, rootstock choices, and disease management. Additionally, CSVTEO hosts educational programs and industry discussions, supporting stakeholders in adopting industry best practices. Their efforts in research and development significantly advance viticulture in Colorado, thereby bolstering the state's wine industry (CSU Viticulture Extension, 2023).

- **Colorado Liquor Enforcement Division (LED):** The Colorado Liquor Enforcement Division (LED), part of the Colorado Department of Revenue, plays a vital regulatory role in the wine industry. Its mission encompasses promoting public safety, economic growth, and responsible liquor sale and consumption by enforcing liquor laws. LED operates within a framework that enables uniform statewide enforcement. It investigates various complaints, including regulatory compliance and wholesale trade practices. Colorado requires retailers to obtain dual licensing approval for operation (Colorado Department of Revenue, 2023).
- **Viticulture and Enology Program at Colorado Mesa University Tech (CMU Tech):** CMU Tech's program offers an associate degree in viticulture and enology and is crucial in supporting the state's wine industry. It provides education and training in managing wine businesses and winemaking processes. Through these offerings, CMU Tech significantly aids in workforce development within the industry (CMU, 2023).
- **Affiliated Organizations:** Other partners include Wine America, Colorado (CO) Cider Guild, Brewers Guild, Western Colorado Horticulture Society, and Retail Liquor Outlets (Colorado Wine, 2023).
- **Private Industry Actors**: This includes stakeholders such as farmers, individual wineries, bottle shops, restaurants, distribution, and transportation firms, all of which play a significant role in the viticulture and wine industry's ecosystem. Their activities, ranging from grape production, agriculture, wine production, sales, marketing distribution, and consumption, are the primary reason for rural development, growth, and economic impact of the wine sector. Each entity, with its unique offerings and services, enriches the diversity and accessibility of wine, thereby collectively enhancing the industry's overall landscape and consumer experience.

Primary Public Policies

The primary public policies that have facilitated the current environment of the Colorado wine industry are detailed below.

- **Colorado Limited Farm Winery Act**: This 1977 act renewed viticulture in Colorado in creating free trade among wineries and consumers, especially with the Reciprocal Shipping Law (Colorado Revised Statutes § 35-29.5-102, 2022).

- **AVA Creation**: The federal government approved Grand Valley near Palisade, Colorado, as an American Viticultural Area (AVA) in 1991, followed by the designation of West Elks AVA in 2001, promoting the state's viticulture (Colorado: Appellation Profile, 2007).
- **Colorado Wine Industry Development Act**: This act established the Colorado Wine Industry Development Board and created the legal framework surrounding wine production, distribution, and sales in Colorado (Title 35, Article 29.5, Colorado Wine Industry Development Act, n.d.).
- **Colorado Wine Industry Development Board**: This board supports the development of the wine industry in Colorado through funding for industry activities accrued through taxes on sales volume and weight. It is managed by a Board of Directors within the Department of Agriculture. The board allocates funds for research, development, promotion, and marketing of the Colorado wine industry (Title 35, Article 29.5, Colorado Wine Industry Development Act, n.d.).
- **Hard Cider Exemption Wine Industry Development Act (2019)**: This act reclassified wine to exclude hard cider, exempting hard cider production from the excise tax (SB19-142 Hard Cider Exemption Wine Industry Development Act, 2019).
- **Wine In Grocery Stores Law**: This 2023 law permits grocery stores to sell wine and introduces new provisions like tastings and home deliveries (Laszlo, 2023).
- **Wine & Grape Industry Processing Grant**: This grant allocates $250,000 for projects that enhance processing capacity in the Colorado wine industry (Colorado Department of Agriculture, 2023).
- **Agricultural Stimulus Grant**: This grant funds agricultural processing projects related to the wine industry (Colorado Department of Agriculture, 2023).

Wine Industry Regulatory and Legal Overview

While the regulatory and legal overview is a subset of the public policy environment, the complexity and importance of these primary industry frameworks, detailed below, will assist in policy awareness in the wine industry. The following analysis is primarily adopted from the Colorado Liquor Licensing Handbook and the Colorado Liquor Code to simplify and summarize a complex process. The Colorado Three-Tier System is a comprehensive framework governing the production, distribution, and sale of alcoholic beverages within the state. This system is structured into three distinct tiers: manufacturing, distribution/wholesale, and retail.

Manufacturing forms the first tier, where businesses are classified based on the type of alcohol they produce. This tier includes breweries, wineries, and distilleries. These establishments are involved in the production and can offer tastings and direct sales on their licensed premises. Moving to the distribution/wholesale tier involves the authorized transfer of alcohol from manufacturers to retailers. It plays a crucial role in ensuring that the flow of alcoholic products is well-regulated and reaches the retail outlets effectively. The third tier, retail, involves the sale of alcohol to the end consumer. Both state and local authorities issue retail licenses, allowing for the sale of alcohol on or off the premises. Delving deeper into the types of permits, there are a variety of categories:

- **Manufacturer License**: This includes provisions for tastings and direct sales, with specific licenses for wine and spirits importers, allowing them to sell to licensed wholesalers in Colorado.
- **Nonresident Manufacturer and Importer License:** This is designated for firms that import and sell to licensed wholesalers within the state, including wine and spirits.
- **Wholesaler License:** This authorizes the distribution of alcohol with different types based on the kind of alcohol, including wine.
- **Retail Licenses:** These are further categorized into sub-types for establishments selling alcohol for on or off-premises consumption.

In addition to these primary licenses, Cross-Tier Licenses, like the Limited Winery and Vintner's Restaurant Licenses, also exist. These licenses come with specific production caps and permit a range of activities, including tastings, direct sales, and wholesale sales, with certain conditions on the percentage of income from on-premises sales. Miscellaneous licenses and permits also significantly cater to specific needs like events, outdoor dining, festivals, and direct shipping to consumers. This includes unique permits like the Wine Packaging Permit and the Winery Direct Shipper Permit. The system also imposes restrictions on financial interest across manufacturing/wholesaling and retailing tiers. This includes regulations on the number of licenses held within the same tier and alcohol purchasing regulations for retailers.

Sales rooms and tasting regulations permit licensed wine and spirits manufacturers to operate sales rooms, with specific allowances for limited wineries. These sales rooms can be at permanent locations or temporary events like farmers' markets. Under particular conditions, the Cork and Carry policy allows certain establishments to let customers reseal and take away partially consumed wine. Takeout and delivery regulations are also in place, allowing licensees to deliver products to consumers under strict regulations. Lastly, the licensing process involves detailed regulations, including fees, excise taxes, and enforcement from the Liquor Enforcement Division (LED). Recent legislation, SB 23-143, introduced changes to the Retail Delivery Fee (RDF), exempting certain businesses and outlining the fee structure for non-exempt businesses.

This multi-layered system reflects Colorado's commitment to regulating the alcohol industry comprehensively, attempting to balance business interests with public safety and consumption regulations. While the preceding detailed overview highlighted critical aspects of the current Colorado wine industry, market, primary stakeholders, and policy environment, further exploration into the overall industry is needed to comprehensively understand the development status and needs.

CONTEXTUAL OVERVIEW & LITERATURE REVIEW

Emerging and developing wine regions, particularly those lacking national or global recognition, contend with the unique challenges of solidifying their market influence and their reputation in the expansive and diverse national and international wine market, as well as concurrently navigating challenging public and private policy/stakeholders, and influencing positive rural development. The following contextual overview and literature review detail the wine industry's intricate viticultural, commercial, and networked landscape, as well as the policy environment. This overview discusses the empirical literature on consumer behavior and purchasing, wine economics and branding, Quality Assurance Programs (QAPs), Geographic Indicators (GI) such as American Viticultural Areas (AVAs), and public policy influences. A distinct subsection details the effects on agricultural economics and rural development.

Consumer Behavior and the Wine Market

Within the wine industry, consumer purchasing decisions are primarily driven by branding, product differentiation, and segmentation of reputation. Veseth (2011) discusses this in detail:

Winemakers, big and small, seek to establish a brand or reputation that will help them sell their wines to consumers who need a trustworthy indicator of value and/or quality. Americans typically look to brands for quality/value information when shopping in general and so it is natural that wine brands are important here, too. Because there are lots of market segments for wine and many competing brands within each segment, the American system demands lots of variety and choice. This is how your supermarket wine aisle became so extravagant. If you have three or four competing brands for each type of wine at each significant price point, well the numbers of choices quickly adds up (p.88).

While this details the complexity and importance of reputation and brand differentiation within the wine industry, there are however many different factors that influence branding, reputation, consumer decision-making, and, ultimately, commercial success for brands and regions. One of the most important factors for consumer decision-making within an emerging market is the understanding that

*In order to make this document compliant with Accessibility requirements, page numbers may no longer coordinate with those listed in the Table of Contents.

wine, at its most elemental, is a food product; and food products are experience goods as quality is known only after consumption (Nelson, 1970).

Much of the literature on experience goods analyzes how producers can assist consumers by ensuring quality. These quality signals include price, advertising, branding, and other quality assurances (Milgrom & Roberts, 1986). Furthermore, in a highly competitive and crowded market such as wine, consumers are driven by prior positive experiences and reputation—in food products, this is often signaled by label design and quality assurances markers on the label to leverage individual and collective reputation (Ali & Nauges, 2007). This is of even more importance for the wine industry as wine as a product is a luxury good. Therefore, consumers are even more risk averse because of the high price.

The complexity of this market is enhanced by market dynamics and consumer behavior regarding perceived quality. This is generally recognized as a consumer's understanding of a product's quality based on a product's past performance and adherence to specific standards and expectations. This assists consumers in comparing a product's attributes with alternative choices (Zeithami, 1988). This perception of quality can drive value by offering a decision framework for purchase and product differentiation, which is vital in the crowded wine market (Salem, 2004).

Conversely, the perceived value of the product obtained by the consumer, which greatly influences commercial success, is bolstered by the ratio of quality to price. This leads to increased consumer satisfaction, loyalty, and retention and has shifted focus toward delivering superior value as a core challenge in formulating organizational marketing strategies in wine (Cronin et al., 2000; Garretson et al., 2002). The underpinning concept of value, guided by both utility theory and prospect theory, is the emphasis on consumers valuing a product's beneficial attributes while weighing the risks, prices and sacrifices, value function, and loss aversion involved in acquiring the product (Tversky & Kahneman, 1992; Sweeny et al., 1999; Beneke et al., 2013). Utility theory and prospect theories of risk and sacrifices are compounded within experience luxury goods, such as the wine industry, as the financial outlay is significant and the quality often unknown (Tversky & Kahneman, 1992; Ali & Nauges, 2007).

Moreover, the empirical literature establishes that the perception and indicators of quality as a consumer product, as well as the complexity in a crowded market, are often primarily differentiated by reputation (Wang et al., 2003). A strong reputation can enhance the perceived quality of a product or service, reduce the perceived risk for consumers, and consequently increase its perceived value. In situations where explicit quality guarantees are not evident, consumers frequently rely on reputation as an indicator to assess the quality of a product (Purohit & Srivastava, 2001). This intersection of perceived quality, risk, and value, combined with the reputation, creates a complex framework impacting consumer choices. This is evident in the nuanced wine market where regional factors, complex Quality Assurance Programs (QAPs), low brand equity, and collective and individual reputation play pivotal roles (Patel-Campillo & DeLessio-Parson, 2016; Rihn et al., 2022).

QAPs & Indicators of Terroir

As outlined, reputation and quality markers primarily drive wine as an experience suitable to the consumer, and within the industry these markers are represented by Quality Assurance Programs or (QAPs). These are indicators of geographic origin, production method, and brand equity. Quality assurance mechanisms are key drivers of consumer purchasing decisions and critical drivers of developing emerging wine regions (Porter, 2022).

These quality assurance mechanisms are product quality differential signals in a crowded experience good market which minimize risk within purchasing decisions. QAPs are a spectrum of different quality assurances that vary from the origin of production, production methods, and farming guidelines to expert and critic ratings and other more holistic quality assurances, such as descriptions of aromas, taste, and product quality (Veseth, 2011; Rihn et al., 2022). Additionally, the literature indicates that adopting QAPs assists in building a collective reputation for emerging wine regions, where higher-rated individual wines and regions generate higher priced purchasing premiums—as much as $3-$4 for each bottle (Babin & Bushardt, 2018; Rihn et al., 2022; Porter, 2024).

While quality assurance programs are often private industry markers, such as expert ratings, they are most aligned with the geographic origin, such as Appellations or AVA’s in the United States, and production rules, which are directly aligned with consumer purchasing decisions and reflect the sense of place (Delmastro, 2005; Lee & Sumner, 2013; Charmorro et al., 2015; Babin & Bushart, 2019; Keating, 2020). Simply put, it is where the wine is from and guarantees how it is produced and farmed. It serves as a production and quality assurance tool, and, just as importantly, as a marketing and brand differentiation mechanism (Wilson, 1998; Joy, 2007; Steinman, 2014; Babin & Bushart, 2019; Porter, 2024). Adding further complexity to the wine market is the continued evolution and importance of individual firm brand strategy, the collective reputation of the origin of production, and the quality assurances within this regulatory framework. The firm-based brand strategy is of real value in markets like the U.S., where consumers are accustomed to purchasing branded products (Veseth, 2011). Brand strategy is another mechanism for quality assurance, market consistency, and consumer confidence (Akerlof, 1978; Veseth, 2011).

In sum, the complexity of the market, information asymmetry between product and consumer, and the high price risk to the consumer of this experience good all result in the need for straightforward quality assurance programs and branding strategies to manage the individual and collective reputation of the market effectively. To add another layer of complexity to the market is the need for interaction between public and private policy.

