





Self-Study Report for Program

— (Bachelor)

Table of Contents

Self-study Report preparation guidelines	3
Executive Summary:	4
1. Program Profile	5
1.1 Program Mission	5
1.2 Program Goals	5
1.3 A List of the Program Achievements, Awards, and Significant Accomplishments	5
1.4 Total Credit Hours:	5
1.5 Preparatory or Foundation Program (if any)	5
1.6 Major Tracks/Pathways (if any)·····	5
1.7 Intermediate Exit Points/Awarded Degree (if any)	5
1.8 Branches Offering the Program	5
1.9 Program Statistical Data	6
2. Program Self-study	8
2.1 Benchmarking partners and selection criteria/reasons (internal and external)	8
2.2 Summary of KPIs and Benchmarks	8
3. Evaluation in Relation to Quality Standards	9
Standard 1. Program Management and Quality Assurance	9
Standard 2. Teaching and Learning	12
Standard 3. Students	16
Standard 4. Faculty	18
Standard 5. Learning Resources, Facilities, and Equipment	20
4. Independent Evaluations (Optional)	22
5. Action Recommendations	22
6. Attachments:	22





Self-study Report preparation guidelines

The report aims primarily to support the development of the program's performance. In order to achieve this purpose, the following points should be taken into account:

- The accuracy and credibility of the data and information are maintained throughout the report.
- Evaluation results of the indicators are provided with supporting evidence.
- Informal, vague, exaggerated, or subjective expressions are avoided, as well as those that are generally unnecessary or incorrect.
- Only relevant evidence and data that lead to informed conclusions are presented.
- Evaluation results are correlated with improvement priorities.
- Elaboration or general description should be avoided. The focus should be directed to the main aspects.
- All evidence and proofs on which the self-study was based should be attached and uploaded to the Academic Accreditation Portal on the NCAAA-ETEC website.
- Do not attach files (photos, messages, emails, notes, questionnaires, memos) to the self-study report. These may be made available for consultation during the Program's Review Visit.





Executive Summary:

This should include the overall evaluation results of each Standard, the most visible strengths, and the most significant improvements at the program level, along with the operational recommendations.

Overall Evaluation in Relation to Quality Standards:

No.	Standard	Overall Evaluation
1.	Program Management and Quality Assurance	
2.	Teaching and Learning	
3.	Students	
4.	Teaching Staff	
5.	Learning Resources, Facilities, and Equipment	

Strengths:
•
Areas and priorities for improvement:
•
Action recommendations:
•





1. Program Profile	
1.1 Program Mission	
1.2 Program Goals	
1.3 A List of the Program Achievements, Awards, and Sign	ificant Accomplishments
1.4 Total Credit Hours: ()	
1.4 lotal Cledit Hours. (
1.5 Preparatory or Foundation Program (if any)	
Provide a summary description of preparatory or foundation programs (if any), incl	
with academic program learning outcomes, and how many academic credits are grincluded in the GPA).	anted into the program and
1.6 Major Tracks/Pathways (if any)	
Major Tracks/Pathways	Credit Hours (For each track)
1.	
2.	
1.7 Intermediate Exit Points/Awarded Degree (if any)	
Intermediate Exit Points/Awarded Degree	Credit Hours
3.	
4.	
1.8 Branches Offering the Program	
•	



1.9 Program Statistical Data

1.9.1 Students Enrolment

Number of Students	Two Years Ago (Indicate Year)	Last Year (Indicate Year)	Current Year (Indicate Year)	Expected Next Year (Indicate Year)	
Dynamical Nijimbay of Envalled	Male				
Proposed Number of Enrolled Students	Female				
Students	Total				
	Male				
Total number of Enrolled Students	Female				
	Total				
Number of International Students	Male				
	Female				
Enrolled	Total				
	Male				
Average Class Size	Female				
	Total				
	Male				
Ratio of Students to Teaching Staff	Female				
	Total				

^{*}Insert a separate table for the main campus and each branch.

