

This is a pre-submission draft of a manuscript that was submitted as an invited chapter for the [Innovations in Digital Instruction Through Virtual Environments](#) book.

We are sharing this version online under a CC BY-SA 3.0 license to allow for public comment and feedback. Please add in commentary below. We may fold your feedback into this manuscript during the review process.

Balancing Resilience and Digital Distractions:

Media mentors and youth staying focused in the digital landscape

W. Ian O'Byrne, College of Charleston

Spencer Ward, Walden University

Introduction

The digital age has presented both advantages and issues in learning, communication, and overall well-being. Digital technology can provide entertainment, information, and connection but can also increase stress levels, and confusion, and weaken resilience. While digital tools and spaces offer many benefits, such as increased access to information and opportunities for social connection, they can also be intrusive and negatively impact personal relationships. Additionally, the shift to online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the digital divide and the challenges faced by low-income students and those with special needs. It is important to examine how digital technologies are playing a role in our lives, particularly in the lives of children and adolescents, and to consider their impact on well-being and academic progress. How can youth build the skills needed to stay focused and avoid digital distractions? What support do educators and parents offer in this endeavor?

The Internet has had a major impact on learning and other social practices for the past 20

years (Barab & Duffy, 2000; Hartman et al., 2010; Lanskhear & Knobel, 2003; Gee, 2004). Research on the impact of internet-enabled devices in schools remains ongoing (Arnott, Palaiologou & Gray, 2018). However, various educational practices and approaches such as web-based inquiry, multimodal creation, and online communication of ideas (Coiro, 2015; Coiro et al., 2016; Hutchison & Colwell, 2014; Ranker, 2015; Marsh, 2011) have been shown to foster digital literacy (Black, 2006; Dwyer, 2016). Over the past two decades, research has consistently highlighted the complexity of reading and writing in digital environments. However, discussions surrounding screen time often overlook the crucial aspects of cognitive and social development. Instead, many articles tend to emphasize concerns about potential harm to developing brains caused by screen usage. For example, in a *Psychology Today* article, Dunckley (2014) opens with the claim, “Addiction aside, a much broader concern that begs awareness is the risk that screen time is creating subtle damage even in children with ‘regular’ exposure, considering that the average child clocks in more than seven hours a day” (Rideout, Foehr, & Roberts, 2010, para. 2). Although all of the data she presents are from studies of youth who are Internet and video-game addicted, she encourages parents to “arm yourself with the truth about the potential damage screentime is capable of imparting—particularly in a young, still-developing brain” (para. 3).

While we acknowledge the medical relevance and validity of the available data, we do not perceive Internet addiction as an inevitable outcome. Furthermore, we believe that the current discourse surrounding this issue fails to provide a comprehensive understanding of the intricate interactions between developing brains and digital devices. Some research suggests that “screentime” is problematic, perhaps even addicting, and arguments that children’s use of screens should be limited abound (Carr, 2010; Dunckley, 2015). There are legitimate concerns about children’s physical, intellectual, and emotional well-being; yet conversations about

screentime focus predominantly on the time spent on devices, often overlooking fundamentally important questions about what youth are learning by using technology. We also believe that media mentorship plays a crucial role in empowering youth to be active, critical, and responsible participants in today's media-rich society (RobbGrieco & Hobbs, 2013). It can equip them with the necessary skills and knowledge to navigate the media landscape effectively, make informed choices, and become creators of media rather than passive consumers (Passey et al., 2018).

This chapter aims to explore these questions by examining the concept of resilience to digital distractions, which can be understood as the ability to avoid, cope with, or absorb disturbances caused by digital technology. We posit that through rich, dynamic, engaging, complex, creative, culturally, and socially relevant online learning experiences youth can thrive as fully participatory digital-age citizens (Jenkins, Ito & boyd, 2015; Buchholz, DeHart & Moorman, 2020). In short, we seek to position youth as agentive, proactive, and spirited creators, not just consumers. We seek opportunities for them to build identity, and agency, and seek critical consciousness in an age of screentime. We will make the case that the Internet is the dominant text of a globally connected world, and as such, youth need to build the skills, habits, and practices necessary to survive and thrive in current and future digital contexts. The chapter will review the current research on these topics and discuss the implications for youth development and education.

Literature Review

Youth are growing up in a connected world where the web affords unprecedented learning opportunities and has made information plentiful and put experts, figuratively speaking, at our fingertips. Ubiquitous mobile technologies have made the promise of improved access a realistic, achievable goal. This globally networked landscape has prompted a new vision for

education, demonstrating the value of learning anywhere, anytime, and with equal access as a fundamental human right (Resta & Laferrière, 2015). Never in the history of civilization have we seen such a potentially transformative literacy technology adopted by so many in so many different places in such a short period of time (Leu et al., 2009). The challenge is that even with these incredible opportunities, serious questions remain about how individuals can effectively negotiate the firehose of information available online as they contend with digital distractions while maintaining personal agency, self-efficacy, and resilience.

This leaves the current generation of educators, researchers, and policymakers with the critical responsibility to help facilitate a cultural transition during this period of profound change, ensuring a successful and positive move from print to digital resources and the hybrid spaces in between (Livingstone & Blum-Ross, 2020). Additionally, it forces us to address print-to-digital shifts in a manner that guarantees equity for all learners across the globe. Put simply, how can caregivers and educators support youth as they become digitally literate while remaining considerate of well-being and personal progress?