Public Policy Influences

Public policy support is vital to developing and emerging wine regions. Mota et al. (2021) illustrates this through a systematic meta-analysis of regional-level decision-making within public policy and has clear indicators for future success and competitive frameworks, including infrastructure, intellectual, productivity, and human and social capital. Furthermore, this nexus of public policy support within regional wine industries is highly influenced by the network of multiple policy actors, including regulatory bodies, individual NGOs, universities, and state and federal support. This has been consistently analyzed and verified in the literature through a triple helix model of regional economic and rural development that highlights the need for the intersection of academia, government, and private industry (Lee & Malerba, 2017; Chen et al., 2019).

New wine regions emerge as a complex collective system of local and international influences of critical stakeholders, differing government agencies, academic experts, multinational firms, and local winemakers and farmers—not singularly a market-driven phenomenon (Chen & Kingsbury, 2019). Hira (2013) validated this interaction of the government and academia facilitating public-private partnerships as a critical driver of developing regional effectiveness and growth.

Furthermore, outside of the macro-public and private policy indicators discussed previously, networked programmatic developments are critical, such as a positive regulatory environment and legislative attention, viticulture and enology education, training, grant mechanisms, industry invitation/involvement, localized farmer-led formal networks emphasizing quality, creation of regional networking events, distribution events in urban areas, wine tourism and trail infrastructure (Chen & Kingsbury, 2019; Porter, 2022; Porter, 2023). This is supported further by the overall literature on rural development and agricultural economics, where the empirical evidence indicates the primary role of the state is to strengthen relevant institutions, whether public, private, or quasi-private, and supply public goods such as research and development, education, and infrastructure (World Bank, 2007). Additionally, the state should focus on public policies to create safety nets for these rural communities (de Janvry et al., 2002). Although this is a complex commercial and regulatory industry, the potential for positive and sustainable agro-economic impact and rural development is significant and subsequently explored in detail.

Agricultural Economics & Rural Development

In the agricultural economics and rural development literature, viticulture and the wine industry have been illustrated to significantly augment more traditional strategies (Hall, 2000; Hall et al., 2009; Cvijanovic et al., 2017). Agricultural economic development and rural development literature illustrate the alignment of viticulture and the wine industry with many of its core primary empirical findings and industry principles. With many of the world's poor living in rural areas, continued rural development is crucial for these communities and the state's overall well-being. Wine, being an agricultural product of a specific place, aligns well with strong and sustainable rural development, covering both traditional economic indicators and emerging themes (de Janvry et al., 2002; OECD, 2016; Cvijanovic et al., 2017;

Van Der Ploeg, 2018). The following literature will detail both the alignment of the viticulture and wine industry with the overall literature and core principles in agricultural economics and rural development—as well as the specific literature presenting the positive impact of viticulture on rural development.

Notably, the need for entrepreneurship and capital infusion emerged as a central theme within rural development literature. Capital infusion from the banking industry and other aligned enterprises assists in creating outlets for entrepreneurship within rural areas, diversifying the economy, and negating poverty (del Olmo-García et al., 2023). Viticulture assists in creating a culture of entrepreneurship and capital infusion through a networked system, comprised of banking, high-net-worth wine enthusiast private actors, enotourism, public interests, and funding, while orchestrating a networked system of farmers, small-business owners, and other related industries (Arfini et al., 2002; Hall, 2009). In short, as a capital-intensive industry that is inherently entrepreneurial, viticulture aids rural areas in gathering and utilizing capital.

Tourism is another significant area in the literature that is a major driver of rural development—particularly wine or enotourism (Hall, 2009). Wine tourism or enotourism is a subset of food tourism that involves exploring wine-producing regions, vineyard visits, wine tastings, and tours (Hall et al., 2000; Carlsen, 2007). It is known as a positive for rural tourism by facilitating authentic exploration of local culture and industries, and making a positive impact on local economics, rural development, while enhancing the quality of life (Hall et al., 2000; Carlsen, 2007; Cvijanovic et al., 2017; Cei & Delfrancesco, 2018). Furthermore, the intersection of public and private stakeholders that develop and market wine routes is instrumental in promoting this enotourism that positively influences rural development. Aligned with enotourism is the cultural significance of viticulture and the wine industry, which can eventually evolve into an entire regional economic and cultural identity (Hall, 2000; Hall, 2009). This often includes wine festivals, food festivals, wine routes, and other viticulture-centered events, creating a sense of community and tradition. This is even furthered by value-adding industries that evolve out of the wine industry through products like grape seed oil and raisins which diversify income sources for rural areas (Arfini et al., 2002; Hall, 2009; Cvijanovic et al., 2017; Gitea, 2023).

The agricultural economic impact is a major component of overall economic growth through direct production and consumption linkages (Johnston & Mellor, 1961). The literature discusses in detail how the interaction between cities and rural areas is a major engine of growth in rural development with a significant impact on the rural non-farm economy—which is of significant importance within the literature and is aligned with the wine industry. This idea is further verified by Dethier and Effenberger (2012), who discuss the need for an interconnected effort among the state, private sector, and civil society to foster rural development.

Viticulture is further aligned with literature that indicates a need for more economic opportunities for the landless rural population (Dethier & Effenberger, 2012). This literature highlights the pivotal role of the rural non-farm sector in agricultural and rural development, emphasizing the need for a well-functioning non-farm sector for income generation and poverty reduction in rural areas (Estudillo & Otsuka, 2010; Dethier & Effenberger, 2012). Viticulture aligns seamlessly with this idea through a spectrum of landless required workforce development opportunities (Santos et al., 2021) Furthermore, the literature underscores the significance of rural-urban connectivity. Enhanced infrastructure and cultural norms that mitigate transaction costs are crucial for facilitating market access, education, and employment opportunities (van Berkum, 2023). Simply put, the connections between the rural and urban areas are vital for the economic development of these rural areas. The viticulture and commercial wine industries are inherently aligned with this principle as the industry is driven by enotourism, wine production, and distribution and sales to urban areas, becoming a substantial income source for rural locales. It propels direct employment in grape cultivation, wine production, sales, and distribution (Cvijanovic et al., 2017).

In addition, infrastructure development and environmental sustainability stimulated by the growth of the wine industry often lead to improved roads, utilities, and facilities, which not only improve rural areas but integrate all players in the food processing supply chain (Santos et al., 2021) Environmental considerations are also crucial as sustainable viticulture practices promote biodiversity, polyculture, soil health, limitations on development, agricultural land maintenance, and water conservation. This is often driven by the rural aesthetic or wine-scape, rural beauty, and a driver of enotourism (Santos et al., 2021). An emphasis on sustainable infrastructure commonly compounds as viticulture is inherently long-term because it is tied to a particular place with significant investment, and it benefits the rural area on an ongoing basis as well as the state through business and tax structures (Hall et al., 2009; Cvijanovic et al., 2017). Accordingly, viticulture is shown as an attractive long-term public investment (Porter, 2022).

The literature indicates the significance of public funding for education as it is pivotal for rural development, particularly in specialized sectors that require a highly trained workforce (Dethier & Effenberger, 2012). Viticulture is aligned with these findings as it is a complex production and commercial activity that demands targeted education and training. To continually achieve positive rural development, the literature indicates the need for extensive public investment in education through extension offices, programs, and training—as well as the general intersection of academia and other related NGOs (Dethier & Effenberger, 2012). These investments and educational programs assist in creating employment opportunities and improve overall business and agricultural initiatives that contribute to improved economic stability and community growth (Kydd et al., 2004; Hall et al., 2009; Dethier & Effenberger, 2012; Cvijanovic et al., 2017; Kapur, 2019).

In sum, viticulture and the wine industry are aligned with the significant empirical indicators of effective agricultural and rural development policy and strategy. Most notably, this includes the need for entrepreneurship and capital infusion; diversification of the rural economies; rural-urban connectivity; tourism; rural landless population development; economic impact on the rural-nonagricultural economy; long-term investment and infrastructure development for environmental sustainability; support for continual education; and the need for public-private partnerships. The literature, whether directly analyzing the wine industry or diffused from the evidence on agricultural economic development, illustrates the significant positive impact viticulture and the wine industry create for rural development and agricultural economics through collaboration with private and public partners (Beverland, 2001; Hall, 2000; World Bank, 2007; Dethier & Effenberger, 2012; Winfree et al., 2018; Trisic et al., 2020; Santos et. al., 2021; del Olmo-García et al., 2023).

PROGRAM EVALUATION & MARKET ANALYSIS METHODS/ DATA

In this study, the author devised an innovative research strategy combining text mining, document analysis, environmental scanning, literature review, and quantitative analysis to evaluate the Colorado wine industry thoroughly. First, using Nvivo and ChatGPT, the author used text mining, which is the process of automatically extracting and analyzing patterns from extensive unstructured text, converting it into organized data for advanced analysis (Tan, 1999; Corbin & Strauss, 2008; OpenAI, 2024). This approach was enriched by document analysis using both Nvivo, ChatGPT, and author analysis to validate text mining outcomes and provide comprehensive insights by reviewing diverse data sources for empirical robustness and reduced bias (Patton, 1990; Eisner, 1991; Porter, 2022; Porter, 2023). Collectively, these methodologies underpinned a detailed program evaluation and market analysis using impact evaluation and SWOT analysis tools.

The primary data sources used for both the text mining and document analysis were documentation from the Colorado Wine Industry Board, Colorado State University Extension, Colorado Department of Agriculture, Colorado Association for Viticulture & Enology (CAVE), and Colorado General Assembly; economic and consumer studies; industry and viticulture reports and research; business development whitepapers; and popular trade publications.

As discussed, in addition to the methods of text mining and document analysis, an extensive environmental scan and academic literature review focused specifically on the Colorado Wine Industry and relevant literature on emerging and developing wine regions as well as their economic and agricultural impact. This literature review provided key insights into emerging wine regions' unique challenges and opportunities, in addition to detailed evidence for industry best practices and relevant public policy environments and stakeholders. Furthermore, analysis was conducted on the websites, social media, and overall digital presence for 75+ wineries to understand the individual wineries' business models, communication, and marketing strategies.

Lastly, the author conducted extensive quantitative data gathering and analysis to further analyze the Colorado wine industry. Initially, the author collected relevant data that reflected various industry dimensions from visiting 10+ wine shops and digital data extraction from 70+ Colorado Producers. This included an extensive data set of Colorado wine producers, price per bottle (750ML), vintage, style, varietal, and producer region. Within this data set, there are 393 different wines and 79 different producers. The author only used producers and wines from Colorado-grown grapes, omitting wines from other fruits, ciders, meads, and grape products sourced externally. This is an attempt to represent the Colorado wine market and the agricultural impact of this enterprise and reflect the current pricing market of Colorado wine compared to the national and global statistical averages. Furthermore, the author visited 13 grocery stores to measure the current market penetration of Colorado Wineries as a primary retailer choice among consumers.

The synthesis of text mining, document analysis, environmental scan, literature review, quantitative data gathering, and analysis techniques yielded a detailed and unique methodology, all incorporated into the program evaluation methodologies. This allowed for a comprehensive and empirical program evaluation and market analysis through impact evaluation tools and a SWOT analysis.

The first tool used for further analysis is a theory and data-driven impact evaluation, which is a systematic approach used to measure the effectiveness and outcomes of a particular program, industry, policy, or intervention (Patton, 1990; Eisner, 1991, p.110; Creswell & Creswell, 2017). It aims to ascertain these initiatives' direct and indirect impacts on individuals, communities, and institutions. This is an invaluable process for policymakers, program managers, and other stakeholders to understand the initiatives' value and impacts, helping them make informed decisions, allocate resources efficiently, and improve the design and implementation of future programs. In this instance, the program is the Colorado Wine Industry, emphasizing the overall industry and business models, market segments, profitability, growth rate, consumer behavior, and prevailing trends. Additionally, it offers a detailed assessment of the competitive landscape and policy environment, identifies the industry's trajectory, and highlights areas with notable growth opportunities, followed by a retrospective evaluation and analysis (Creswell & Creswell, 2017).

The second tool used for analysis was an extensive SWOT analysis (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats) of the Colorado Wine Industry. This straightforward market analysis investigates multiple sectors of the enterprise with an emphasis on the holistic analysis of the viticultural, enological, commercial, and policy environment to inform the recommendations (Barrett, 1996).

This methodological fusion enhanced the depth and breadth of the analysis. It provided a robust framework for generating valuable insights based on the extracted and interpreted data and, ultimately, the public policy and private industry recommendations for industry improvement. While the preceding industry and policy assessments inform the primary program evaluation, market analysis, and tools through Impact Evaluation and SWOT, the previously detailed Colorado Wine Industry overview is valuable for public and private industry assessment and strategies. It will be diffused through the market, program evaluation tools, and recommendations.

PROGRAM EVALUATION & MARKET ANALYSIS

Impact Evaluation

In the ever-evolving landscape of the wine industry, understanding the myriad influences and outcomes is vital. Employing a structured methodology, this theory and data-driven impact evaluation explores the macroeconomic impact of the commercial wine market and the local Colorado wine industry as they are inherently aligned. This impact evaluation assesses the efficacy and broader repercussions of the industry's initiatives on its stakeholders and the wider community. Through this analysis, relevant stakeholders will understand the industry's significance, challenges, and potential growth trajectories.

Comprehensive Economic Analysis:

A. Economic Dynamics

1. **Total Industry Economic Contribution**: $3.91 billion.
    - **Direct Contribution**: $1.515 billion represents the primary value generated from wine production, distribution, and consumption activities.
    - **Supplier and Induced Impacts**: $1.075 billion and $1.320 billion, respectively, signaling the extensive ripple effect across the supply chain and the local economy.
2. **Employment & Wage Dynamics:**
    - The industry supports 28,350 jobs, offering an average annual wage and benefits package of $48,100. The total wage pool aggregates to $1.36 billion.
    - This employment structure is vital, with direct roles for 16,037 individuals and an additional 5,037 positions in supporting industries.
3. **Tax Impact:**
    - Tax revenue is substantial, with federal contributions of $254.93 million and state and local taxes amounting to $125.54 million, totaling $380.47 million.
4. **Colorado Wineries' Direct Economic Impact:**

*In order to make this document compliant with Accessibility requirements, page numbers may no longer coordinate with those listed in the Table of Contents.