1.9.2 Classification of Students Based on Mode of Study (For Current Year)

		Number of Students								
Classification		Saudi			Non-Saudi			Total		
		Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	IUlai		
Mode	On Campus									
of Study	Distance Education									

1.9.3 Graduation Rate

Graduates	Three Years Ago	Two Years Ago	Last Year (Indicate Year)
Number of Graduates			
Diploma/ Associate Diploma (Exit Point)			
Bachelor			
Total			
Graduates' Employment			
Number of Employed Graduates			
Ratio of Employed to Total Graduates			



1.9.4 Number of Teaching Staff*

Teaching Staff		Saudi		Non-Saudi			Average Teaching Load For All Teaching Staff			
		Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
	Professor									
No. of Ph.D.	Associate Prof.									
Holders	Assistant Prof.									
	Total									
	Lecturer									
No. of Other Teaching Staff	Teaching Assistant									
	Demonstrator									
	Total									

^{*}Insert a separate table for the main campus and each branch.

1.9.5 Classification of Teaching Staff According to Mode of Study

Teaching Staff		On-Can	npus	Distance Education			
		Part-time			Part-time		
	Full-time	Number	FTE (full-time equivalent)	Full-time	Number	FTE (full-time equivalent)	
Male							
Female							
Total							

1.9.6 Overall Assessment of Program Statistical Data: Strengths:
•
Areas and priorities for improvement:
•
Action recommendations:
•



^{**}Attach a detailed list for teaching staff including the following (name, gender, nationality, degree, mode of study (on-campus, distance education), academic rank, general and specific specialty, institution graduated from, and list of current courses taught in the current academic year).

^{***} Attach detailed CVs in English of all teaching staff

2. Program Self-study

2.1 Benchmarking partners and selection criteria/reasons (internal and external)

No.	Benchmarking partners	Selection criteria/reasons
1		
2		

2.2 Summary of KPIs and Benchmarks

List the KPIs that are used (including NCAAA required KPIs).

КРІ	КРІ	KPI Results							
No.		Actual	Target Benchmark	Internal Benchmark	External Benchmark				

Important Note:

- Provide a description and analysis of each KPI under the related Standard with trend analysis.
- Attach or link to the KPI document for the last 3 years prior to the visit.





3. Evaluation in Relation to Quality Standards

Standard 1. Program Management and Quality Assurance

The program must have effective leadership that implements the institutional systems, policies, and regulations. The program leadership must plan, implement, monitor, and activate a quality assurance system that achieves continuous development of program performance in a framework of integrity, transparency, and fairness and within a supportive organizational climate.

Levels of Evaluation			Not Sati	sfactory	Satisfactory	
		NA	Non-Compli ance	Minimal Compliance	Substantial Compliance	Full Compliance
	Elements of Evaluation		1	2	3	4
1-1	Program Management					
1-1-1	The program's mission and goals are consistent with the mission of the institution/college and guide all its operations and activities.					
1-1-2	The program has a sufficient number of qualified staff to perform its administrative, professional, and technical tasks, and they have defined tasks and authorities. *					
1-1-3	The program provides an organizational climate and a supportive academic environment.					
1-1-4	The program management monitors the achievement of its goals, and actions are taken for improvement.					
1-1-5	The program management applies mechanisms ensuring integrity, fairness, and equality in all its academic and administrative practices, and between the male and female student sections and branches (if any).					
1-1-6	The program builds on the views of professionals and experts in the program specialization, contributing to its evaluation, development, and performance improvement.					
1-1-7	The program management provides reliable and publicly disclosed information to the community about the program description, performance, and achievements that suit the needs of the stakeholders.					
1-1-8	The program management is committed to activating the values of scientific integrity, intellectual property rights, rules of ethical practices, and proper conduct in all academic, research, administrative, and service fields and activities. *					



Levels o	Levels of Evaluation Elements of Evaluation		Not Satisfactory		Satisfactory	
Levels C			Non-Compli ance	Minimal Compliance	Substantial Compliance	Full Compliance
			1	2	3	4
1-1-9	The program management applies the systems, regulations, and procedures the institution/college approves, including grievances, complaints, and disciplinary cases.					
1-2	Program Quality Assurance					
1-2-1	The program management implements an effective quality assurance and management system that is consistent with the institution's quality system.					
1-2-2	The program analyzes the key performance indicators and the evaluation data annually, and results are used in planning, development, and decision-making processes. *					
1-2-3	The program conducts a periodic comprehensive evaluation, prepares improvement plans, and follows up on its implementation.					
Overal	Evaluation of the Standard					
	Total Sum of Evaluation of Criteria (Total Sum of Points)					
	Number of Applicable Criteria					
	Average Evaluation of the Standard					
0	verall Quality Rating of the Standard (mathematically rounded)					