Towards Digital Critical Consciousness

As the Internet has become a major part of our lives, digital and social spaces have become the public square where people communicate and make connections. Today's students are born into a digital world but still have much to learn about digital literacy (Flynt & Brozo, 2010; Karchmer-Klein & Shinas, 2012; Redmond, 2015). To prepare students for the constant change of what it means to be literate (O'Byrne, 2014), we must expand our definition of "text" to include multimodal formats (Serafini, 2012). Text is not only ambiguous (Belshaw, 2012), but also deictic as future needs will be met by newer technologies and discourses (Leu, Kinzer, Coiro, Castek, & Henry, 2017).

Literacy and skills are related, but distinct concepts. Literacy focuses on knowledge, skills, and dispositions, while skills refer to work habits, dispositions, and strategies essential for digital literacy. Examples of digital skills include copy and pasting and critical thinking, while digital literacy encompasses the ability to read, write, and participate in online spaces. Digital skills involve the ability to efficiently encode and decode digital information with speed and fluency, while digital literacy relates to the awareness, attitude, and ability to use digital texts and tools. (Afflerbach, Pearson, & Paris, 2008; Wylie, Thomson, Leppänen, Ackerman, Kanninen, & Prieler, 2018). It is important that youth build the knowledge, practices, skills, and dispositions necessary to survive and prosper in current and future contexts (Serdyukov, 2017).

The ultimate goal in preparing learners for future spaces is not just to focus on literacy practices and skills, but also opportunities to enable critical consciousness (Maker Castro, Wray-Lake, & Cohen, 2022). Research findings indicate that students have the capacity to develop self-regulatory skills while engaging in online research within an educational setting (Putman, Hathaway, Coiro & Quinn, 2015). Increasingly, we're seeing youth people facing systemic discrimination have increased difficulty in achieving well-being (Kutsyruba, Klinger & Hussain, 2015). Well-being in this context encompasses mental, socioemotional, and physical health and the research is still trying to understand the role that digital spaces play, especially in a post-pandemic society (Masi, Mendoza Diaz, Tully, Azim, Woolfenden, Efron & Eapen, 2021). Critical consciousness is a combination of reflection, motivation, and action against oppression.

Critical consciousness focuses on understanding the world and exposing social and political contradictions and includes taking action against oppressive elements in one's life (Jemal, 2017). Critical consciousness is an understanding of structural inequalities and oppressions in society and encourages questioning of dominant narratives and power dynamics

to bring about social justice and equity (Watts & Hipolito-Delgado, 2015). Critical consciousness contributes to youth development by fostering awareness, empowerment, critical thinking, civic engagement, and personal growth and equips individuals with the tools and mindset necessary to navigate and challenge the complexities of the world they live in (Cadenas, Cantú, Spence & Ruth, 2020)

Through the development of digital literacies and interactions in a networked society, youth can gain insight into the sources of social issues (e.g., racism, sexism, poverty, discrimination) critical consciousness gives them insight into the sources of these problems and how power dynamics maintain inequality (Hobbs, 2021). Moving far beyond simple digital literacy practices and skills, youth can achieve a sense of power and confidence by developing critical consciousness, enabling them to challenge oppressive systems and become involved in political and social issues (Kunnath & Jackson, 2019). This in turn gives them the agency to advocate for change and make a meaningful difference in their lives, and the lives of others.

Developing critical consciousness in the use of digital technologies also provides opportunities to build and enhance critical thinking capabilities (Stubbs & Hullinger, 2022). Youth learn to question current ideals, evaluate data and media with a critical eye, and gain a more nuanced understanding of intricate social topics (Mirra, Garcia, & Morrell, 2015). This gives them a larger outlook and enables them to make well-informed choices and drive personal growth and social transformation (Valtonen, Tedre, Mäkitalo & Vartiainen, 2019). These intersections between critical consciousness and digital social contexts encourage youth to cultivate empathy, compassion, and a sense of social responsibility (Barrera, Wilner, & Kuhahiko, 2017).

This involvement encompasses various civic activities, such as voting, community organizing, and advocacy, aimed at addressing injustices and effecting positive change. A systematic review examining the role of digital skills in the lives of young people (aged 12-17) revealed that possessing information navigation and processing skills is associated with several favorable outcomes. These include increased civic participation, enhanced online opportunities, improved academic grades, and a greater propensity for seeking information related to school assignments (Livingstone et al., 2021). It is hoped that individuals are then provided opportunities to transform and transcend conceptions of self which lead to more equitable and inclusive relationships, ultimately helping to generate a more just and inclusive society (Khalifa, Gooden, & Davis, 2016).

Towards Digital Resilience

As indicated earlier, we are presented with one of the paradoxes of digital literacy. Digitally networked spaces allow educational models that are more sustainable and accessible and allow learners to remain engaged, but they also serve as a source of distraction. Digital tools and resources provide access to a wealth of information and educational content from around the world. Learners can explore diverse perspectives, access up-to-date resources, and engage in real-time discussions with peers and experts across geographical boundaries. This enhanced accessibility promotes inclusivity and allows learners to access educational materials and opportunities that may otherwise be unavailable to them. We also need to acknowledge that digital spaces also pose challenges in terms of potential distractions as the abundance of entertaining content, social media platforms, and messaging apps can divert learners' attention from their educational activities.