- **Estimated Economic Impacts of Colorado Wine Production, Distribution, & Sales**: In 2019, the overall impact of production, distribution, and sales was estimated to be $123.2 million.
- **Wine Production and Sales**: Between 2014 and 2020, bottled wine production in Colorado more than doubled, reaching over 259,000 cases, showcasing a growing trend in wine production.
- **Employment**: In 2019, the wine industry directly employed 890 individuals, with wineries alone employing an estimated 540 workers. The distribution of wineries, spread across 29 counties, also suggests a wide employment net across the state.
- **Revenue Generation**: The industry generated $79.3 million in 2019, with winery revenues totaling $50.7 million. Additionally, $8.4 million in revenues were generated from mark-ups among wholesalers, retailers, and restaurants, indicating a significant economic ripple effect.
- **Compound Annual Growth Rate**: From 2012 to 2019, winery revenues increased at a 9% compound annual growth rate (inflation-adjusted), pointing to a robust and growing economic sector.

5. **Tourism and Fiscal Contributions:**
    - With 311,700 tourist visits annually, the industry stimulates $105.78 million in tourism expenditures. In 2019, the total economic impact of tourism was estimated to be $162.3 million.
    - Colorado Mountain Winefest, the state's largest festival promoting Colorado wine, attracts thousands of visitors annually and consistently sells out, indicating high interest and engagement in Colorado's wine culture.
6. **Retrospective Evaluation & Analysis:**
    - **Economic Contribution:**
        1. Significant direct contributions and ripple effects through the supply chain, aligned industries, and local economy.
        2. Potential justification for policy measures to support or expand the industry as much of this has minimal opportunity cost or

counterfactual resource allocation compared with related agricultural products.

- **Employment Structure:**
    1. Multi-tiered employment opportunities from highly skilled to entry-level day labor, indicating diverse economic and human capital impact and opportunities.
    2. Opportunity for policies addressing labor market challenges such as skill and education deficiencies to grow employment within the sector.
- **Tax Revenue:**
    1. Substantial federal and state/local tax revenues indicate a beneficial fiscal relationship for all significant public stakeholders.
    2. Focal point for policy analysis to ensure a conducive environment for the industry's continued expansion. Further concentration and emphasis are needed as the counterfactual analysis and opportunity costs are low while opportunities are high as viticulture is inherently a long-term commitment that creates advantages in tax infrastructure.
- **Growth Trajectory:**
    1. Robust growth in Colorado’s wine production, distribution, and sales.
    2. Policies fostering further growth, innovation, and expansion should be explored.
- **Wine Industry and Tourism Synergy:**
    1. Mutually beneficial relationships boost statewide and local economic activity and rural development, especially landless rural development, through tourist expenditures across the supply chain.
    2. Policy potential to harness synergy by further encouraging tourism and supporting winery development and expansion, emphasizing marketing, branding-aligned industries, and wine trails.

The industry has a deeply interwoven relationship with the local economy through ripple effects across the supply chain, wide employment net, and opportunities. A holistic policy approach considering wide-ranging effects and interconnectedness with other sectors—mainly tourism, aligned industries, and high-skilled jobs—could foster a vibrant, sustainable economic ecosystem emphasizing rural development.

B. Industry Growth and Distribution:

1. **Producer and Vineyard Spread**: With only five wineries in the early 1990s and now 145+ wine producers and hundreds of acres of vineyards, the industry's expansive reach within the state is growing.
2. **AVA Concentration**: The industry predominantly operates in the Grand Valley and West Elks AVAs, known for their conducive agricultural conditions with real potential in the Four Corners area and potentially the Arkansas River in Fremont County.
3. **Industry Recognition**: Recognition at platforms like the San Francisco International Wine Competition and multiple 90+ point wines from prominent critics indicates a burgeoning international reputation.
4. **Price:**
    - Price is substantially higher than the average price per bottle in the U.S. Wine Market and is closer to premium wine prices without the brand recognition and collective reputation. One 2019 study in Colorado shows that direct-to-consumer winery sales in 2019 had an average retail price of $21.79 (High Peak Strategy, 2021).
    - One analysis shows that the overall wine market's average price per bottle in Colorado is $12.82, and the national average is $13.678 (FRED, 2023).
    - A more precise comparison within the U.S. Wine Market, explicitly focusing on wines produced in Colorado with Colorado-grown grapes, based on the data collected by the author in 2023, reveals the following:
        - Mean (Average) Price: $28.35
        - Median Price: $26.00

    - Standard Deviation: $11.62
    - Minimum Price: $10.00
    - Maximum Price: $100.00
  - Additional analysis segments this further, showing that in the U.S., Jug wines, averaging under $5, account for 12% of sales. Popular-premium wines priced at $5-$10 make up 33% of sales. Mid-premium wines, at $10-$15, constitute 8% of sales. Super-premium wines, costing $15-$20, also represent 8% of sales. Luxury wines, over $21, comprise 7% of sales (Schufler, 2023).
  - Indicates difficulty in utility and prospect theory decisions for consumers.

5. **Data from Grocery Store Market Penetration Analysis:**
   - Total Grocery Stores Visited: 13
   - Stores with Colorado Produced Wine: 3
   - Total Options of Colorado Produced Wine: 7
   - Different Brands of Colorado Produced Wine: 3
   - Percentage of Stores Carrying Colorado-Produced Wine: Approximately 23.08% of the grocery stores visited carry Colorado-produced wine.
   - Low market penetration in primary consumer point of purchase. Very few Colorado grocery stores are stocking any Colorado wine.
6. **Production Growth:**
   - **Colorado wine production growth:** 12,573 cases and 29,894 gallons in 1995 to 206,233 cases and 490,331 gallons in 2022.
   - **Market share increase within the state**: 0.5392% in 1991-1992 to 5.3069% in 2021-2022 (Colorado Department of Revenue, 2022).
   - **Grape Yield Analysis**: Preliminary survey data suggests an average yield of 3.5 tons/acre, though these results are provisional and may adjust with more comprehensive data from across the state.
7. **Retrospective Evaluation & Analysis:**
   - **Industry Expansion:**
     1. The growth in wine producers indicates a thriving industry with potential for further expansion. Policy initiatives could be explored to encourage

this upward trajectory in a sustainable enterprise with low opportunity costs.

- **Geographic Concentration:**
    1. The current concentration of operations in favorable geographical areas hints at the importance of leveraging such conditions through clear tourism and infrastructure support. There is potential for growth exploration in other conducive areas like the Four Corners and along the Arkansas River—respectively tourism draws and closer to the population centers in the state.
- **Recognition:**
    1. The accolades on international platforms suggest a rising reputation, which could be further bolstered through targeted marketing and quality enhancement strategies to minimize utility theory uncertainty and loss aversion.
- **Pricing Challenges:**
    1. The pricing, with an average of $28.35 PPB, is aligned with the premium and luxury wine market segments without corresponding brand recognition, which might pose a consumer decision dilemma. Strategies to enhance brand recognition, public policies, subsidies, and adjusting pricing to increase competitiveness could be beneficial.
- **Grocery Store Presence:**
    1. The low market penetration in primary consumer purchase points presents an opportunity for strategies encouraging local product stocking marketing to improve market penetration and accessibility. This also suggests that Colorado wineries have not scaled production to meet the distribution needs of big box retailers.
- **Production Upsurge:**
    1. The significant growth in wine production and increasing market share within the state reflect a positive industry trajectory. Policy measures

could be formulated further to augment production, market share, and market penetration.

This analysis suggests a multifaceted approach in policy formulation and industry strategies to address pricing and market penetration challenges while leveraging existing growth momentum to foster a more robust and sustainable industry ecosystem.

Climatic Conditions and Operational Dynamics:

A. Climate Overview and Implications

1. **Weather Variability:**
   - Colorado's climate exhibits notable weather fluctuations, including extreme winter temperatures, wildfires, and intense drought and heat periods.
   - These conditions can adversely affect vine health, posing challenges for vineyards in the region.
2. **Elevation and Micro-Climates:**
   - Vineyards are predominantly situated at elevations between 4,000 to 7,000 feet, characterized by "high desert" micro-climates with intense UV radiation.
   - The distinctive micro-climates offer a dynamic temperature range between day and night, which, despite challenges, is conducive to grape growing.
3. **Seasonal Challenges:**
   - Winter freezes and frosts at the season's onset and end are detrimental and can severely damage the industry. For instance, severe cold in October 2020 led to a significant loss of vines, while oppressive heat in 2021 harmed surviving grapes.
4. **Water Scarcity:**
   - Viticulture and enology are water-dependent industries that require irrigation and constant sanitation, and Colorado consistently has water scarcity.
5. **Potential for Favorable Conditions:**
   - There are instances of favorable climate, as seen in September 2022, where record-breaking temperatures facilitated vine growth and harvesting.
6. **Industry Impact:**

*In order to make this document compliant with Accessibility requirements, page numbers may no longer coordinate with those listed in the Table of Contents.

- The mentioned factors necessitate adaptive viticulture practices to mitigate loss and ensure yield quality.
- The unique micro-climates, despite their challenges, can potentially provide a distinctive terroir, positively differentiating Colorado’s wine industry on a broader scale.
- Continuous weather monitoring and adaptive practices are imperative for sustaining vineyard operations and enhancing the resilience and competitiveness of the state’s wine industry.

7. **Retrospective Evaluation & Analysis:**

- **Weather Variability:**
    1. The extreme weather conditions might necessitate investments in resilient vine varieties and protective infrastructure to mitigate adverse effects on vine health and subsidize Vitis vinifera with native American hybrids.
- **Elevation and Micro-Climates:**
    1. The unique micro-climates could be marketed as a unique selling point to create a niche for Colorado wines, potentially drawing a following among wine enthusiasts who appreciate distinctive terroir.
- **Seasonal Challenges:**
    1. The recurrent seasonal threats might drive the need for public policy insurance or financial safety nets to cushion the industry from significant losses during adverse weather conditions.
- **Water Scarcity:**
    1. Given the water scarcity issues in Colorado, the industry might need to explore water-efficient viticulture practices or technologies to ensure sustainability and policy support from the state and local governance.
- **Potential for Favorable Conditions:**
    1. The sporadic favorable climatic conditions suggest that there might be unexplored micro-regions within Colorado where vineyards could thrive.

The adaptation strategies underline a broader necessity for a robust support system, perhaps in the form of knowledge-sharing platforms, further research and application of adaptive viticulture practices, and policy support for weather monitoring and forecasting systems tailored for viticulture. The data points toward the necessity for a multi-faceted approach to navigate climatic challenges while leveraging the unique micro-climates for market differentiation. The data indicates a risk of emphasizing native American hybrids as they have very little market penetration or positive reputational awareness. However, younger demographics do seem to be more willing to experiment. It hints at the importance of robust institutional support, technological innovations, and a proactive policy framework to foster resilience and sustainability within the industry. Through a combination of adaptive practices, knowledge sharing, and leveraging unique climatic features, there lies the potential for Colorado's wine industry to carve a distinctive niche in the market, enhancing its competitiveness and sustainability.

Policy & Regulatory Environment:

A. Policy & Regulatory Environment:

1. **Key Stakeholders:**
   - ■ Colorado General Assembly, Colorado Department of Agriculture, Colorado Wine Industry Development Board (CWIDB), the Colorado Association for Viticulture & Enology (CAVE), Colorado State University Viticulture Extension, Colorado Liquor Enforcement Division (LED), Viticulture and Enology Program at Colorado Mesa University Tech, affiliated organizations, and private industry actors.
2. **Policy Framework:**
   - ● Policies like the Colorado Limited Farm Winery Act AVA Creation, Colorado Wine Industry Development Act, Colorado Wine Industry Development Board, Hard Cider Exemption Wine Industry Development Act, Wine in Grocery Stores Law, Wine & Grape Industry Processing Grant, and the Agricultural Stimulus Grant.
3. **Regulatory:**
   - ● Colorado Three-Tier System, License Types, Manufacturing, Distribution/wholesale, Retail, Manufacturer, Nonresident Manufacturer and Importer,

Wholesaler, Retail Licenses, Cross-Tier Licenses, Limited Winery License, Vintner's Restaurant, Miscellaneous Licenses and Permits, Financial Interests Restrictions, Sales Rooms and Tastings, Cork and Carry, Takeout and Delivery, Licensing Process, and New Legislation (SB 23-143).

4. **Retrospective Evaluation & Analysis:**

- **Regulatory Structuring:**
    1. The established frameworks and various licensing types provide a structured, compliant operational environment, ensuring a systematic production, distribution, and retail pathway in the wine industry—although somewhat complex, significantly less complicated than other developing areas.
- **Financial Support and Incentives:**
    1. Financial aid like grants support innovation and development, enhancing the processing capacity and the overall growth of the wine industry. However, there is a clear need for expansion in general grants and emergency grant allocation.
- **Promotional Efforts:**
    1. Entities like CWIDB and CAVE significantly contribute to promoting and recognizing the Colorado wine industry, aiding in its growth locally and potentially on a broader scale.
- **Educational and Research Contributions:**
    1. Institutions like CSVTEO and CMU Tech promote advanced viticulture and enology knowledge, supporting the industry's best practices, innovation, and workforce development. There is still a need for further support, resources, and partnerships with academic hospitality and marketing programs.
- **Market Expansion:**
    1. Policies like the Wine in Grocery Stores Law expand market reach, offering new sales channels and consumer engagement opportunities, potentially leading to increased recognition, sales, and revenue.