^{*} Essential Criteria

Provide an analytical and evaluation report in this section about the results of each Standard based on required data, evidence, and related KPIs. (Please link the analysis with the PLOs assessment enclosed in the Program Report for the last two years and discuss the program's performance on each criterion).

1.1 Program Management

1.2 Program Quality Assurance





Strengths: •				
	iorities for in			
Action recom	nmendations	:		
•				



Standard 2. Teaching and Learning

Learning outcomes at the program level must be precisely defined and consistent with the requirements of the National Qualifications Framework, related specialized academic standards, and labor market requirements. The curriculum must conform to professional requirements. The teaching staff must implement diverse and effective teaching and learning strategies and assessment methods appropriate to the different learning outcomes. The extent of achievement of learning outcomes must be assessed through a variety of means, and the results must be used for continuous improvement.

Levels c	Levels of Evaluation		Not Sati	isfactory	Satisfactory		
201010		NA	Non-Compli ance	Minimal Compliance	Substantial Compliance	Full Compliance	
	Elements of Evaluation		1	2	3	4	
2-1	Learning Outcomes						
2-1-1	The program identifies its intended learning outcomes that are consistent with its mission and aligned with the specialized academic standards and the graduate attributes at the institutional level; they are approved, publicly disclosed, and periodically reviewed.						
2-1-2	The learning outcomes are consistent with the requirements of the National Qualifications Framework, academic standards, and labor market needs. *						
2-1-3	The program identifies the learning outcomes for the different tracks (if any).						
2-1-4	The program applies appropriate mechanisms and tools for measuring the learning outcomes and verifying their achievement according to specific performance levels and assessment plans. *						
2-1-5	The program implements a clear and approved teaching, learning, and evaluation strategy that articulates its educational philosophy and ensures that the program's intended learning outcomes are achieved.						
2-2	Curriculum						
2-2-1	The curriculum design considers fulfilling the program goals and learning outcomes and the educational, scientific, technical, and professional developments in the field of specialization, and it is periodically reviewed.*						
2-2-2	The study plan ensures the balance between the general and specialty requirements and between theoretical and applied aspects, and it considers the courses' sequencing and integration. *						

	6.5 objection		Not Sati	sfactory	Satisfactory		
Levels c	Levels of Evaluation		Non-Compli Minimal		Substantial Full		
	Elements of Evaluation		ance 1	Compliance 2	Compliance	Compliance 4	
2-2-3	The construction of the program study plan considers identifying exit-point requirements (if any) per international practices and similar programs.						
2-2-4	The learning outcomes in the courses are aligned with the program learning outcomes (e.g., Matrix for aligning the learning outcomes of the courses with program learning outcomes).						
2-2-5	The program's teaching and learning strategies and assessment methods vary according to its nature and level and are aligned with its learning outcomes.						
2-2-6	The program verifies the effectiveness of field training and the quality of its supervision and follows up on the commitment of its operators to their mandated tasks according to specific mechanisms.						
2-2-7	The program ensures a unified application of its study plan as well as the program and the course specifications offered at more than one site (sections of male and female students and different branches). *						
2-3	Quality of Teaching and Students' Assessment						
2-3-1	The program monitors the commitment of the teaching staff to the learning and teaching strategies and assessment methods included in the program and course specifications through specific mechanisms. *						
2-3-2	The required training is provided for the teaching staff on learning and teaching strategies and assessment methods identified in the program and course specifications, along with the effective use of modern and advanced technology, and their use is monitored.						
2-3-3	At the beginning of each course, students are provided with comprehensive information about the course, including learning outcomes, teaching and learning strategies, and assessment methods and dates, as well as what is expected from them						

