

Program		
		_
School		_
Assessment Period Covered		
ASSESSMENT I ENOD COVERED		_
DATE SUBMITTED		
SCHOOL MISSION:		
		_
PLEASE LIST ALL PROGRAM LEARNING O	UTCOMES (PLOs):	
1.		
2.		
3.		7
4.		7
5.		7
6.		٦
7.		7
8.		7
		4
9.		





ALIGNMENT OF INSTITUTIONAL LEARNING OUTCOMES (ILOs) AND PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES — PLEASE INDICATE WHICH OF YOUR PLOS BEST MATCH THE FOLLOWING AJU ILOS.

	AJU Institutional Learning Outcomes	PLO(s) WHICH MATCH THIS ILO
1.	<b>Critical and Innovative Thinking</b> : Apply analytical, critical, integrative, and creative thinking and reasoning skills to address everyday problems and challenges.	
2.	<b>Communication</b> : Articulate (in oral and written formats) perspectives, values, and ideas in the appropriate context.	
3.	Diversity Through Engaged Conversation: Create positive social impact, promote diversity, equity, and inclusion, as well as express advocacy through relationships within our communities and the global public.	
4.	<b>Leadership</b> : Work respectfully and collaboratively as leaders and participants in varied teams and community contexts.	
5.	<b>Jewish Wisdom</b> : Apply Jewish values and perceptions through study, service, and leadership to address contemporary issues and communal needs.	
6.	<b>Specialized Discipline Experts</b> : Demonstrate the knowledge, skills, and values of their chosen discipline of study.	





### PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOME(s) ASSESSED FOR THIS REPORTING CYCLE:

Depending on the number of PLOs, each program should plan to assess 1-2 PLOs per year in order to assess all PLOs within 4 years. The last year of the 5-year program review cycle should be used to complete comprehensive data analysis and to write your program review report.

COURSE(S) ASSESSED & PLO ALIGNMENT FOR THIS REPORTING CYCLE:

Course Code	Course Title	This course maps to which PLO?	Is the PLO: I= Introduced D= Developed M= Mastered?	What evidence was collected? Paper, project, presentation, exam, etc.



ASSESSMENT ACTIVITY — DESCRIBE THE PROCESS OF ASSESSMENT DATA COLLECTION AND RATIONALE FOR EVIDENCE USED.

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA/MEASUREMENT RUBRIC - PROVIDE THE RUBRIC(S) THAT WAS UTILIZED FOR THE ASSESSMENT.

Criterion	Initial – 1	Emerging – 2	Developed/Proficient – 3	Highly Developed/Exemplary - 4

Criterion	Below	Needs Improvement –	Meets	Exceeds
	Expectations – 1	2	Expectations – 3	Expectations - 4



SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS OF ASSESSMENT DATA — DESCRIBE THE RESULTS.				
Jse of Results – Based on the results, are there any instructional, programmatic, or curricular recommendations for mprovement?				
MPROVEMENT?				
MPROVEMENT?				
MPROVEMENT?				
MPROVEMENT?  Future teaching of the course(s):				
MPROVEMENT?  Future teaching of the course(s):				
Future teaching of the course(s):  Connections to the curriculum:				
MPROVEMENT?  Future teaching of the course(s):				
Future teaching of the course(s):  Connections to the curriculum:				
Future teaching of the course(s):  Connections to the curriculum:				



### Additional Notes:

The following files/documents were mentioned in this report and are included in the 2022-23 Annual Assessment digital folder:
Who conducts assessment activities (planning, data collection, etc.) for this program? (Please check all that apply)
☐ FACULTY WHO TEACH COURSES IN THE PROGRAM
☐ THE PROGRAM DIRECTOR OR DEAN
☐ A DEPARTMENT OR PROGRAM COMMITTEE
□ PROGRAM STAFF
☐ STUDENTS
☐ OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)
WITH WHOM DO YOU SHARE YOUR ASSESSMENT INFORMATION? (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
☐ FACULTY IN THE DEPARTMENT
☐ STUDENTS IN THE PROGRAM
☐ CAMPUS ADMINISTRATORS



LJ DEPARTMENT ALUMNI	
☐ EMPLOYERS	
☐ EXTERNAL COMMUNITY MEMBERS	
☐ OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	