- **Legislative Responsiveness:**
    1. New legislation like SB 23-143 reflects legislative adaptability to the evolving needs of the industry, adjusting financial structures to potentially ease the operational burden on certain businesses.
- **Financial Interest Restrictions:**
    1. Restrictions may limit investment opportunities and business expansion within the same tier, potentially inhibiting growth for certain entities.
- **Complex Centralized Licensing Process:**
    1. The detailed licensing regulations could pose administrative burdens for new or smaller entities, making navigating the system challenging.
- **Potential Over-Regulation:**
    i. The blend of federal, state, and local regulations could result in over-regulation, possibly hindering innovation or creating barriers to entry for new players. Possible opportunities include diffusing decision-making to local markets when possible.

The collective impact of these stakeholders, policies, and laws provides a supportive and structured environment for the Colorado wine industry, fostering growth, innovation, and sustainable development. However, certain regulatory and financial aspects may present challenges, potentially impeding the ease of business operations or deterring new entrants. The balance between supportive measures and regulatory compliance underpins the industry's potential for growth, market expansion, and sustainability. Through a blend of financial incentives, promotional efforts, local deregulation, and a conducive regulatory environment, the industry has a substantial positive impact, enhancing its competitiveness and positioning in the market with further potential for additional financial, educational, and policy support and possible de-regulation when applicable.

Consumer Behavior Analysis:

A. Consumer Awareness and Perceptions:

1. **Awareness Trends (2020-2022):**
    - Awareness of Colorado Wine has remained relatively consistent from 2020 to 2022 (75% to 70%). However, it competes with global wine hubs like California, Italy, and France, leading in wine awareness with a 76% share among consumers. This does not control for market share with other developing wine regions that could be direct competitors, such as Arizona and New Mexico.
2. **Quality Perceptions:**
    - Impressions of Colorado Wine quality have improved over time, with 54% of consumers perceiving it as above average or very high quality in 2022, compared to 41% in 2020.
    - Notably, the perceived quality improvement of Colorado Wine is substantial, with 23% of consumers in 2022 recognizing significant enhancements; however, 46% of consumers still perceive it as average or below average.

B. Consumption Habits:

1. **Consumption Consistency:**
    - Approximately 68% of respondents have consumed Colorado Wine, which has held steady since 2020.
2. **Frequency of Purchase:**
    - Weekly or monthly purchases of Colorado Wine are reported by 30% of respondents in 2022, marking an increase from 27% in 2021 and 16% in 2020.
3. **Typical Spending:**
    - The spending distribution shifted in 2022, with more consumers reportedly purchasing wines in higher price brackets than in previous years.
    - With inflation and reduced spending nationally, this trend will be critical in wine pricing decisions as Colorado wine is priced much higher than average wine.

C. Purchase Locations and Preferences:

1. **Preferred Purchase Locations:**
    - Neighborhood liquor stores remain the primary source for wine purchases, although their popularity decreased from 38% in 2021 to 30% in 2022.
    - Grocery stores have market potential, but very little market penetration exists.

2. **Product Loyalty:**
    - Among consumers of Colorado Wine, 40% allocate at least 25% of their wine purchases to local brands in 2022, reflecting a significant increase from 25% in 2020.

D. Attitudes and Preferences:

1. **Attitude Towards Local Products:**
    - A significant 68% of consumers equate Colorado wines with locally grown produce in terms of perception, highlighting an alignment with local consumption trends and preferences for domestic products.
2. **Future Purchase Intentions:**
    - Future purchase intent for Colorado wines is robust, with 75% expressing definite or probable interest in acquiring local wines in 2022, up from 65% in 2021.
3. **Awareness of Colorado Proud:**
    - The Colorado Proud logo is recognized by 74% of respondents, with a substantial majority of 85% expressing interest in purchasing products associated with this branding.

E. Retrospective Evaluation & Analysis:

- **Consumer Awareness and Perceptions:**
    1. The slight dip in awareness from 2020 to 2022 amidst competition from global wine hubs could signal the need for increased marketing efforts to boost Colorado Wine's visibility. The improving quality perception indicates a positive shift in consumer attitudes, which could be leveraged for better market positioning.
- **Consumption Habits:**
    1. Despite higher pricing than average wine, the upward purchase frequency and higher spending trend suggest a growing appreciation and demand for Colorado Wine. This trend may inform future pricing decisions, especially in light of inflation and reduced spending nationally. It is a vital indicator to consider as prices continue rising while consumers may reduce spending on lesser-known brands and regions.

*In order to make this document compliant with Accessibility requirements, page numbers may no longer coordinate with those listed in the Table of Contents.

- **Purchase Locations and Preferences:**
    1. The decrease in popularity of neighborhood liquor stores and the underutilized market potential in grocery stores could hint at an opportunity to expand distribution channels elsewhere and enhance market penetration. However, if distribution channels and scale do not expand, this could be problematic for the industry. This is compounded by the potential for diminished consumer spending in liquor stores as consumers potentially pivot toward grocery store purchases.
- **Direct Purchasing:**
    1. The potential for direct purchases from wineries, either at the door or through their websites, presents an avenue for increased revenue and consumer engagement as it provides the best return for the winery while allowing consumers to establish a direct relationship with the brand.
- **Product Loyalty:**
    1. The significant increase in allocation to local brands from 2020 to 2022 indicates a growing loyalty towards Colorado Wine, which could be leveraged to foster a stronger community of loyal consumers. This is vital for collective and individual reputation and regional growth.
- **Attitudes and Preferences:**

  1. The alignment of Colorado wines with locally grown produce and the robust future purchase intentions reflect a favorable consumer attitude, which could be harnessed to promote local identity and increase market share. A focus on sustainability has real potential in this market.
- **Date/Sample Issues:**

  1. The same panel data set offers consistency among respondents, enhancing the reliability of the responses. However, it also introduces the possibility of sample bias and panel conditioning. This bias arises because respondents of a similar population familiar with the questions through repeated exposure or diffusion to a similar population might provide answers that do not accurately reflect their true opinions or experiences. This phenomenon can compromise the data quality, rendering some responses effectively null. Moreover, while the current sample size (N) is sufficient for preliminary analysis, a larger sample size is crucial for establishing causal relationships with greater confidence. This expansion will provide a more robust foundation for drawing meaningful conclusions and insights (Colorado Wine Industry Board, 2022).

- **Market Engagement:**
  1. The data supports initiatives to leverage the improved quality perceptions and promote local identity. Additionally, reviewing pricing strategies in light of the shifted spending distribution and expanding presence in primary purchase locations could enhance competitiveness and market engagement.

The analysis suggests a favorable consumer predisposition towards Colorado Wine. However, there is a discernible opportunity for enhanced market engagement through strategic marketing, data collection, pricing reviews, product expansion, quality assurances, and distribution channel expansion, aiming to capitalize on positive trends and address competitive challenges while continuing to improve quality and reputation.

Conclusion and Overall Retroactive Evaluation & Analysis

The theory and data-driven impact evaluation analyzes Colorado's wine industry, highlighting its economic contribution which supports a spectrum of jobs and substantial tax revenues. Despite climatic challenges, the industry exhibits a robust growth trajectory, with a 9% compound annual growth rate in winery revenues from 2012 to 2019 and burgeoning wine production. The unique “high desert” micro-climates offer a distinct terroir, setting it apart on a broader scale when well marketed. Favorable consumer trends and a preference for local products underscore market potential. The regulatory framework, though complex, establishes an operational structure. Nevertheless, certain financial and licensing hurdles could inhibit growth, suggesting a need for strategically easing operational burdens, local deregulation, and policy initiatives for industry expansion.

The 2023 pricing data for Colorado wines, when compared to national averages, indicates a concerning trend in the market. Colorado's mean price of $28.35 is notably higher than the national average for wines, suggesting a premiumization trend that could limit market accessibility without aligned reputational awareness. This disparity highlights a market concentration on higher-end wines, potentially alienating a significant segment of consumers seeking mid-range or budget-friendly options. This skewed market dynamic in Colorado, contrasting with national trends where mid-range wines often dominate sales, raises questions and potential market threats.
Furthermore, the analysis underscores a critical barrier to market access: Colorado wine's limited penetration in grocery store sectors represents a substantial impediment to its broader market proliferation, necessitating strategic policy interventions to enhance its distribution footprint. Additionally, the initial findings call for a deeper investigation and further research with a larger sample size (n) to comprehensively understand the underlying dynamics and develop targeted policy measures to address this market access challenge.

The industry's growth correlates to increased agricultural activities, fostering rural development, which is especially visible in events like the Colorado Mountain Winefest, which catalyzes rural economic diversification. The generated employment and engagement with the local supply chain form a sustainable rural financial ecosystem, and the low general opportunity cost compounds this compared with other agricultural industries and potential public investments.

As the industry evolves, promoting Colorado’s unique terroir and local brand loyalty, alongside policy measures encouraging quality improvement, production expansion, and enhanced tourism, can solidify its role as an agricultural economic value addition and for sustainable rural development. This calls for strategic investments, quality focus, and market positioning, aligned with conducive regulatory adjustments and continuous stakeholder engagement to unlock the industry's full potential and ensure its competitive positioning in the broader wine landscape.

SWOT Analysis

While the previous impact evaluation is a thorough macro and micro level policy and market analysis of the Colorado wine industry, a secondary analysis is needed to minimize potential market omissions and methodology. Furthermore, the addition of the SWOT analysis adds a differing perspective for the industry, emphasizing a straightforward critical analysis to develop policy and industry recommendations and strategic decision-making for continuous improvement.

Strengths

1. **Unique High-Altitude Viticulture**: Colorado’s high-altitude viticulture, the highest in the northern hemisphere, contributes intense UV radiation, high diurnal temperature variability, and other distinct characteristics to the wine, creating a truly unique terroir. In addition to the unique terroir and the resulting distinct wine produced, the marketing and branding possibilities are promising.

*In order to make this document compliant with Accessibility requirements, page numbers may no longer coordinate with those listed in the Table of Contents.

2. **Wine Tourism**: Colorado's wine tourism industry attracts over 300,000 visitors each year, creating additional revenue streams for wineries and supporting local economies. It has also gained national recognition: Wine Enthusiast named it a top 10 wine getaway, USA Today voted Colorado Mountain Winefest the best wine festival in the US in 2017, and Food & Wine called it 'the new Sonoma.' This is furthered by the popularity of Colorado as a tourist destination, which signals potential future growth.

3. **Validated Potential Quality**: Multiple Colorado wines have been recognized internationally for their quality, receiving numerous awards, including two golds at the prestigious San Francisco International Wine Competition. Furthermore, several Colorado wineries have garnered 90+ point scores in Wine Enthusiast and the Wine Advocate—validating current quality cases and the potential for industry-wide improvement.

4. **Diversity of Grape Varieties**: Colorado's diversity of grape varieties is a strength as it fosters innovation, adaptability to market changes, and risk management. It broadens consumer appeal, supports sustainable cultivation, and encourages ongoing quality improvements. This also enriches the industry's narrative, enhancing market presence and segmentation.

5. **Economic Contribution & Rural Development**: Colorado's wine industry is a crucial contributor to the state's economic and rural development. It creates a spectrum of jobs, supports tourism, and stimulates local economies—all while preserving the rural landscape and culture. The industry also promotes cultural richness and has the potential to expand Colorado's economic reach through wine exports, diversifying the state's economy while still in alignment with its current strengths.

6. **Wealthy state:** In 2022, Colorado had a median household income of $82,254, ranking it as one of the wealthiest states and the number three overall economy in the United States (Ross Johnson, 2022). These are essential indicators for the continued growth of the wine industry, stemming from the necessity of requirements for purchasing an expensive experience good, supplementary income for tourism, and expected tax infrastructure for future support.

7. **Aligned Demographic Growth:** Between 2010 and 2021, Colorado's population has expanded by 15.1%, outpacing the US's overall growth of 7.3%. In the neighboring state of Utah, bordering the Grand Valley AVA, the population surge was even more pronounced at 20.3%. This demographic growth illustrates the potential expansion of the customer and tourism market for the Colorado Wine industry (USA Facts, 2023).

Weaknesses

1. **Variable Quality & Reputation:** Consumer studies and popular wine literature suggest improving quality in the Colorado wine industry but also highlight significant variability in quality. This inconsistency is a concern, as even a single subpar offering from a developing wine region can negatively impact the reputation prospects of the entire market (Yarrow, 2021; Colorado Wine Industry Board, 2022).
2. **Lack of Quality Assurance Program**: Research underscores the importance of Quality Assurance Programs (QAPs) in elevating the baseline quality and managing expectations within a wine region. These programs enhance regional quality and reputation and reduce consumer risk. Colorado lacks a verified mechanism, which could be vital for its wine industry's growth and consumer trust.
3. **Economies of Scale & the High Land Costs**: The price of suitable vineyard land in Colorado can be prohibitively expensive, particularly in prime wine-growing regions. This high cost of vineyard land reduces economies of scale and production output, which reduces cost-efficiencies, availability of lower price points for consumers, distribution, and market penetration (Veseth, 2023).
4. **Variable Weather & Truncated Growing Season:** There is highly variable weather with extreme winters, wildfires, droughts, and heatwaves, leading to vine and grape damage and challenges in viticulture. Seasonal threats include damaging frosts and freezes. Moreover, the growing season is shortened by the risk of early, late, and summer frosts and storms, adding to the region's viticultural difficulties.
5. **Own-Rooted Vines:** Colorado's viticulture exhibits a significant weakness due to the phylloxera vulnerability of over 80% of vineyards, particularly in Mesa and Delta counties—key regions that contribute more than 90% of the state's grape production. These vineyards predominantly grow own-rooted European varieties lacking phylloxera resistance, eventually compromising vine health and yields. Although there are temporary measures to manage the pest, the long-term solution requires replacing the susceptible vines with resistant rootstocks. This is a transition that involves substantial investment and time to implement (Caspari et al., 2022).