Levels of Evaluation		NA	Not Satisfactory		Satisfactory	
			Non-Compli ance	Minimal Compliance	Substantial Compliance	Full Compliance
	Elements of Evaluation		1	2	3	4
	during the study of the course and feedback on their performance is provided for them.					
2-3-4	The program applies mechanisms to support and motivate excellence in teaching and encourages creativity and innovation of the teaching staff.					
2-3-5	The program implements clear and publicized procedures to verify the quality and validity of the assessment methods and ensure the level of students' achievement.					
2-3-6	Effective procedures are used to control academic integrity at the program level to verify that the work and assignments of students are their own. *					
Overall	Evaluation of the Standard					
	Total Sum of Evaluation of Criteria (Total Sum of Points)					
Number of Applicable Criteria						
	Average Evaluation of the Standard					
0	verall Quality Rating of the Standard (mathematically rounded)					

^{*} Essential Criteria

Provide an analytical and evaluation report in this section about the results of each Standard based on required data, evidence, and related KPIs. (Please link the analysis with the PLOs assessment enclosed in the Program Report for the last two years and discuss the program's performance on each criterion).

2-1 Learning Outcomes

2-2 Curriculum





2-3 Quality of Teaching and Students' Assessment

Overall Evaluation of Quality of the Standard:	
Strengths:	
Areas and priorities for improvement:	
•	
Action recommendations:	
•	





Standard 3. Students

The criteria and requirements for student admissions in the program must be clear and publicly disclosed and must be applied fairly. The information about the program and the requirements for completion of the study must be available, and students must be informed about their rights and duties. The program must provide students with effective guidance, counseling services, and extracurricular and enriching activities. The program must evaluate the quality of all services and activities offered to its students and improve them. The program must follow its graduates.

Levels o	f Evaluation		Not Sati	sfactory	Satisf	actory
2010.0		NA	Non-Compli ance	Minimal Compliance	Substantial Compliance	Full Compliance
	Elements of Evaluation		1	2	3	4
3-0-1	The program applies approved and disclosed criteria and requirements for the admission, registration, and graduation of students, the transition to another program, and the equivalent of what students have previously learned, commensurate with the nature of the program, and are applied fairly.					
3-0-2	The program provides essential information to students, such as study requirements, services, and financial fees (if any), through various means.					
3-0-3	Students are provided with effective academic, professional, psychological, and social guidance and counseling services through qualified and sufficient staff. *					
3-0-4	Mechanisms are applied to identify gifted, creative, talented, and underachieving students in the program, and appropriate programs are available to care for, motivate, and support each group.					
3-0-5	Students in the program are offered extracurricular activities in various fields to develop their abilities and skills, and the program takes appropriate actions to support and motivate their participation.					
3-0-6	The program effectively communicates with alumni, involves them in its events and activities, explores their views and benefits from their expertise and support, and provides updated and comprehensive databases about them.					
3-0-7	Effective mechanisms are applied to evaluate the adequacy and quality of services provided to students and measure their satisfaction with them, and the results are used for improvement. *					
Overall	Evaluation of the Standard					



Levels of Evaluation		Not Satisfactory		Satisfactory	
	NA	Non-Compli ance	Minimal Compliance	Substantial Compliance	Full Compliance
Elements of Evaluation		1	2	3	4
Total Sum of Evaluation of Criteria (Total Sum of Points)					
Number of Applicable Criteria					
Average Evaluation of the Standard					
Overall Quality Rating of the Standard (mathematically rounded)					

^{*} Essential Criteria

Provide an analytical and evaluation report in this section about the results of each Standard based on required data, evidence, and related KPIs. (Please link the analysis with the PLOs assessment enclosed in the Program Report for the last two years and discuss the program's performance on each criterion).

Overall Evaluation of Quality of the Standard:
Strengths:
•
Areas and priorities for improvement:
•
Action recommendations:
•



Standard 4. Faculty

The program must have a sufficient number of teaching staff who are qualified and with the necessary competence and experience to carry out their responsibilities. The teaching staff must be aware of current academic and professional developments in their fields of specialization, participate in research and community service, and improve the program and institutional performance. Teaching staff performance must be evaluated according to specific criteria, and the results of these evaluations must be used for development.

