

Document Review Sheet

Originator: SMN	Contract Number: C660	Review Sheet Document Number: C660-XRL-R3-XCS-CR001-50365	Revision: 3.0
Document Title: Software Assessment Plan		Document Number: C660-SMN-R3-STP-CR001-50088	Revision: 2.0

Reviewer Details				Originator Details	
Lead Reviewer: Selwyn Aickin		Reviewers: Daniel Quant, John Septon, Simon Mercy, Carlos Franco		Name: Antoine Roy	Date: 29/01/2019
Company: CRL		Date: 24/01/2020	Decal Code: 2	Company: Siemens	Signature:
Position: C & C Engineer	Recommended Action: Objection ☐ No Objection ☐ No Objection(Revise as indicated below) ☒			Position: SW Safety Assurance Engineer	

Reviewer Comments	Originator Response
Comment Code Legend: A = Major comment B = Minor comment C = advisory comment	Status Code Legend: i = incorporated ii = open/under review iii = evaluated/not incorporated

Comment Number	Comment Code	Document Reference	Review Comment	From	Status Code	Originator Comments
1.	B	Ref 11	This is a placeholder in EB and contains no revisions of the document C660-SMN-R3-RGN-CR001-50578 in support of the assessment plan	JS/ SM	iii	Note: now (Ref 7). This reference is provided to allow the checklist to be decoupled from issue of the SAP. It is a (forward) reference to a report resulting from executing the SAP and will be captured on eB as described in the SAP section 3.6. Footnote added to SAP to clarify.
2.	B	Ref 10	This is a placeholder in EB and contains no revisions of the document C660-SMN-R3-LST-CR001-50073 in support of the assessment plan	JS/ SM	iii	Note: now (Ref 6) This reference is provided to allow the checklist to be decoupled from issue of the SAP. Footnote added to SAP to clarify.

Comment Number	Comment Code	Document Reference	Review Comment	From	Status Code	Originator Comments
3.	B	Ref 9	This document C660-SMN-R3-RGN-CR001-50248 is at revision 2 and contains a number of open comments in comment sheet C660-XRL-R3-XCS-CR001-50472.	JS/ SM	iii	The various comments against the SIL assessment summary report will be addressed in due course. However, the update is low priority as the report is Code 1 and the comments do not impact the apportioned SIL. The SIL assessment summary comments do not impact the content of the SAP.
4.	B	Ref 7 and Ref 9	Ref 7 and Ref 9 are the same document with different titles. Should there be separate comment within assessment plan related to Revision 1 and Revision 2. Additional comment on Ref 7 in Comment 5.	JS/ SM	i	Corrected in SAP rev 3.0 (References Table and references within the SAP)
5.	C	Ref 1,5,7	The Contract Quality Plan, Configuration Management Plan, CMS SIL Assessment are not referenced within assessment plan.	JS/ SM	i	Corrected in SAP rev 3.0 (References Table and references within the SAP)