6. **Lack of Established Wine Culture**: Colorado does not have a deeply rooted wine culture, which may impact the perception and consumption of local wines as the state is more closely aligned with rich beer and micro-brewing traditions, and its wine heritage remains in its infancy. This has downstream implications for consumer interest and limits expertise in the industry within the state.

7. **Consumer Consumption/Purchasing:** Recent data suggests incremental growth regarding consumer engagement. While 30% of consumers in 2022 reported purchasing Colorado wine weekly or monthly—a slight increase from 27% in 2021—the change is not statistically significant. Additionally, the percentage of individuals who have ever consumed Colorado wine has remained relatively unchanged over the past three years, at 66% to 68%, suggesting that while Colorado wine has a reliable consumer base, it needs further market penetration (Colorado Wine Industry Board, 2022).

8. **Limited Infrastructure**: Colorado has an underdeveloped infrastructure to support a booming wine industry. This includes the culture of shared custom crush consortium-like facilities that often lower the barrier to entry in the market for new wine projects and assist in entrepreneurship, innovation, and growth.

9. **Distribution & Tasting Opportunities:** Colorado's wine industry predominantly comprises boutique wineries characterized by their limited production and constrained distribution capabilities. This restricts their presence in restaurants, bottle shops, and similar venues, limiting market penetration and impeding effective brand development.

10. **Colorado Wineries' Digital Presence**: The majority of Colorado wineries exhibit a weak digital presence, evidenced by underdeveloped websites, limited social media engagement, and ineffective storytelling about their products. Essential technical details about their wines, crucial in this industry, are often missing. Many of these websites suffer from poor navigation and user experience. A particularly notable issue is the lack of online listings for their wines and prices and clunky online marketplaces—which makes it challenging for these wineries to facilitate direct online sales to consumers, which is of high profit margins.

11. **Lack of Signature Varietal/Style:** Colorado's wine industry currently suffers from limited market presence and consumer recognition while benefiting from diversity in the long term. This is partly due to the absence of a signature varietal or style that assures quality and is widely known among consumers, akin to Oregon's association with Pinot, Riesling in the Finger Lakes, Merlot in

Washington, Cabernet in Napa, or Pinot in Santa Barbara. Such a "lighthouse" variety could serve as a benchmark of quality and identity for Colorado wines in a crowded, confusing market.

Opportunities

1. **Obsession with Quality & Quality Assurance:** The foremost opportunity lies in a steadfast commitment to quality at both individual and collective levels. Winemakers should prioritize exceptional quality in their products, while the industry as a whole should reinforce this through robust Quality Assurance Programs. In a developing wine region like Colorado, the emphasis on quality is the crucial driver for growth and recognition in the market.
2. **Leveraging Sustainability:** Colorado can capitalize on its reputation for sustainable practices to appeal to environmentally conscious consumers. This strategy involves promoting wines made through organic and biodynamic methods, zero-foot print facilities, and water reuse, as well as embracing the wine market's evolving preferences for sustainable packaging, including bottles and closures.
3. **Embracing the Local Herbivore Movement:** In line with sustainability efforts, Colorado wineries can continue to tap into the local herbivore and farm-to-table culture. By emphasizing the concept of 'drinking local,' they can align themselves with these movements and promote a sense of community and environmental responsibility within the state.
4. **Expanding Partnerships and Collaboration:** While Colorado's wine industry has successfully established partnerships, there is room for further growth. Enhancing collaborations with restaurants, retailers, universities, and breweries—primarily through pop-up events and tastings in urban centers and tourist hotspots—can significantly increase the visibility and accessibility of Colorado wines. These efforts can help integrate Colorado wines more prominently into the local food and beverage scene.
5. **Second Generation Industry:** The Colorado wine industry is entering a pivotal phase with the emergence of the next generation of farmers and winemakers. This transition often brings increased experience, expertise, and a broader talent pool in developing wine regions. This cumulative growth in talent and knowledge typically results in a year-over-year improvement in the quality of the wines produced.

6. **Sparklers & Niche Market Development**: Colorado's wine industry can capitalize on niche markets such as organic, amber/orange, or biodynamic wines, offering unique growth and differentiation possibilities. A particularly promising avenue is sparkling wine, which sidesteps the varietal recognition issue common with American hybrids and can thus avoid reputation challenges. This choice aligns well with Colorado's variable climate, as sparkling wines do not require grapes to be fully ripe, enabling earlier harvesting and reducing risk. While other wine segments are experiencing sales declines, sparkling wine continues to show year-over-year growth, marking it as a potentially lucrative sector for Colorado's winemakers (IWSR, 2023). Furthermore, this has been validated within a similar market and environment in New Mexico with the commercially successful and internationally known brand of Gruet Winery.

7. **Production Growth and Geographic Expansion:** Colorado has the potential to broaden its viticultural footprint beyond its current regions. Notable areas for expansion include Fremont County, the Four Corners region, and further expansion in the West Elks AVA, known for producing high-quality Vitis Vinifera, notably Riesling. Additionally, Denver's burgeoning urban winery culture presents an opportunity for replication in other metropolitan areas, such as Colorado Springs, potentially fostering a broader appreciation and presence for Colorado wines in these new markets.

8. **Changing Consumer Tastes and Expectations:** The evolving demographics and shifts in consumer behavior present an opportunity for Colorado wineries to have a limited focus on targeted American hybrids within distinct styles of wine. There is a growing openness among consumers to explore beyond classic grape varieties. This trend has succeeded in regions like Vermont with sparkling wines (Pet Nat), validating the potential for similar strategies in Colorado.

9. **Distribution Beyond Current Markets**: There is a ripe opportunity to venture into distinct out-of-state distribution for Colorado wineries that have scaled up their production. This expansion can target high-end restaurants and grocery stores in neighboring states to create broader market awareness. Simultaneously, boutique wineries with high-quality offerings can adopt a more targeted strategy. This approach involves aligning their wines with prestigious brands, influencers, and notable critics and achieving high ratings. Such strategic positioning can enhance their visibility and reputation in the premium wine market segment.

10. **Further Agricultural Resources**: Colorado has a crucial opportunity to enhance policy support for its wine industry through additional agricultural resources. Literature verifies that such support is

a primary driver for industry growth. A notable example includes increasing resources, staffing, and backing for the CSU Viticultural Extension. Investing in these support structures is a proven method for market expansion, as evidenced by successful models at institutions like Cornell, Penn State, and UC Davis. Enhanced support for these entities can significantly contribute to the development and sophistication of Colorado's wine industry.

11. **Further Higher Education Support/Diffusion:** Another critical opportunity lies in bolstering the marketing and business aspects of the wine industry through targeted initiatives. These could include grants, specialized training programs, and disseminating expertise in marketing, branding, and hospitality. Such support should be directed toward nurturing local and state experts who can, in turn, assist the industry.

12. **Local De-Regulation:** Local policymakers can deregulate where feasible, fostering a more conducive environment for viticulture, farming, and business operations. An example of such deregulation can be seen in Fremont County, where changes in zoning codes were implemented to facilitate the ability for farmers to open and operate a business. This regulatory easing can significantly lower barriers for new and existing businesses, promoting growth and innovation in the local wine industry (McMillin, 2020).

13. **Soil Storytelling:** A key opportunity is telling the story of unique soil diversity for branding and market differentiation. Addressing this gap could involve educating producers and consumers on the impact of soil on wine flavors, supporting labeling/marketing that showcases soil characteristics, and initiating marketing campaigns centered on Colorado's distinct geology and soil terroir. Such efforts can enhance the industry's market presence, create a unique identity, and attract discerning customers.

Threats

1. **Climate Change and Viticulture:** Shifts in weather patterns and rising extreme weather events pose potential challenges to grape production and quality. However, within these challenges lies an opportunity as longer growing seasons emerge. This change benefits regions previously too cold for high-quality Vitis vinifera cultivation. An example is England's burgeoning sparkling wine market, which is thriving due to these climatic shifts. This dual aspect of climate change highlights its risks and unexpected advantages for the wine industry (Veseth, 2011).

*In order to make this document compliant with Accessibility requirements, page numbers may no longer coordinate with those listed in the Table of Contents.

2. **Emphasis on American Hybrids:** Prioritizing American Hybrids in the Colorado wine industry carries inherent risks due to the absence of proven success stories where hybrids have created a world-class or nationally recognized wine region. Additionally, consumers' widespread adoption of these lesser-known varietals at scale remains untested. The existing information asymmetry between the product and consumer is further complicated by these unfamiliar grapes, which lack established reputations. While incorporating hybrids as a risk-reduction strategy can be prudent, relying solely on them may be overly risky. A more balanced approach could involve using hybrids in blends and sparkling wines, leveraging their strengths while mitigating market uncertainties and consumer unfamiliarity in the short term.

3. **Cost Challenges for Colorado Wine**: Colorado wines are often priced in the premium or luxury segment with an average of $28.35 PPB, which poses a challenge given the region's evolving reputation. This premium pricing creates an inherent risk for consumers who may hesitate to invest in a product without an established reputation. The situation is further compounded by even higher markups in bottle shops and restaurants, particularly in their by-the-glass programs. These elevated prices can exceed the risk profile of many consumers, making Colorado wines less accessible or appealing to a broader market. While reflective of the wine's quality and economic realities, this pricing strategy might limit its market penetration and consumer reach.

4. **Impact of Supermarket and Grocery Store Wine Sales:** Colorado's recent legalization of wine sales in supermarkets and grocery stores presents a new dynamic in the wine market. Globally, these outlets are a primary point of purchase for consumers. However, Colorado wineries currently have minimal presence in these stores. This limited market penetration could diminish local wineries' visibility and shift consumer purchasing toward larger California or international brands. This development necessitates strategic efforts from Colorado wineries to establish and increase their presence in these retail channels to remain competitive.

5. **Inappropriate Nursery Choices for Vitis Vinifera Clones and Rootstock Selection:** A prevalent issue in the wine industry involves nurseries selling plant materials, like specific Vitis vinifera clones and rootstocks, claiming they are ideal for certain climates when they are not. This problem was notably encountered in Michigan's wine industry. Improvement was achieved by consistently verifying nurseries' reputations and ensuring their offerings fit the evolving climate conditions. This approach

highlights the importance of selecting appropriate nurseries and climate-adapted plant materials for successful viticulture (Schneider, 2023).

6. **Marketing and Customer Experience in Colorado Wineries:** For the Colorado wine industry to truly flourish, there needs to be a significant improvement in storytelling, marketing, and the overall curation of the customer experience. This encompasses not just the physical point of sale but also digital interactions and the cellar door experience, including memberships/clubs lacking in Colorado. Prioritizing and enhancing these aspects are crucial for the industry's growth and success. Colorado wineries may struggle to realize their full potential without a strong focus on creating an engaging and memorable experience for consumers at every touchpoint.

7. **Global Competition in the Wine Industry**: Colorado wineries face stiff competition from established wine regions worldwide, known for their high-quality assurance and reduced consumer risk. Moreover, the rise of other developing wine regions like Armenia, Idaho, Virginia, China, and New York State further saturates an already crowded market. This intense competition underscores the need for Colorado wineries to distinctively position themselves and uphold rigorous quality standards to succeed in the global wine industry.

8. **Economic Uncertainties and the Wine Industry:** The wine industry, including Colorado wineries, faces challenges from economic fluctuations and uncertainties, particularly in the context of global inflation. These economic conditions could reduce discretionary spending on luxury or experience goods like wine. Additionally, economic downturns may result in a decreased tax base, consequently reducing public funding and allocations that could impact the wine industry.

9. **Regulatory Changes:** The Colorado wine industry is significantly influenced by changes in laws and regulations at both state and federal levels. These include production processes, sales strategies, and overall investment. Any modification in wine-related regulations has the potential to either facilitate or hinder the growth and operational efficiency of wineries. Industry stakeholders must stay informed and adapt to these legislative changes.

10. **Consumer Preference Shifts and Decreasing Alcohol Consumption:** The global wine and alcohol market has witnessed a notable decline in purchases over the last two decades. This trend is primarily attributed to changing European habits, notably improved health awareness in rural areas. The phenomenon is not confined to Europe, as evidenced by overproduction issues even in regions like Washington State. Additionally, there is a broader trend of reduced wine and alcohol

consumption. This shift is highlighted by the significant rise in non-alcoholic beverage sales, which increased by 20.6 percent from 2021 to 2022. There also has been growth in lower-alcohol alternatives. These changing consumer preferences underline a growing interest in healthier lifestyle choices and alternatives to alcoholic beverages (IWSR, 2023; Clarke, 2023).

11. **Water Access and Availability:** Colorado faces significant water scarcity challenges, directly impacting its wine industry. This industry is heavily dependent on water for irrigation and essential operations like the constant cleaning in the wineries. The state's limited water resources pose a substantial challenge, as Doug Caskey, the former executive director of Colorado's Wine Industry Development Board, emphasized. He highlighted the unpredictability of weather and the insufficiency of water supply, underlining the critical need for efficient water management and sustainable practices in Colorado's viticulture (Frank, 2023).