Levels o	of Evaluation		Not Sati	isfactory	Satisfactory		
-LCVCI3 C	- Evaluation	NA	Non-Compli ance	Minimal Compliance	Substantial Compliance	Full Compliance	
	Elements of Evaluation		1	2	3	4	
4-0-1	The program has an adequate number of faculty members at all sites where it is offered, and appropriate verification mechanisms are applied. *						
4-0-2	The teaching and adjunct staff in the professional programs include some experienced and highly skilled professionals in the program field.						
4-0-3	The teaching staff participate in academic, research, and scientific output activities efficiently and regularly, which is considered one of the criteria for their evaluation and promotion.						
4-0-4	Teaching staff participate in community partnership activities; their participation in these activities is considered one of the criteria for their evaluation and promotion.						
4-0-5	Teaching staff participate in professional and academic development programs per a plan that meets their needs and contributes to their performance development.						
4-0-6	The performance of the teaching staff is regularly assessed according to specific and published criteria; feedback is provided to them, and the results are used to improve the performance.						
Overal	Evaluation of the Standard						
	Total Sum of Evaluation of Criteria (Total Sum of Points)						
	Number of Applicable Criteria Average Evaluation of the Standard						
	verall Quality Rating of the Standard (mathematically rounded)						

^{*} Essential Criteria





Provide an analytical and evaluation report in this section about the results of each Standard based on required data, evidence, and related KPIs.

Overall Evaluation of Quality of the Standard:	
Overall Evaluation of Quality of the Standard.	
Strengths:	
•	
Areas and priorities for improvement:	
•	
Action recommendations:	
•	



Standard 5. Learning Resources, Facilities, and Equipment

Learning resources, facilities, and equipment must be adequate to meet the program's and its courses' needs and must be available to all beneficiaries using an appropriate arrangement. Teaching staff and students must participate in identifying such resources based on their needs and in assessing their effectiveness.

Levels of Evaluation		NA	Not Satisfactory		Satisfactory	
			Non-Compli ance	Minimal Compliance	Substantial Compliance	Full Compliance
	Elements of Evaluation		1	2	3	4
5-0-1	The program ensures the adequacy and appropriateness of learning sources and services provided in accordance with its needs and student numbers and updates them periodically.					
5-0-2	The program's teaching staff, students, and employees have the appropriate orientation, technical training, and support for the effective use of resources and means of learning.					
5-0-3	Safety, environmental conservation, and hazardous waste disposal standards are applied efficiently and effectively, and all public and occupational health and safety requirements are made available in facilities, equipment, and educational and research activities. *					
5-0-4	The program has the appropriate technologies, services, and environment for courses offered through distance or e-learning according to their own specific standards.					
5-0-5	The program evaluates the effectiveness and efficiency of all types of learning resources, facilities, and equipment; the results are used for improvement.					
Overall	Evaluation of the Standard					
	Total Sum of Evaluation of Criteria (Total Sum of Points)					
	Number of Applicable Criteria					
	Average Evaluation of the Standard					
0	verall Quality Rating of the Standard (mathematically rounded)					

^{*} Essential Criteria

Comments on Results:

Provide an analytical and evaluation report in this section about the results of each Standard based on required data, evidence, and related KPIs.



Overall Evaluation of Quality of the Standard:	
Strengths:	
•	
Areas and priorities for improvement:	
•	
Action recommendations:	
•	

4. Independent Evaluations (Optional)				
4.1 Describe the process used to obtain an independent opinion on the self-evaluation				

4.2 List the recommendations and other matters raised by the independent evaluator(s)

4.3 Provide a response report on recommendations and other matters raised by	\prime the
independent evaluator(s)	

Attach the report(s) by independent evaluator(s).

5. Action Recommendations

Action recommendations should be based on the priorities for improvement and other matters identified in the SSRP.

No.	Action Recommendations	Person(s)/units Responsible	Timelines	Resources Required

6. Attachments:

- 1. Report(s) by independent evaluator(s) on the program Self-Evaluation (if any)
- 2. Other attachments that are attentively relevant to the SSRP.
- 3. No Attachment should be duplicated when cited more than once.