Digital distractions are avoidance mechanisms derived from technology (Wu & Xie, 2018). In technology-enhanced educational environments, digital distractions are present within instructional and personal technology (Liao & Wu, 2022). Digital distractions can range from games, videos, or other technological activities that serve to avoid the main task (Chen, Nath, & Tang, 2020). Students often switch tabs to avoid an assignment with games or videos (Bergdahl et al., 2020; McDaniel, 2020). With unlimited digital distractions, it is challenging for youth to focus on an educational task within a technology-enhanced learning environment (Kearney & Maakrun, 2020). Digital distractions, including notifications, messages, and content, can contribute to the fragmentation of attention and information overload, making it hard to focus on sustained, critical thinking (Gui, Fasoli & Carradore, 2017). A constant influx of new information can prevent meaningful contemplation and evaluation as youth need to build the skills needed to stay focused and avoid digital distractions. These skills can be taught and practiced in and out of schools to provide youth with strategies to combat digital distractions (Turner & Hicks, 2020).

Digital Distractions interfere with youth's education. Bergdahl et al. (2020) found a significant correlation between lower performance grades and in-class multitasking behavior. Students have been shown to use multitasking behaviors as a purposeful method to distract themselves and combat boredom or disinterest (Unsworth & McMillan, 2017). The accessibility of digital distractions poses a significant challenge, particularly when students are encouraged to utilize technology to enhance their learning experiences (Cheong, Shuter & Suwinyattichai, 2016). The allure of these distractions often leads students to seek them out as a means to evade learning, consequently exerting a negative impact on their academic performance. Engaging excessively in digital diversions, such as the overuse of social media, gaming, or entertainment,

can have adverse effects on critical thinking abilities (Al-Samarraie et al., 2021). Excessive preoccupation with digital activities can hinder individuals from dedicating sufficient time and focus to engage in critical thinking, reflection, and meaningful involvement in social matters (Cladis, 2020).

Not only are digital distractions negatively influencing academic achievement, but the amount of time youth spend on technology outside of school may also hurt their education. Current studies found a negative relationship between time spent on technology and academic achievement (Anthony et al., 2021; Rozgonjuk et al., 2021; Türel & Dokumaci, 2022). Moreover, Anthony et al. (2021) concluded that more than one hour per weekday and four hours per weekend day over a year could significantly influence academic achievement test scores, attitudes toward education, and concentration. Bergdahl et al. (2020) found significant correlations between time spent on social media and streaming services and low academic grades.

The effect digital distractions have on critical thinking can differ from person to person and in different circumstances. Some can utilize digital distractions without hindering their ability to think critically or reflect. Additionally, technology can be used to encourage critical engagement and learning when utilized consciously and appropriately. Digital distractions may be more significant for students of average and low achievement (Pérez-Juárez et al., 2023). Current research suggests that high-achieving students overcome digital distractions with self-regulated strategies while low-achieving students often use digital multi-tasking to cope with boredom during lessons (Bergdahl et al., 2020). Digital distractions have the potential to influence all students' successes; however, with acknowledgment and proper training, students and instructors can be equipped to combat digital distractions better (Haleem et al., 2022).

Digital distractions possess the potential to foster a culture of instant gratification and shallow engagement, hindering the cultivation of a critical mindset necessary for comprehending and challenging societal norms, power structures, and inequities (Harris et al., 2020).

Towards Media Mentorship

Media mentorship refers to a relationship in which a more experienced individual guides and supports a younger person in developing their media literacy skills and navigating the media landscape (Campbell & Haines, 2016). It involves providing guidance, sharing knowledge, and fostering critical thinking abilities related to media consumption, creation, and interpretation (O'Byrne et al., 2021). Media mentorship can include helping youth acquire technical abilities, such as photography, video editing, podcasting, and graphic design, allowing them to express themselves creatively through media (Hobbs et al., 2013). Mentors can empower youth to understand their online presence, privacy, and appropriate behavior through digital citizenship (McGillivray, 2016). They teach ethical practices, such as respecting copyright and proper attribution in order to promote respectful online communication as well as guidance in avoiding online risks (Davis et al., 2010).

Media mentors guide others to critically assess media messages, helping them recognize biases, evaluate sources, and identify manipulation and individuals develop a foundation for informed media consumption and decision-making (Höttecke & Allchin, 2020). Media mentorship develops a safe space for youth to discover passions, express opinions, and narrate experiences using different media outlets (Pinkhard et al., 2017). This guidance, dialogue, and apprenticeship have the potential to build confidence, strengthen self-worth, and motivate young people to be heard (Resnick, 2017). As individuals proceed through college and career, mentorship can include advice on media-related careers, industry information, and education

paths to help them make informed decisions and take advantage of media-related job opportunities (Collins & Halverson, 2018). Overall, media mentorship plays a crucial role in empowering youth to be active, critical, and responsible participants in today's media-rich society. It equips them with the necessary skills and knowledge to navigate the media landscape effectively, make informed choices, and become creators of media rather than passive consumers.

For successful media mentorship in an educational setting, instructors must teach students how to use technology effectively and continuously monitor their technology use to lessen students' multitasking behavior (McDaniel, 2020). Flanigan and Babchuk (2022) concluded that instructors primarily use prevention strategies to cope with students' digital distractions instead of reactive strategies in fear of damaging their teacher-student relationship. In technology-enhanced learning environments, instructors must account for digital distractions and educate students on the role digital distractions have on their academic success (Aaron & Lipton, 2018). Media mentorship can be integrated into the classroom to support students in developing media literacy, technical skills, critical thinking abilities, and a responsible digital presence (Redmond, 2015). Educators can facilitate discussions and activities that promote critical thinking about media messages allowing learners to analyze advertisements, news articles, or social media posts, examining biases, stereotypes, and persuasive techniques employed by media creators (Reeves & Crowther, 2019).