POLICY & MARKET RECOMMENDATIONS

1. **Grocery Store Market Penetration Program:** To enhance Colorado wine availability in grocery stores, policymakers should introduce a local wine promotion program. This program would offer tax incentives to stores dedicating shelf space to local wines and provide financial support to wineries for distribution and marketing within the grocery stores.
2. **Governor's Local Vintner Showcase Initiative:** Lobby the Governor of Colorado to adopt a policy mandating the exclusive serving of Colorado-produced wines at state dinners and similar events, akin to the precedent set in New Jersey (Garden State Wine Growers Association, 2024).
3. **Quality First:** Colorado wineries, alongside the Colorado Wine Industry Development Board and CAVE, must prioritize quality. This extends beyond producing premium wines to providing exceptional experiences at tasting rooms and events, implementing effective quality assurance mechanisms, and precision viticulture to adopt technology-driven viticulture techniques to improve wine quality and consistency (Bramley & Lamb, 2003). To implement this policy, the CWIDB, CAVE, and other stakeholders should celebrate all local and national press, advertise wine awards in all communication channels, and market-specific wineries with a well-known reputation for producing high-quality wine. The rising tide lifts all boats.
4. **Quality Assurance Consortium**: Establish a consortium modeled after Germany's Verband Deutscher Prädikats (VDP), a volunteer invite-only consortium with nearly 200 wineries individually committed to strict quality standards and terroir promotion. Another framework could emphasize the membership with farmers who exclusively cultivate Colorado-grown fruit and produce high-quality wines from Vitis Vinifera or selected American Hybrids. Additionally, the group must establish and rigorously enforce quality standards and sensory evaluations, taking cues from Ontario's Vintners Quality Alliance (VQA). Literature indicates that such measures can significantly enhance a collective reputation and elevate the market value of certified wines. Evidence suggests that VQA-certified like wines attract a premium (Rabkin & Beatty, 2007; Ugochukwu, 2015; Ugochukwu et al., 2017; Cross et al., 2017; Porter, 2024). This approach in Colorado could similarly elevate the stature and economic return of locally produced wines (Porter, 2023).

*In order to make this document compliant with Accessibility requirements, page numbers may no longer coordinate with those listed in the Table of Contents.

5. **Leverage Unique Terroir:** Highlight Colorado's high-altitude winemaking as a defining characteristic. Promote varieties thriving in these conditions and use marketing strategies, including visual branding elements on bottles, to differentiate Colorado wines. This includes Colorado's public stakeholders and private enterprises emphasizing and discussing the soil's impact on the wine produced.

6. **AVA Expansion:** The Colorado Wine Industry Development Manager, local winemakers, and stakeholders such as CAVE should collaboratively engage in an in-depth analysis aimed at expanding American Viticultural Area (AVA) designations, with a specific focus on the culturally, geographically, and historically significant 'Four Corners' region. This expansion will bolster marketing and branding efforts by underscoring Colorado wines' unique terroir and quality and reinforcing consumer trust through stringent quality assurance. Integrating the Four Corners region into the AVA framework capitalizes on its rich heritage, soil, diverse climatic conditions, and current tourism strength. It is also aligned with its current high-quality wine production. This can assist in elevating Colorado's wine industry, positioning it as a leader in quality wine production and a hub of cultural and agricultural innovation.

7. **Verified Nurseries Database**: The Colorado Wine Industry Development Board should fund a project to compile a database of nurseries proven and verified in cold climates like Washington State, Michigan, and the Finger Lakes, aiding wineries in selecting high-quality plant materials from validated sources. This has been demonstrated in Michigan with Vitis Vinifera plant selection.

8. **Streamline Cost Reduction for Market Expansion:** To enhance market penetration, Colorado wineries and the state can focus on reducing wine prices through a potential combined or individualized strategy of state incentives, cost-effective packaging, production efficiencies, and direct-to-consumer sales. This approach involves seeking state subsidies and tax breaks to lower production costs, adopting cheaper and sustainable packaging solutions, optimizing production processes for efficiency, and enhancing direct sales channels to eliminate intermediary costs. Additionally, embracing bulk purchasing, introducing varied product lines to cater to different price points, regularly reviewing operational expenses, and engaging in collaborative marketing can collectively contribute to reducing the overall price of wines, making them more accessible and competitive in the market.

9. **CBOYB License for Local Wine Promotion in Restaurants:** Implement a 'Colorado Bring Your Own Bottle' (CBOYB) license, encouraging restaurants to allow guests to bring in Colorado-made wines without a corkage fee. This initiative, aimed at promoting local wines, would offer incentives like tax benefits to participating restaurants, enhance consumer engagement with local vineyards, support small wineries by increasing exposure, and foster a collaborative relationship between local winemakers and dining establishments. The program would also include educational efforts about Colorado wines and align with existing liquor laws to ensure responsible consumption.

10. **Lighthouse Varietal/Style**: Identify and market niche markets or specific varietals to distinguish Colorado wines, following successful examples from regions like Willamette Valley and the Finger Lakes (Schamel & Anderson, 2003). Riesling shows promise, particularly from the West Elks, as it is a hearty and cold, climate, and drought-resistant wine with a positive reputation. Sparkling wine is another significant opportunity that can also be made effectively with American hybrids without reputational risk. It is also less vulnerable to extreme cold events due to earlier harvesting and fewer ripe grapes needed to maintain acidity. In addition, the Sparkling market segment has been validated at scale in a similar environment with Gruet Winery in New Mexico, which is critically acclaimed and commercially successful.

11. **State Support in Reducing Farmland Prices**: Colorado should adopt a multifaceted approach to assist farmers in purchasing agricultural land and reducing the price per bottle. This includes offering subsidies and grants for direct financial assistance, establishing land banks to provide land at below-market rates, and introducing tax incentives for landowners selling to farmers. Colorado should also implement zoning laws favoring agricultural use to help maintain lower land prices, promote Community Supported Agriculture (CSA) programs that provide farmers with upfront capital, and encourage conservation easements to keep land in agriculture. These cooperative farming models and education programs can further assist in land acquisition and financial management. Other options include restricting foreign land ownership, facilitating public-private partnerships, developing affordable land leasing programs, and enhancing market access for farmers.

12. **Focus on Vitis Vinifera Varietals**: Prioritize and cultivate Vitis Vinifera varietals wherever possible, aligning with the global benchmark for quality wine. While incorporating American French hybrids is beneficial for risk mitigation and diversification, the emphasis should remain on Vitis Vinifera. This approach adheres to international standards and reinforces Colorado's commitment to

producing high-quality wines. By focusing on these varietals, the industry can enhance its competitive edge and reputation in the global wine market, balancing innovation with tradition.

13. Combating Neo-Prohibitionist over Generalized Research Applications: To address the rise of neo-prohibitionist attitudes and critical health authority perspectives on alcohol, stakeholders like CWIDB and CAVE should employ a nuanced, evidence-based strategy. This includes clarifying the health benefits of moderate wine consumption, refuting overgeneralized research that fails to distinguish between moderate and excessive drinking, and underscoring the importance of responsible consumption alongside wine's social and cultural benefits. Collaborating with health authorities and policymakers to promote informed alcohol policies and conducting educational outreach on the complexities and benefits of moderate wine consumption, as well as differentiating wine from other alcohol products, is essential for fostering a balanced public dialogue that appreciates both the health implications and the cultural value of the wine industry (Snopek et al., 2018; Morrison, 2023).

14. **Market Lemberger as Blaufränkisch:** Re-brand Lemberger as Blaufränkisch to capitalize on its international recognition and current market trends as its reputation grows in Austria and the world market.

15. **Invest further in Education and Training:** Continue to develop programs in viticulture, enology, customer service, and wine tourism management. Collaborate with local educational institutions to create wine education programs, improving professional skills and attracting wine enthusiasts, thus fostering a culture of wine appreciation (Charters et al., 2009).

16. **Expand CSU Viticulture Extension:** Increase state support and resources for the CSU Viticulture Extension, recognizing its foundational role in the industry’s growth and development.

17. **Enhanced Marketing Efforts:** Improve marketing strategies, including traditional and digital advertising, social media, wine events, and collaborations with local tourism boards. Emphasize high-altitude viticulture's unique attributes, taking inspiration from regions like Mendoza Valley in Argentina, Willamette Valley, and the Finger Lakes for improved digital design and branding. Each winery needs to tell its story online.

18. **Enhance American Hybrid Marketing:** The CWIDB should expand its marketing of American French Hybrid Varietals to increase consumer brand salience with an unknown product’s collective reputation (Landon & Smith, 1998; Perrouty et al., 2006).

19. **Transition All New Plantings to Grafted Vines:** To mitigate the risk of phylloxera, a pervasive vine pest, grafting all new vine plantings is crucial. This strategy, backed by historical successes in vineyard management, ensures long-term vine health and sustainability. Incorporating modern grafting techniques and selecting phylloxera-resistant rootstocks will be critical.

20. **Annual Vine Protection Practices:** Implement annual protective measures for grafted vines, like hilling up or using protective tubes filled with compost, as demonstrated by practices at Storm Cellar and common in Eastern Washington.

21. **Strengthen Digital Presence:** Develop a robust online presence with well-designed websites, e-commerce platforms, and active social media accounts. Engage in digital marketing strategies critical for direct-to-consumer wine sales (Thach, 2009), including online sales, storytelling, and digital wine tastings.

22. **Advocate for Supportive Policies:** Prioritize lobbying for regulatory reforms and policies conducive to the wine industry's expansion. Focus areas should encompass advocating for tax incentives tailored to wineries, securing emergency grants to offset crop loss, simplifying business operational requirements, advocating for thoughtful deregulation, and bolstering support for research and development, particularly in areas like water rights and the establishment of new American Viticultural Areas (AVAs). Utilize rural development impacts as a cornerstone for building support for these policies. A notable policy benchmark is adopting tax credits for grape farmers, as exemplified by New York State's effective incentive program promoting grape production and local rural development (tax.ny.gov, 2022). Following this model, it is recommended that the state of Colorado initiate similar tax credits benefiting farm wineries and vineyards. This policy could encompass crop replacement incentives, fostering a more robust grape farming sector within the state (Porter, 2022).

23. **Sustainability Initiatives/Green Award:** Adopt and promote sustainable practices in winery operations, aligning with Colorado's reputation as a sustainability leader. Introduce a green award at the governor's cup for inclusion on bottles. Shift to climate-friendly packaging, such as lighter bottles for all but the highest-end products. Adopt eco-certifications and sustainable practices that appeal to today's eco-conscious consumers (Forbes et al., 2009; Pomarici et al., 2020). Promote vineyard biodiversity and leverage the farm-to-table trend (Moulton & King, 2005).

24. **Water Management Focus:** Develop and implement water-efficient practices in viticulture, addressing Colorado's water scarcity and ensuring long-term industry sustainability. Couple this with continual regulatory infrastructure to promote water application to viticulture.

25. **Innovative Label Design:** Invest in professional label designs that communicate quality and origin, incorporating symbols like the Rocky Mountains and potential green awards (Orth et al., 2005). The label should also reflect the Quality Assurance Programs (QAPs) adopted by the industry—think the Black Rooster on Chianti.

26. **Infrastructure Development:** Prioritize infrastructure upgrades, including transportation improvements, modernizing facilities, and enhancing visitor amenities. Consider establishing a custom crush facility/shared CO-OP to lower barriers for smaller wine projects. The state should emphasize grants and support infrastructure to assist in developing this.

27. **Direct-to-Consumer Emphasis**: Focus on cellar door experiences and digital sales platforms. Enhance visitor engagement with interactive experiences like wine-blending workshops and vineyard tours.

28. **Expand Distribution:** Work toward increasing the presence of Colorado wines in local and national markets, particularly in supermarkets, high-end restaurants, and targeted out-of-state venues—expanding production where possible is necessary.

29. **Vintner's Restaurant License Flexibility:** Advocate for more flexible regulations concerning Vintner's Restaurant Licenses to support growth and expansion.

30. **Promote Wine Culture:** Continue to develop a wine culture in Colorado through expanded tastings, pop-ups in urban areas, food pairings, and collaborations with local breweries, shifting the focus from its renowned beer reputation to wine. Continue to promote local consumption of Colorado wines in restaurants, bars, and shops, enhancing sales and regional reputation and aligning with sustainability values.

31. **Nurture Next-Generation Talent:** Encourage and support the emergence of new winemakers and vineyard managers, bringing innovation and fresh perspectives to the industry. Potential avenues to enhancement include targeted scholarships to relevant universities, support and development internships and apprenticeships, and targeted grants to support apprenticeships in developed wine regions to enhance diffusion of best practices.

32. **Camp Colorado for Industry Engagement**: Organize a multi-day camp for wine critics, journalists, winemakers, sommeliers, and influencers to showcase Colorado's high-quality wines and experiences, boosting QAPs and brand equity. This has been verified in Willamette Valley, Oregon.

33. **Rating Coordination:** Actively pursue ratings and reviews by sending in solicited or unsolicited submissions to all major rating agencies to enhance visibility in the market.

34. **Mini-Wine MBA:** Collaborate with local universities to foster research and education, focusing on marketing, branding, hospitality, and policy analysis. Potential ideas include a Mini-Wine MBA where local wine industry stakeholders work with a relevant university in a weeklong boot camp to create business, marketing, customer service, and operational fluencies.

35. **Sweet Wine Excellence & Chateau Cashflow:** Individual wineries should emphasize improving the quality of their sweet wines. This involves adhering to established benchmarks for quality sweet wines, conducting thorough audits to identify and eliminate flaws, and refraining from the excessive addition of sugar that can mask underlying wine defects (Gardner, 2016). By enhancing the quality of sweet wines, wineries can improve their reputation individually and collectively, thus contributing positively to Chateau Cashflow, as supported by industry research (Keating, 2020; Porter, 2022).

36. **Wine Routes and Trails Development:** Continue to design and promote wine trails or routes, particularly in regions like the Four Corners and Hotchkiss, enhancing wine tourism. CWIDB should also fund a dedicated mobile app for these tours (Bruwer, 2003).

37. **Wine Clubs and Loyalty Programs Expansion:** Develop or expand wine clubs and customer loyalty programs to increase sales, enhance customer relationships, and expand wine culture within Colorado (Thach & Olsen, 2006).