Parents and guardians can also engage in media mentorship with youth to support their development in digital spaces. Parents and guardians can encourage critical thinking and media literacy in their youth by discussing the media they consume, such as movies, TV shows, news articles, and social media posts (De Abreu, 2010). Parents and guardians can work with youth to

create media content, such as family vlogs, photo albums, or podcasts. This not only allows the youth to express themselves creatively but also helps them to acquire technical skills while strengthening personal bonds. This can include helping youth explore hobbies such as photography, video editing, coding, and writing. This help may take the form of providing resources and connecting them with educational programs or mentorship opportunities. Most importantly, parents and guardians can set an example for youth by modeling healthy media habits (Schwartz et al., 2014). These include balanced media use, responsible online behavior, and critically engaging with media.

Discussion

Adolescents' media use is complex. The discussion provides youth, parents, caregivers, and educators with ideas to create a digital balance to positively influence all stakeholders' relationships with technology and each other. Furthermore, our goal is to create a digital balance to harness the positive attributes of technology while combating the negative.

Communicate with youth to understand and explain the effects of technology

One of the first steps in working with youth to examine the impact of technology and developing digital resilience is to engage in open and informed discussions with youth about screentime and technology (Lee & Hancock, 2023). In an era where digital devices have become an integral part of everyday life, it is crucial to recognize the potential implications and effects they have on the physical, mental, and socioemotional well-being of young individuals. By initiating meaningful conversations, parents, educators, and caregivers can foster a greater understanding of the benefits, risks, and responsible use of technology, thereby promoting healthy digital habits and mitigating potential negative consequences (Chassiakos & Stager,

2020). Effective communication strategies and ongoing dialogue are necessary to guide and empower youth as they navigate the digital landscape successfully.

To prepare for these conversations, adults should conduct a self-reflection to evaluate their relationship with technology (Turkle, 2016). Your views and actions must align with your technological expectations. Authenticity is essential while generating a workable plan to create a digital balance with your youth. There is also a need to situate youth as co-learners, and perhaps as experts in these discussions as you strive for a critical balance as we use digital technologies. When engaging in conversations with youth about screentime and technology, it is vital for adults to approach the discussions without positioning themselves as infallible experts (Turner et al., 2022; O’Byrne et al., 2021).

Adopting a humble and open-minded attitude fosters a collaborative and inclusive environment that encourages the free exchange of ideas (Lee, 2018). Recognizing that technology evolves rapidly and that youth often possess a deep understanding of its nuances, adults should embrace a mutual learning approach. This allows for the exploration of diverse perspectives, encourages critical thinking, and empowers youth to actively participate in shaping their own digital experiences (D’Amico et al., 2016). By acknowledging that both parties have unique insights to offer, adults can create a more meaningful dialogue that respects the expertise and autonomy of young individuals while still providing guidance and support when needed (Jennings et al., 2006).

Recognizing the effect of digital distractions on productivity and mental health

Digital distraction is a growing issue in our tech-saturated world, with smartphones, social media, and online activities constantly vying for our attention. This can lead to disrupted workflow, reduced productivity, stress, anxiety, and poor overall wellness (Farivar,

Esmaeelinezhad & Richardson, 2022). To combat the negative effects of digital distractions, it's essential to recognize them and devise strategies to minimize their impact (Skulmowski & Xu, 2021).

As shown above, studies have shown that excessive digital distractions negatively impact productivity as e-mail, social media, and instant messaging can interrupt focus and detract from essential tasks (Addas, S., & Pinsonneault, 2015). This lessens both the speed and quality of output, as well as inhibiting deep, creative thinking (May & Elder, 2018). To combat this, individuals can set boundaries, manage notifications, and create dedicated periods of no digital distractions, leading to a more productive work environment. Individuals can also identify opportunities to consider the work that they find valuable and whether productivity and instant gratification are the end goal (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018). Or, instead, do we seek, and perhaps create space for youth to seek deep, insightful, creative solutions in their work?

Digital distractions not only decrease productivity but also impact mental health (Chen, Nath, & Tang, 2020). Excessive time on social media and other digital platforms can lead to loneliness, depression, and lowered self-esteem (Hunt, Marx, Lipson, & Young, 2018) as comparisons with 'highlight reels' of others' lives can be damaging. The addictive nature of online activities such as gaming or binge-watching can disturb sleep (Flayelle, Maurage & Billieux, 2017). To achieve good mental health and well-being, it's important to prioritize self-care, set digital boundaries, and use technology mindfully and intentionally (Orlowski et al., 2015). This provides a balance between the digital world and personal well-being.

Setting learning and personal goals and priorities

Prioritizing mental health and well-being is essential for setting learning and personal goals and balancing mental health, productivity, critical consciousness, and digital distractions.

Talk with youth about respecting their emotional needs, taking breaks, and seeking support when needed (Souers & Hall, 2016). When setting goals, adults and youth should consider their own well-being, self-care, and work-life balance. Maintaining a balance between productivity and self-care is essential. Setting goals is great for productivity, but honest discussions should focus on the need to sometimes give yourself a break. Taking care of yourself (i.e., exercising, meditating, or spending quality time with family and friends) helps reduce stress and keeps you in a positive frame of mind. Talk with youth about how taking care of yourself is just as important as reaching your goals.

As you discuss goals with youth, help them understand the social, cultural, and political contexts that affect them (Jenkins, 2016). Consider how your objectives will impact yourself, others, and society as a whole, and ensure they promote justice and ethical behavior (Giangrande, 2019). Technology can spread false information, create echo chambers, and fuel online harassment, which entrenches existing biases (Kozyreva, Lewandowsky & Hertwig, 2020). Additionally, access to technology can be unequal, limiting critical engagement for some. More research is needed to evaluate technology's effect on critical thinking, particularly the perception, knowledge, and involvement of youth in social issues, both positively and negatively (Heberle, Rapa & Farago, 2020). Acknowledgment of privilege and biases, and striving to pursue goals have the potential to bring about positive change (Lawless & Chen, 2021).

To successfully reach learning and personal goals, create a balanced, mindful approach that emphasizes mental health and well-being (Lewallen et al., 2015). Self-care, including reflection about the broader impact of personal and professional goals, can be used to maintain a healthy work-life balance. Regular reflection with youth provides opportunities to stay focused and reach goals, and while striving to efficiently manage digital distractions.

Controlling media use by limitation or scheduling

Limiting or scheduling screen time is essential for achieving good mental health, well-being, productivity, critical consciousness, and staying clear of digital distractions (Weinstein & James, 2022). Too much time spent on screens can cause mental health issues, sleep disturbances, and reduce productivity. Establishing rules on media usage helps individuals regain control of their schedule and promote overall well-being. For some, this includes establishing times of uninterrupted work or study and turning off unnecessary notifications. Apps or browser extensions can be used to block or limit access to distracting websites and apps. By controlling digital distractions, adults and youth have the opportunity to be productive and stay focused on their goals.

Schedule specific time slots for digital media such as social media, entertainment, or news. An example of this is identifying activities that are for *screens on*, *screens off*, or *screens on the side*. “Screens on” time refers to time spent with technological devices, or in front of screens. The key factor in this relationship is the interaction with a display device, and a significant amount of attention in the interaction is paid to the content on the screen. This may include passive consumption or active creation of content. This means that it might include watching television or playing video games. It may include surfing the Internet or coding. “Screens Off” time refers to time spent away from technological devices, or not in front of screens. The key factor in this relationship is the lack of a display device in the environment. Most of the attention in these interactions is paid to the self or other individuals in the room. This may involve music playing in the room, or listening to an audio podcast. But it should not include video or interactions with a screen. “Screens on the side” refers to times spent working and using devices on the side for assistance, or a resource. The key factor in this relationship is

the attention and focus on the self or other individuals in the room. Focus is also usually paid to an activity or project. As an example, this may include using a tablet to display directions, or a video as families cook together. This is identified by targeted, active consumption of content. Passive consumption of content is not usually included as it detracts from the project or task at hand. The use of screens on the side is important as it aids in the completion of the task. This can help maintain a healthy balance by preventing media from consuming the entire day and distracting from important tasks or self-care activities. Setting boundaries around screen time allows for a more intentional engagement while still giving you control.

Limiting or scheduling media consumption is an effective way to maintain positive mental health, achieve goals, nurture critical thinking, and limit distractions. Setting boundaries ensures time is spent on activities that promote well-being. Controlling screen time can lead to more intentional engagement with media and a more informed outlook. Striking a balance between online activities and other aspects of life helps to enhance mental health, productivity, and satisfaction.

Getting feedback and help from teachers, peers, and family

To achieve mental health, well-being, productivity, and critical consciousness while managing digital distractions, it is essential to get feedback and support from teachers, peers, and family members. Such individuals can offer valuable advice, aid, and accountability to successfully cope with life's complexities. Teachers are essential for guiding and supporting students in their educational journey. They can provide feedback on academic progress, recommend study strategies, and supply resources to manage digital distractions. Open communication with teachers allows for an understanding of expectations and potential

adaptations to meet personal needs. Seeking their feedback can also develop a critical mindset and facilitate conversations on diverse views and social matters.

Peers can offer valuable support and aid in collaboration. Through the discussion of shared goals and difficulties, peers can offer encouragement, guidance on how to resist digital distractions and new ideas on various topics. Collaborative learning environments foster critical thinking as it encourages reflection on different perspectives and promotes empathy. Asking for help and advice from peers benefits learning and also creates a sense of community and mutual development. Families offer invaluable support for maintaining mental health and balance in life. Engaging in honest conversations enables understanding of individual goals and establishes a supportive environment. Families can provide a safe space to discuss challenges, offer helpful advice and set healthy boundaries for digital distractions. They can also prioritize self-care and help individuals manage their work-life balance

Seeking guidance, support, and perspectives from teachers, peers, and family members is essential in attaining a healthy balance in mental health, productivity, and critical consciousness while managing digital distractions. Through engaging actively with these sources, challenges can be addressed more efficiently, leading to significant personal growth, sharpened critical thinking, and a sense of community. In short, their input is invaluable in successfully integrating these essential aspects of life.

Conclusion

Technology offers youth an invaluable platform for critical thinking and awareness of social issues. It provides easy access to information, diverse perspectives, and a medium for expression and activism. The internet, social media, and digital tools can unite young people with like-minded individuals and communities. Technology has the potential to spread

knowledge, give a voice to those who have been ignored, and unite people to make positive changes. It can help young people confront existing power structures, fight for social justice and take action to create lasting progress.

With this great power, also comes great responsibility. Youth need opportunities to balance resilience and digital engagement in current and future situations. While digital distractions can pose challenges to youth's focus and concentration, they can also offer opportunities for learning and creativity. Choosing wisely between media and tools is key to maintaining mental health, productivity, well-being, and critical consciousness. Different tasks require different approaches, and mindful decisions can have a big impact on our well-being and productivity. To become more aware, we must intentionally select media and tools that support a variety of views, recognize inclusivity, and challenge our thinking. This entails engaging with reputable news sources, listening to thought-provoking podcasts or reading relevant blogs, or deliberately looking for content that forces us to reflect on our beliefs and opinions. Doing this helps us contribute to critical discussions and makes a more informed and sympathetic global community.