38. **Access to Capital**: Secure funding through government grants, private investments, or other means necessary for rural development. One potential path forward is a small public venture fund where the state allocates a small amount of equity in emerging wine projects that use their capital.

39. **Update Consumer Attitude Data Set:** Utilizing the same panel provider for data collection in the process offers consistency and familiarity with procedures, which is particularly beneficial for longitudinal analysis where tracking changes over time within the same group is crucial. However, this approach poses risks like sample bias and panel conditioning, as panelists may not fully represent the broader population and could develop biased responses over time. To minimize these weaknesses while maintaining the benefits of consistency, CWIDM should continue using its current

panel provider and strategically expand into other panel providers. This expansion will diversify the participant pool, enhancing the representation and reliability of the data. By integrating a mix of panels, the CWIDM can balance consistency in data collection and mitigate the risks of sample bias and conditioned responses, thereby strengthening the overall quality and credibility of its findings.

40. **Strengthen Urban-Rural Wine Connectivity:** Continue to enhance the link between Colorado's urban centers and wine regions through integrated tourism initiatives. Potentially develop eco-friendly transportation links like shuttles to facilitate easy access to vineyards. Amplify the presence of Colorado wines in urban restaurants, bars, and stores through partnerships, promotions, and pop-up tastings throughout urban cores. Encourage urban investment in rural viticulture through forums and joint ventures. Organize cultural events and educational outreach programs in urban areas to raise awareness about the wine industry's contribution to rural development, fostering a deeper appreciation and collaboration between urban and rural communities.

CONCLUSION

This analysis has methodically investigated the Colorado wine industry's policy and market environment, analyzing historical context with contemporary market pressures to forecast a trajectory conducive to quality enhancement, economic vitality, and sustainable practice. Through empirical examination, this analysis has delineated the current state of the industry but also charted potential pathways for its robust development.

Principally under study is the wine sector's positive influence on Colorado's rural communities. Expanding this industry represents more than a mere economic improvement; it represents a sustainable opportunity for rural communities. Supporting the wine industry through action and potential deregulation, Colorado can continue to catalyze local economic diversification, job creation, and the preservation of Colorado's rural heritage. Significantly, the potential of the Colorado wine industry transcends its commercial aspect, an integral component of rural development and a sustainable industry and tax base with the potential for high-quality wine production. Notable findings reveal that the average price of Colorado wine produced from Colorado grapes is much higher than previously illustrated, as a 2019 study in Colorado shows that direct-to-consumer winery sales had an average retail price of $21.79, while this analysis illustrates the overall mean price is $28.35 (High Peak Strategy, 2021). Additionally, findings indicate there is a significant issue with market penetration in grocery stores for Colorado wine, which poses a major risk to further market growth.

The 40 actionable recommendations supported by empirical evidence and industry best practices are designed to guide the industry toward continued improvement and minimize potential constraints. These recommendations are theoretical and actionable data-driven directives poised to assist policymakers, industry stakeholders, farmers, and winemakers. A pivotal element of this analysis recognizes Colorado's potential to produce high-quality wines validated in global publications and national competitions. This potential is a testament to the state’s unique terroir and innovative viticultural methods, and an indicator for future investments and policy focus to continue elevating the industry.

In forecasting future growth and analysis, data-driven insights and recommendations must inform and shape strategic directions and resource allocation decisions. The dynamic interplay between market forces and policy decisions requires a perpetually adaptive approach. In sum, ongoing analysis and empirical research should continue to be a foundation of future strategic planning in both viticulture and enology, but just as importantly in policy, marketing, branding, and business operations—ensuring that the industry not only responds to immediate challenges but also anticipates and prepares for future opportunities and contingencies.

The Colorado wine industry is at a pivotal juncture, with the opportunity to redefine its economic and socio-cultural imprint on both a local and global scale. This analysis serves as a strategic guide, underlining the need for a collaborative approach in nurturing an industry that is quality-focused, economically sound, socially responsible, and environmentally sustainable. Stakeholders across various sectors need to continue to engage with and contribute to realizing an industry that is not only commercially successful but also an integral part of rural development.

References

Akerlof, G. A. (1978). The market for “lemons”: Quality uncertainty and the market mechanism. In Uncertainty in economics (pp. 235-251). Academic Press.

Ali, H. H., & Nauges, C. (2007). The pricing of experience goods: The example of en primeur wine. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 89(1), 91-103.

Alonso, A. (2011). Wine tourism development in emerging wine regions: The case of the Canary Islands. Tourism Management, 32(5), 1062-1072.

Arfini, F., Bertoli, E., Donati, M., & Mancini, M. C. (2002, October). The wine routes: Analysis of a rural development tool. In Communication au Colloque: Les systèmes agroalimentaires localisés: produits, entreprises et dynamiques locales, Gis-Syal (pp. 16-18).

Article 3, Title 44, C.R.S. (2022). Colorado Liquor Code. Colorado General Assembly. Retrieved from https://sbg.colorado.gov/sites/sbg/files/documents/Colorado%20Liquor%20Code%20%28as%20of%201.1.2022%29.pdf

Babin, B. J., & Bushardt, C. (2019). Third-party ratings and the US wine market. International Journal of Wine Business Research, 31(2), 151-162.

Barrett, C. B. (1996). Market analysis methods: Are our enriched toolkits well suited to enlivened markets? American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 78(3), 825-829.

Beneke, J., Flynn, R., Greig, T., & Mukaiwa, M. (2013). The influence of perceived product quality, relative price and risk on customer value and willingness to buy: A study of private label merchandise. Journal of Product & Brand Management, 22(3), 218-228.

Beverland, M., & Lockshin, L. (2001). Organizational life cycles in small New Zealand wineries. Journal of Small Business Management, 39(4), 354–362. https://doi.org/10.1111/04472778.00032

Bramley, R., & Lamb, D. (2003). Making sense of vineyard variability in Australia. Proceedings of the 11th Australian Wine Industry Technical Conference, 78-84.

Bruwer, J. (2003). South African wine routes: Some perspectives on the wine tourism industry’s structural dimensions and wine tourism product. Tourism Management, 24(4), 423-435.

Bruwer, J., & House, M. (2003). Has the Australian wine industry matured? The nature of the cellar door visit. The Australian & New Zealand Wine Industry Journal, 18(5), 105-111.

Burky, J. (2020). ‘SMOKE TAINT’ THE LATEST CONCERN FOR AREA VINEYARDS. Daily Sentinel of Grand Junction. Retrieved from https://coloradowine.com/smoke-taint-the-latest-concern-for-area-vineyards/

Carlsen, J. (2007). Global wine tourism: Research, management and marketing. Cabi international.

Caspari, H., Bertin, C., Goble, P., & Schumacher R. (2021). Semi-Annual report. Viticulture and Enology programs for the Colorado Wine Industry. Retrieved from https://coloradowine.com/trade-resources/?fwp_trade_resource_category=research

Castriota, S., & Delmastro, M. (2015). The economics of collective reputation: Evidence from the wine industry. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 97(2), 469-489. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajae/aau107

Cei, L., Defrancesco, E., & Stefani, G. (2018). From geographical indications to rural development: A review of the economic effects of European Union policy. Sustainability, 10(10), 3745. https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/10/10/3745

Chamorro, A., Rubio, S., & Miranda, F. J. (2015). The region-of-origin (ROO) effect on purchasing preferences: The case of a multiregional designation of origin. British Food Journal, 117(2), 820-839.

Charters, S., Velikova, N., Ritchie, C., Fountain, J., Thach, E., Dodd, T. H., Fish, N., Herbst, F., & Terblanche, N. (2009). Generation Y and sparkling wines: A cross-cultural perspective. International Journal of Wine Business Research.

Chen, L. C., & Kingsbury, A. (2019). Development of wine industries in the New-New World: Case studies of wine regions in Taiwan and Japan. Journal of Rural Studies, 72, 104-115.

Clarke, J. (2022). The Science Behind UV Light in Vineyards. Seven Fifty Daily. Retrieved from https://daily.sevenfifty.com/the-science-behind-uv-light-in-vineyards/

Clarke, S. (2023). Why Are So Many Wine Regions Dealing With Oversupply? Vinepair. Retrieved from https://vinepair.com/articles/wine-oversupply-explanation/

CMU Tech. (2023). Colorado Mesa University Tech. Viticulture and Enology Program and Degree. Retrieved from https://www.coloradomesa.edu/tech/programs/viticulture-enology.html

Cohen, S. D., Tarara, J. M., Gambetta, G. A., Matthews, M. A., & Kennedy, J. A. (2012). Impact of diurnal temperature variation on grape berry development, proanthocyanidin accumulation, and the expression of flavonoid pathway genes. Journal of Experimental Botany, 63(7), 2655-2665. doi: 10.1093/jxb/err449

Colorado Association for Viticulture & Enology. (2023). Colorado Association for Viticulture & Enology (CAVE). About Us. Retrieved from https://www.winecolorado.org/about/

Colorado Department of Agriculture. (2023). Colorado Department of Agriculture. Retrieved from https://ag.colorado.gov/

Colorado Department of Revenue. (2022). Colorado Wine Production and Market Share. Retrieved from https://coloradowine.com/trade-resources/?fwp_trade_resource_category=economic-and-market-studies

Colorado Department of Revenue. (2023). Colorado Department of Revenue. Liquor and Tobacco Enforcement Division. Retrieved from https://sbg.colorado.gov/liquor

Colorado General Assembly. (2023). Colorado General Assembly. The 74th General Assembly. Retrieved from https://leg.colorado.gov/

Colorado Revised Statues: SB19-142 Hard Cider Exemption Wine Industry Development Act. (2019). Hard Cider Exemption Wine Industry Development Act. Colorado General Assembly. Retrieved from https://leg.colorado.gov/bills/sb19-142

Colorado Revised Statutes § 35-29.5-102. (2022). Retrieved from Justia Law: https://law.justia.com/codes/colorado/2022/title-35/agriculture/article-29.5/section-35-29.5-102/

Colorado Revised Statutes: Title 35, Article 29.5, Colorado Wine Industry Development Act. (n.d.). Colorado Wine Industry Development Board. Archived from the original (PDF) on April 11, 2007. Retrieved from: https://web.archive.org/web/20070411082207/http://www.coloradowine.com/pdf/WineDevelAct.pdf

Colorado State University Viticulture Extension. (2023). Colorado State University Viticulture Extension. Home. Retrieved from https://viticulture.colostate.edu/

Colorado Wine Industry Board. (2022). Topline Report Tracking Study of KPIs. CO Wine Consumer Survey. Retrieved from https://coloradowine.com/trade-resources/?fwp_trade_resource_category=research

Colorado Wine. (2023). Colorado Wine. Colorado Wine Industry Board. Retrieved from https://coloradowine.com/

Colorado: Appellation Profile. (2007). Appellation America. Archived from the original on April 10, 2009. Retrieved November 27, 2007, from

https://web.archive.org/web/20090410075129/http://wine.appellationamerica.com/wine-region/Colorado.html
Corbin, J., & Strauss, A. (2008). Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches. Sage Publications.
Cronin Jr, J. J., Brady, M. K., & Hult, G. T. M. (2000). Assessing the effects of quality, value, and customer satisfaction on consumer behavioral intentions in service environments. Journal of Retailing, 76(2), 193-218.
Cross, R., Plantinga, A. J., & Stavins, R. N. (2017). Terroir in the new world: Hedonic estimation of vineyard sale prices in California. *Journal of Wine Economics, 12*(3), 282-301. https://www.hks.harvard.edu/publications/terroir-new-world-hedonic-estimationvineyard-sale-prices-california
Cvijanović, D., Novakovic, D. J., & Vojinović, Z. (2017). Wine industry as a source of rural growth and development. In Proceedings of the International Scientific Conference "Strategies for the Agri-Food Sector and Rural Areas–Dilemmas of Development" (pp. 19-21).
De Janvry, A., Sadoulet, E., & Murgai, R. (2002). Rural development and rural policy. *Handbook of Agricultural Economics, 2*, 1593-1658.
Del Olmo-García, F., Domínguez-Fabián, I., Crecente-Romero, F. J., & Del Val-Núñez, M. T. (2023). Determinant factors for the development of rural entrepreneurship. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 191*, Article 122487.
Dethier, J. J., & Effenberger, A. (2012). Agriculture and development: A brief review of the literature. *Economic Systems, 36*(2), 175-205.
Dorward, A., Kydd, J., Morrison, J., & Urey, I. (2004). A policy agenda for pro-poor agricultural growth. *World Development, 32*(1), 73-89.
Eisner, E. W. (1991). *The enlightened eye: Qualitative inquiry and the enhancement of educational practice*. Toronto: Collier Macmillan Canada.
Farm to Table. (2021). The Benefits of Farm-to-Table. Retrieved from https://www.farmtotablefoods.com/benefits-farm-table/

Forbes, S. L., Cohen, D. A., & Dean, C. A. (2015). End-user perspectives of benchmarking wine tourism operations. *International Journal of Wine Business Research*.

Forbes, S. L., Cohen, D. A., Cullen, R., Wratten, S. D., & Fountain, J. (2009). Consumer attitudes regarding environmentally sustainable wine: An exploratory study of the New Zealand marketplace. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 17*(13), 1195-1199.

Frank, J. (2023). Colorado's wine industry looks to adapt to unpredictable seasons. Axios. Retrieved from https://www.axios.com/local/denver/2023/05/21/colorado-wine-industry-adapt-climate-change

Fred. (2023). Average Price: Wine, Red and White Table, All Sizes, Any Origin (Cost per 1 Liter/33.8 Ounces) in U.S. City Average. Federal Reserve Saint Louis. Retrieved from https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/APU0000720311

Gardner, D. (2016). A perspective of the Pennsylvania wine industry. Penn State Extension. Retrieved from https://extension.psu.edu/a-perspective-of-the-pennsylvania-wine-industry

Garden State Wine Growers Association. (2024). About NJ wine. GSWGA. https://www.newjerseywines.com/about-nj-wine/

Garretson, J. A., Fisher, D., & Burton, S. (2002). Antecedents of private label attitude and national brand promotion attitude: similarities and differences. *Journal of Retailing, 78*(2), 91-99.

Getz, D., & Brown, G. (2006). Benchmarking wine tourism development: The case of the Okanagan Valley, British Columbia, Canada. *International Journal of Wine Marketing, 18*(2), 78-97.

Getz, D., & Brown, G. (2006). Critical success factors for wine tourism regions: a demand analysis. *Tourism Management, 27*(1), 146-158.

Gitea, M. A., Gitea, D., Mirela Tit, D., Bungau, S. G., Bogdan, M. A., Radu, A. F., ... & Pasca, M. B. (2023). Organically Cultivated Vine Varieties—Distinctive Qualities of the Oils Obtained from Grape Seeds. *Sustainability, 15*(14), 11037.

Goble, P. E., Caspari, H. W., & Schumacher, R. S. (2023). Using climatological data to identify locations with viticultural potential in Colorado. *Journal of Applied and Service Climatology, 2023*, 1-21.

Hall, C. M., Johnson, G., & Mitchell, R. (2009). Wine tourism and regional development. In *Wine Tourism Around the World* (pp. 196-225). Routledge.

Hall, M., Cambourne, B., Sharples, L., & Macionis, N. (2000). *Wine tourism around the World: Development, management, and market*. Elsevier.

High Peak Strategy. (2021). Colorado Wine Industry Economic Impact Study. Wine Industry Development Board. Retrieved from https://coloradowine.com/trade-resources/?fwp_trade_resource_category=research

Hira, A. (2013). Mapping out the Triple Helix: how institutional coordination for competitiveness is achieved in the global wine industry. *Prometheus, 31*(4), 271-303. Retrieved from https://digitalcommons.wcupa.edu/all_doctoral/139

IWSR. (2023). The 8 drivers of change for beverage alcohol in 2023 and beyond. Retrieved from https://theiwsr.com

Jackson, R. S. (2008). *Wine Science: Principles and Applications*. Academic Press.

Johnston, B. F., & Mellor, J. W. (1961). The role of agriculture in economic development. *The American Economic Review, 51*(4), 566-593.

Joy, R. (2007). Terroir: The truth. *Decanter, July*, 42–51. Retrieved from https://www.decanter.com/premium/terroir-the-truth-247310/

Kapur, R. (2019). Rural development approaches and strategies. *Acta Scientific Agricultural*, 167-173.

Keating, G. B. (2020). An empirical analysis of the effect of sub-divisions of American viticultural areas on wine prices: A hedonic study of Napa Valley. *Journal of Wine Economics, 15*(3), 312-329. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/jwe.2020.29

Landon, S., & Smith, C. E. (1998). Quality expectations, reputation, and price. *Southern Economic Journal, 64*, 628-647. https://doi.org/1060783

Laszlo, M. (2023). Colorado's New Wine In Grocery Stores Law Takes Effect on March 1, 2023. LaszloLaw. Retrieved from https://www.laszlolaw.com/colorados-new-wine-in-grocery-stores-law-takes-effect-on-march-1-2023/

Lavandoski, J., Pinto, P., Albino, J., & Vargas-Sánchez, A. (2016). Causes and effects of wine tourism development in wineries. *International Journal of Wine Business Research, 28*(3), 266-284.

Lee, K., & Malerba, F. (2017). Catch-up cycles and changes in industrial leadership: Windows of opportunity and responses of firms and countries in the evolution of sectoral systems. *Research Policy, 46*(2), 338-351.

Legislative Council Staff. (2023). 2022 Colorado Liquor Licensing Handbook. Colorado General Assembly. Retrieved from https://leg.colorado.gov/publications/2022-colorado-liquor-licensing-handbook

McMillin, S. (2020). NEXT NAPA VALLEY? THE CAÑON CITY AREA IS HOPING WINERIES CAN LEAD TO AN AGRICULTURAL RENAISSANCE. *The Colorado Sun*. Retrieved from https://coloradowine.com/next-napa-valley-the-canon-city-area-is-hoping-wineries-can-lead-to-an-agricultural-renaissance/

MFK Research. (2007). The impact of wine, grapes and grape products on the American economy. Family Businesses Building Value. Retrieved from https://www.umpqua.edu/images/areas-of-study/career-technical/viticultureenology/downloads/economic-impact/2007-mfk-economic-impact-oregon-wine.pdf

Milgrom, P., & Roberts, J. (1986). Price and advertising signals of product quality. *Journal of Political Economy, 94*, 796–821.

Mitchell, R., Hall, C. M., & McIntosh, A. (2000). Wine tourism and consumer behaviour. In C. M. Hall, E. Sharples, B. Cambourne & N. Macionis (Eds.), *Wine tourism around the world: Development, management, and markets* (pp. 115-134). Butterworth-Heinemann.

Morrison, D. (2023). A scientist looks at alcohol and health, and is concerned. The Wine Gourd, Exploring the world of wine data. Retrieved from https://winegourd.blogspot.com/

Moseley, M. J. (2003). *Rural development: Principles and practice* (1st publ. ed.). London: SAGE. ISBN 978-0-7619-4766-0.

Mota, J., Costa, R., Moreira, A., Serrão, S., & Costa, C. (2021). Competitiveness framework to support regional-level decision-making in the wine industry: A systematic literature review. *Wine Economics and Policy, 10*(2), 29-40.

Moulton, M., & King, L. (2005). Growing grapes in the Pacific Northwest. Pacific Northwest Extension.

Nelson, P. (1970). Information and consumer behavior. *Journal of Political Economy, 78*, 311–29.

OECD. (2016). *Regional well-being: A user's guide*. Paris.

OpenAI. (2024). ChatGPT (Version 4) [Large language model]. https://labs.openai.com

Orth, U. R., Lockshin, L., & D'Hauteville, F. (2005). Dimensions of wine region equity and their impact on consumer preferences. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*.

Paola, C., & Davide, G. (2016). Collective actions within a wine producer' organization: bulk wine price and its drivers. In Proceedings of the 153th EAAE Seminar -European Association of Agricultural Economists (EAAE), Gaeta, Italy. Retrieved from

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311913869_Collective_actions_within_a_wine_producers'_organisation_bulk_wine_price_and_its_drivers
Patel-Campillo, A., & DeLessio-Parson, A. (2016). Why types of operations, trade associations, and production trends matter in the geographic branding of an emerging industry. *Journal of Wine Research, 27*(3), 242-256. https://doi.org/10.1080/09571264.2016.1202218
Patton, M. Q. (1990). *Qualitative evaluation and research methods* (2nd ed.). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
Perrouty, J. P., D'Hauteville, F., & Lockshin, L. (2006). The influence of wine attributes on region of origin equity: An analysis of the moderating effect of consumer's perceived expertise. *Agribusiness, 22*(3), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1002/agr.20089
Pomarici, E., Vecchio, R., & Mariani, A. (2020). Millennial generation attitudes to sustainable wine: An exploratory study on Italian consumers. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 262*, Article 121144.
Porter, S. (2023). The Terroir of Growth: Recommendations for the Pennsylvania Wine Industry. PA Vine Company: https://pavineco.com/recommendations-pennsylvania-wine-industry/
Porter, S. (2022). A comprehensive analysis of the Pennsylvania wine industry with actionable recommendations for industry improvement and growth. *West Chester University Doctoral* Projects, (139). https://digitalcommons.wcupa.edu/all_doctoral/139/
Porter, S. M. (2024). Decoding the Italian Wine Market: A Quantitative Macro-Economic Examination of Price and Expert Rating Influences. *Wine Business Journal.* https://doi.org/10.26813/001c.94078
Purohit, D., & Srivastava, J. (2001). Effect of manufacturer reputation, retailer reputation, and product warranty on consumer judgments of product quality: A cue diagnosticity framework. *Journal of Consumer Psychology, 10*(3), 123-130.
Rabkin, D. E., & Beatty, K. M. (2007). Does VQA matter? A hedonic analysis. *Canadian Public Policy, 33*(3), 299–314. https://doi.org/10.3138/cpp.33.3.299
Rihn, A. L., Jensen, K. L., & Hughes, D. W. (2022). Consumer perceptions of wine quality assurance programs: An opportunity for emerging wine markets. *Sustainability, 14*(4), 2340.
Ross Johnson, S. (2022). The 10 Richest States in America. U.S News & World Report. https://www.usnews.com/news/best-states/slideshows/10-wealthiest-states-in-america?slide=3
Salem Khalifa, A. (2004). Customer value: a review of recent literature and an integrative configuration. *Management Decision, 42*(5), 645-666.

Santos, V., Ramos, P., Sousa, B., & Valeri, M. (2021). Towards a framework for the global wine tourism system. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*. https://doi.org/10.1108/JOCM-112020-0361

Schamel, G., & Anderson, K. (2003). Wine quality and varietal, regional, and winery reputations: Hedonic prices for Australia and New Zealand. In *World Scientific Reference on Handbook of The Economics of Wine, 2*: Reputation, Regulation, and Market Organization (pp. 33-58). https://doi.org/10.1142/9789813232754_0002

Schlachter, K. (2012). Colorado wine industry enters a higher state with Colorado wine. The Wine Cellar Insider. Retrieved from https://www.thewinecellarinsider.com/2012/01/colorados-wine-industry-enters-a-higher-state/

Schneider, E. (2023). Ep 480: The Leelanau Peninsula of Michigan (US) - Portrait of an Emerging Region [Audio podcast episode]. In *Wine for Normal People*. Retrieved from https://winefornormalpeople.libsyn.com/ep-480-the-leelanau-peninsula-of-michigan-us-portrait-of-an-emerging-region

Snopek, L., Mlcek, J., Sochorova, L., Baron, M., Hlavacova, I., Jurikova, T., ... & Sochor, J. (2018). Contribution of red wine consumption to human health protection. *Molecules*, *23*(7), 1684.

Steinman, H. (2014). Terroir? What exactly do you mean? Is it just the place, or how it defines the wine? *Wine Spectator*. Retrieved from https://www.winespectator.com/articles/terroir-what-exactlydo-you-mean-49735

Stuber, S. (2023). Colorado's wine region thriving despite wild weather. *Telluride Daily Planet*. Retrieved from https://www.telluridenews.com/news/article_5c8d711c-67c7-11ee-b24b-f7da9789a3ca.html

Sweeney, J. C., Soutar, G. N., & Johnson, L. W. (1999). The role of perceived risk in the quality-value relationship: A study in a retail environment. *Journal of Retailing, 75*(1), 77-105.

Tafel, M., & Szolnoki, G. (2020). Estimating the economic impact of tourism in German wine regions. *International Journal of Tourism Research, 22*(6), 788-799.

Tan, A. (1999). Text mining: The state of the art and the challenges. In *Proceedings, PAKDD '99 Workshop on Knowledge Discovery from Advanced Databases*. https://doi.org/10.1.1.132.6973

Tax.ny.gov. (2022). Manufacturing incentives. *Department of Taxation and Finance*. Retrieved from https://www.tax.ny.gov/bus/manufacturingincentives.htm

Trišić, I., Štetić, S., Privitera, D., & Nedelcu, A. (2020). Wine routes in Vojvodina province, Northern Serbia: A tool for sustainable tourism development. *Sustainability, 12*(1), 82. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12010082

Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1992). Advances in prospect theory: Cumulative representation of uncertainty. Journal of Risk and uncertainty, 5, 297-323.

Ugochukwu, A., Hobbs, J., & Bruneau, J. (2017). Determinants of wineries' decisions to seek VQA certification in the Canadian wine industry. *Journal of Wine Economics, 12*(1), 16-36. doi:10.1017/jwe.2016.28

Ugochukwu, A. (2015). Essays on collective reputation and authenticity in agri-food markets. Doctoral dissertation, University of Saskatchewan. Link

USA Facts. (2023). Colorado. USAFacts. Link

USA Facts. (2023). Utah. USAfacts. Link

Van der Ploeg, J. D. (2018). *The new peasantries: Rural development in times of globalization.* Routledge.

Veseth, M. (2011). *Wine wars: The curse of the blue nun, the miracle of two buck chuck, and the revenge of the terroirists.* Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.

Veseth, M. (2023). America's Wine Regions: Re-Imagining Colorado. *The Wine Economist.* Link

Wang, Y., Lo, H. P., & Hui, Y. V. (2003). The antecedents of service quality and product quality and their influences on bank reputation: evidence from the banking industry in China. *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal, 13*(1), 72-83.

Willcox, J. (2023). The Climate Phenomenon that Produces Wines with 'Electric Acidity'. *Wine Enthusiast.* Link

Willcox, K. (2022). Colorado Rising: Behind the World's First High Desert Hybrid Wine Region. *Wine Industry Advisor.* Link

Wilson, J. E. (1998). *Terroir: The role of geology, climate, and culture in the making of French wines (Wine Wheels).* University of California Press.

Wine America. (2023). The National Association of American Wineries. *Wine America.* Link

Winfree, J., McIntosh, C., & Nadreau, T. (2018). An economic model of wineries and enotourism. *Wine Economics and Policy, 7*(2), 88-93. Link

*In order to make this document compliant with Accessibility requirements, page numbers may no longer coordinate with those listed in the Table of Contents.

World Bank. (2007). *World Development Report 2008: Agriculture for Development*. World Bank/Oxford University Press, Washington, DC/Oxford.

Yarrow, D. (2021). Opportunity and Challenge for Colorado Wine. *Vinography.* Link

Zeithaml, V. A. (1988). Consumer perceptions of price, quality, and value: a means-end model and synthesis of evidence. *Journal of marketing, 52*(3), 2-22.