References

- Aaron, L. S., & Lipton, T. (2018). Digital distraction: Shedding light on the 21st-century college classroom. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 46(3), 363-378.
- Addas, S., & Pinsonneault, A. (2015). The many faces of information technology interruptions: a taxonomy and preliminary investigation of their performance effects. *Information Systems Journal*, 25(3), 231-273.

- Al-Samarraie, H., Bello, K. A., Alzahrani, A. I., Smith, A. P., & Emele, C. (2021). Young users' social media addiction: causes, consequences and preventions. *Information Technology & People*, 35(7), 2314-2343.
- Anthony, W. L., Zhu, Y., & Nower, L. (2021). The relationship of interactive technology use for entertainment and school performance and engagement: Evidence from a longitudinal study in a nationally representative sample of middle school students in China. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.106846>
- American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. (2020). *Screen time and children*. https://www.aacap.org/AACAP/Families_and_Youth/Facts_for_Families/FFF-Guide/Children-And-Watching-TV-054.aspx
- American Academy of Pediatrics. (n.d.). Media family plan. <https://www.healthychildren.org/English/fmp/Pages/MediaPlan.aspx>
- Arnott, L., Palaiologou, I., & Gray, C. (2018). Digital devices, internet-enabled toys and digital games: The changing nature of young children's learning ecologies, experiences and pedagogies. *Br. J. Educ. Technol.*, 49(5), 803-806.
- Barrera, D., Willner, L. N., & Kukahiko, K. I. (2017). Assessing the development of an emerging critical consciousness through service learning. *Journal of Critical Thought and Praxis*, 6(3).
- Bergdahl, N., Nouri, J., Fors, U., & Knutsson, O. (2020). Engagement, disengagement and performance when learning with technologies in upper secondary school. *Computers & Education*, 149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103783>

- Buchholz, B. A., DeHart, J., & Moorman, G. (2020). Digital citizenship during a global pandemic: Moving beyond digital literacy. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 64(1), 11-17.
- Cadenas, G. A., Cantú, E. A., Spence, T., & Ruth, A. (2020). Integrating critical consciousness and technology in entrepreneurship career development with diverse community college students. *Journal of Career Development*, 47(2), 162-176.
- Campbell, C., & Haines, C. (2016). *Becoming a media mentor: A guide for working with children and families*. American Library Association.
- Chassiakos, Y. L. R., & Stager, M. (2020). Current trends in digital media: How and why teens use technology. In *Technology and adolescent health* (pp. 25-56). Academic Press.
- Cheong, P. H., Shuter, R., & Suwinyattichaiorn, T. (2016). Managing student digital distractions and hyperconnectivity: Communication strategies and challenges for professorial authority. *Communication Education*, 65(3), 272-289.
- Chen, L., Nath, R., & Tang, Z. (2020). Understanding the determinants of digital distraction: An automatic thinking behavior perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 104, 106195.
- Cladis, A. E. (2020). A shifting paradigm: An evaluation of the pervasive effects of digital technologies on language expression, creativity, critical thinking, political discourse, and interactive processes of human communications. *E-Learning and digital Media*, 17(5), 341-364.
- Collins, A., & Halverson, R. (2018). *Rethinking education in the age of technology: The digital revolution and schooling in America*. Teachers College Press.

Cortesi, S., Hasse, A., Lombana-Bermudez, A., Kim, S., & Gasser, U. (2020). Youth and digital citizenship+ (plus): Understanding skills for a digital world. *Berkman Klein Center Research Publication*, (2020-2).

Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1997). Flow and the psychology of discovery and invention. *HarperPerennial, New York*, 39, 1-16

D'Amico, M., Denov, M., Khan, F., Linds, W., & Akesson, B. (2016). Research as intervention? Exploring the health and well-being of children and youth facing global adversity through participatory visual methods. *Global Public Health*, 11(5-6), 528-545.

Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. *Harvard business review*, 96(1), 108-116.

Davis, K., Katz, S., Santo, R., & James, C. (2010). Fostering Cross-Generational Dialogues About the Ethics of Online Life. *Davis, K., Katz, SL, Santo, R., & James, C.(2010). Fostering cross-generational dialogues about the ethics of online life. Journal of Media Literacy Education*, 2(2), 124-150.

De Abreu, B. (2010). Changing Technology= Empowering Students through Media Literacy Education. *New Horizons in Education*, 58(3), 26-33.

Farivar, F., Esmaeelinezhad, O., & Richardson, J. (2022). Digital intrusions or distraction at work and work-Life conflict. *New Technology, Work and Employment*, 37(3), 363-380.

Flanigan, A. E., & Babchuk, W. A. (2022). Digital distraction in the classroom: exploring instructor perceptions and reactions. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 27(3), 352-370.

Flayelle, M., Maurage, P., & Billieux, J. (2017). Toward a qualitative understanding of

binge-watching behaviors: A focus group approach. *Journal of behavioral addictions*, 6(4), 457-471.

Garcia, A. G., McGrew, S., Mirra, N., Tynes, B., & Kahne, J. (2021). Rethinking digital citizenship: Learning about media, literacy, and race in turbulent times. *Educating for civic reasoning and discourse*, 319-352.

Giangrande, N., White, R. M., East, M., Jackson, R., Clarke, T., Saloff Coste, M., & Penha-Lopes, G. (2019). A competency framework to assess and activate education for sustainable development: Addressing the UN sustainable development goals 4.7 challenge. *Sustainability*, 11(10), 2832.

Gui, M., Fasoli, M., & Carradore, R. (2017). Digital well-being. Developing a new theoretical tool for media literacy research. *Italian Journal of Sociology of Education*, 9(1), 155-173.

Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Qadri, M. A., & Suman, R. (2022). Understanding the role of digital technologies in education: A review. *Sustainable Operations and Computers*, 3, 275-285.

Harris, B. N., McCarthy, P. C., Wright, A. M., Schutz, H., Boersma, K. S., Shepherd, S. L., ... & Ellington, R. M. (2020). From panic to pedagogy: Using online active learning to promote inclusive instruction in ecology and evolutionary biology courses and beyond. *Ecology and evolution*, 10(22), 12581-12612.

Hämäläinen, E. K., Kiili, C., Marttunen, M., Räikkönen, E., González-Ibáñez, R., & Leppänen,

P. H. (2020). Promoting sixth graders' credibility evaluation of Web pages: An intervention study. *Computers in Human Behavior, 110*, 106372.

Heberle, A. E., Rapa, L. J., & Farago, F. (2020). Critical consciousness in children and adolescents: A systematic review, critical assessment, and recommendations for future research. *Psychological Bulletin, 146*(6), 525.

Hobbs, R. (2021). *Media literacy in action: Questioning the media*. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers

Hobbs, R., Donnelly, K., Friesem, J., & Moen, M. (2013). Learning to engage: How positive attitudes about the news, media literacy, and video production contribute to adolescent civic engagement. *Educational Media International, 50*(4), 231-246.

Höttecke, D., & Allchin, D. (2020). Reconceptualizing nature-of-science education in the age of social media. *Science Education, 104*(4), 641-666.

Hunt, M. G., Marx, R., Lipson, C., & Young, J. (2018). No more FOMO: Limiting social media decreases loneliness and depression. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 37*(10), 751-768.

Jemal, A. (2017). Critical consciousness: A critique and critical analysis of the literature. *The Urban Review, 49*, 602-626.

Jenkins, H. (2016). Youth voice, media, and political engagement. *By any media necessary: The new youth activism*, 3(1).

Jennings, L. B., Parra-Medina, D. M., Hilfinger-Messias, D. K., & McLoughlin, K. (2006).

Toward a critical social theory of youth empowerment. *Journal of Community Practice*, 14(1-2), 31-55.

Kearney, S., & Maakrun, J. (2020). Let's get engaged: The nexus between digital technologies, engagement and learning. *Education Sciences*, 10(12), 357.

Khalifa, M. A., Gooden, M. A., & Davis, J. E. (2016). Culturally responsive school leadership: A synthesis of the literature. *Review of educational research*, 86(4), 1272-1311.

Kozyreva, A., Lewandowsky, S., & Hertwig, R. (2020). Citizens versus the internet: Confronting digital challenges with cognitive tools. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 21(3), 103-156.

Kunnath, J. P., & Jackson, A. (2019). Developing student critical consciousness: Twitter as a tool to apply critical literacy in the English classroom. *Journal of Media Literacy Education*, 11(1), 52-74.

Kutsyuruba, B., Klinger, D. A., & Hussain, A. (2015). Relationships among school climate,

school safety, and student achievement and well-being: a review of the literature. *Review of Education*, 3(2), 103-135.

Lawless, B., & Chen, Y. W. (2021). *Teaching social justice: Critical tools for the intercultural communication classroom*. Rowman & Littlefield.

Lee, A. Y., & Hancock, J. T. (2023). Developing Digital Resilience: An Educational Intervention Improves Elementary Students' Response to Digital Challenges. *Computers and Education Open*, 100144.

Lee, D. (2018). *Design thinking in the classroom: Easy-to-use teaching tools to foster creativity, encourage innovation and unleash potential in every student*. Simon and Schuster.

Lewallen, T. C., Hunt, H., Potts-Datema, W., Zaza, S., & Giles, W. (2015). The whole school, whole community, whole child model: A new approach for improving educational attainment and healthy development for students. *Journal of School Health*, 85(11), 729-739.

Liao, C. H., & Wu, J. Y. (2022). Deploying multimodal learning analytics models to explore the impact of digital distraction and peer learning on student performance. *Computers & Education*, 190, 104599.

Livingstone, S., Mascheroni, G., & Stoilova, M. (2021). The outcomes of gaining digital skills

- for young people's lives and wellbeing: A systematic evidence review. *New Media and Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448211043189>
- Livingstone, S. & Sefton-Green, J. (2016) *The class: Living and learning in the digital age*. NYU Press. muse.jhu.edu/book/49200
- McDaniel, J. W. (2020). Implementing Personal Devices in Math. Networks: *An Online Journal for Teacher Research*, 22(3).
- McGillivray, D., McPherson, G., Jones, J., & McCandlish, A. (2016). Young people, digital media making and critical digital citizenship. *Leisure Studies*, 35(6), 724-738.
- Maker Castro, E., Wray-Lake, L., & Cohen, A. K. (2022). Critical consciousness and wellbeing in adolescents and young adults: A systematic review. *Adolescent Research Review*, 7(4), 499-522.
- May, K. E., & Elder, A. D. (2018). Efficient, helpful, or distracting? A literature review of media multitasking in relation to academic performance. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 15(1), 1-17.
- Masi, A., Mendoza Diaz, A., Tully, L., Azim, S. I., Woolfenden, S., Efron, D., & Eapen, V. (2021). Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the well-being of children with neurodevelopmental disabilities and their parents. *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health*, 57(5), 631-636.
- Mirra, N., Garcia, A., & Morrell, E. (2015). *Doing youth participatory action research: Transforming inquiry with researchers, educators, and students*. Routledge.
- Northwestern Medicine. (n.d.). *Technology overuse and addiction*. <https://www.nm.org/conditions-and-care-areas/behavioral-health/technology-overuse-and-addiction>

O'Byrne, W. I., Turner, K., Paciga, K. A., & Stevens, E. (2021). Co-constructing digital futures: Parents and children becoming thoughtful, connected, and critical users of digital technologies. *Algorithmic rights and protections for children*.

OFS Health Care. (2022). *Kids' screen time: How much is too much?*

<https://www.osfhealthcare.org/blog/kids-screen-time-how-much-is-too-much/>

Orlowski, S. K., Lawn, S., Venning, A., Winsall, M., Jones, G. M., Wyld, K., ... & Bidargaddi, N. (2015). Participatory research as one piece of the puzzle: a systematic review of consumer involvement in design of technology-based youth mental health and well-being interventions. *JMIR human factors*, 2(2), e4361.

Passey, D., Shonfeld, M., Appleby, L., Judge, M., Saito, T., & Smits, A. (2018). Digital agency: Empowering equity in and through education. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, 23, 425-439.

Pérez-Juárez, M. Á., González-Ortega, D., & Aguiar-Pérez, J. M. (2023). Digital Distractions from the Point of View of Higher Education Students. *Sustainability*, 15(7), 6044

Pinkard, N., Erete, S., Martin, C. K., & McKinney de Royston, M. (2017). Digital youth divas: Exploring narrative-driven curriculum to spark middle school girls' interest in computational activities. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 26(3), 477-516.

Redmond, T. (2015). Media literacy is common sense: Bridging Common Core Standards with the media experiences of digital learners: Findings from a case study highlight the benefits of an integrated model of literacy, thereby illustrating the relevance and accessibility of media literacy education. *Middle School Journal*, 46(3), 10-17.

- Reeves, J., & Crowther, T. (2019). Teacher feedback on the use of innovative social media simulations to enhance critical thinking in young people on radicalisation, extremism, sexual exploitation and grooming. *Pastoral care in education*, 37(4), 280-296.
- Resnick, M. (2017). *Lifelong kindergarten: Cultivating creativity through projects, passion, peers, and play*. MIT press.
- RobbGrieco, M., & Hobbs, R. (2013). A field guide to media literacy education in the United States. *Kingston, RI: Media Education Lab, University of Rhode Island*.
- Rozgonjuk, D., Täht, K., & Vassil, K. (2021). Internet Use at and outside of School in Relation to Low- and High-Stakes Mathematics Test Scores across 3 Years. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 8.
- Sadaf, A., Martin, F., & Ahlgrim-Delzell, L. (2019). Student perceptions of the impact of quality matters--certified online courses on their learning and engagement. *Online Learning*, 23(4), 214-233.
- Schwartz, S. E., Rhodes, J. E., Liang, B., Sánchez, B., Spencer, R., Kremer, S., & Kanchewa, S. (2014). Mentoring in the digital age: Social media use in adult–youth relationships. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 47, 205-213.
- Serdyukov, P. (2017). Innovation in education: what works, what doesn't, and what to do about it?. *Journal of research in innovative teaching & learning*, 10(1), 4-33.
- Skulmowski, A., & Xu, K. M. (2021). Understanding cognitive load in digital and online learning: A new perspective on extraneous cognitive load. *Educational psychology review*, 1-26.
- Souers, K., & Hall, P. (2016). *Fostering resilient learners: Strategies for creating a trauma-sensitive classroom*. ASCD.

- Stubbs, C. F., & Hullinger, H. (2022). Developing Critical Consciousness in Students for Cultural Transformation. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning for Christians in Higher Education*, 12(1), 5.
- Türel, Y. K., & Dokumaci, Ö. (2022). Use of media and technology, academic procrastination, and academic achievement in adolescence. *Participatory Educational Research*, 9(2), 481–497. <https://doi.org/10.17275/per.22.50.9.2>
- Turner, K. H., Stevens, E. Y., Paciga, K., & O'Byrne, W. I. (2022). Co-constructing meaning: Parents and children navigating digital literacies together. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 66(3), 158-167.
- Turner, K. H., Hicks, T., & Zucker, L. (2020). Connected reading: A framework for understanding how adolescents encounter, evaluate, and engage with texts in the digital age. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 55(2), 291-309.
- Unsworth, N., & McMillan, B. D. (2017). Attentional disengagements in educational contexts: A diary investigation of everyday mind-wandering and distraction. *Cognitive research: Principles and implications*, 2, 1-20.
- Valtonen, T., Tedre, M., Mäkitalo, K., & Vartiainen, H. (2019). Media Literacy Education in the Age of Machine Learning. *Journal of Media Literacy Education*, 11(2), 20-36.
- Watts, R. J., & Hipolito-Delgado, C. P. (2015). Thinking ourselves to liberation?: Advancing sociopolitical action in critical consciousness. *The Urban Review*, 47, 847-867.
- Weinstein, E., & James, C. (2022). School-Based Initiatives Promoting Digital Citizenship and Healthy Digital Media Use. *Handbook of Adolescent Digital Media Use and Mental Health*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 365.

Wineburg, S., Breakstone, J., McGrew, S., Smith, M. D., & Ortega, T. (2022). Supplemental

Material for Lateral Reading on the Open Internet: A District-Wide Field Study in High

School Government Classes. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 114(5), 893–909.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000740.supp>

Wu, J. Y., & Xie, C. (2018). Using time pressure and note-taking to prevent digital distraction

behavior and enhance online search performance: Perspectives from the load theory of

attention and cognitive control. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 88, 244-254.