**Content Area:** 

**Teacher Name:** 

Grade:

## **STUDENT SUCCESS PLAN TEACHER TEMPLATE**

Course Name:

Complex: Konawaena Complex

Period:

School: Konawaena Middle School

Student Population:						
Total Number of Stude	ents Male	es Females SPED	Inclusion <u>0</u> SPED Pu	ıllout <u>0</u> ELL		
GT Any Other					<del></del>	
Additional Information:						
SLO Components	For a complete description of SLO components and guiding questions, use the "Student Learning Objective Planning Document" attachment.					
Learning Goal	Learning					
	Big idea:					
	Standards/Benchmarks:					
	Rationale:					
	Interval o	f instruction necessa	ry to address goal:	_ yearlong _ s	emester	
Assessments,	Planned assessments and criteria used to determine levels of performance:					
Scoring and Criteria	BASELINE ASSESSMENT:					
	CHECKPOINT ASSESSMENT:					
	DOCT ACCECCMENT					
	POST ASSESSMENT:					
Expected Targets	Baseline Data for Student Performance Groups:					
	Drofiniant Approaching Well Below					
		Exceeds	Proficient	Approaching	vveii below	
	All					
	SpEd					

## 2025-2026 STUDENT SUCCESS PLAN

	Expected Target for Each Student Performance Groups:						
	Rationale for expected targets:						
Instructional Strategies	Instructional strategies for each level of performance:						
To assess the Student Learning Objective, use the "Rubric for Rating the Quality of Student Learning Objectives" attachment							
Results		POST ASSESSMENT: -Research Paper, evaluated on 6+1 Traits Rubric					
		Exceeds	Proficient	Approaching	Well Below		
	All						
	ELL						
	SpE d						

## SSP Rating Rubrics for Tenured and Probationary Teachers

SSP Rubric 1: Probationary semester 1-4 (at start of school year)					
1 (Unsatisfactory) 2 (Marginal)		3 (Effective)	4 (Highly Effective)		
Less than 45% of students showed growth over term/year	45-55% of students showed growth over term/year	56-75% of students showed growth over term/year	76-100% of students showed growth over term/year		

SSP Rubric 2: Probationary semester 5+ (at start of school year) and Tenured teachers					
1 (Unsatisfactory)	2 (Marginal)	3 (Effective)	4 (Highly Effective)		
Less than 50% of students showed growth over term/year	50-60% of students showed growth over term/year	61-75% of students showed growth over term/year	76-100% of students showed growth over term/year		

## Combined SSP/SSIO Rating Rubrics for Emergency Hire Teachers

SSP/SSIO Rubric E: Emergency hire teachers and probationary teachers hired in the second semester				
1 (Unsatisfactory)	2 (Marginal)	3 (Effective)	4 (Highly Effective)	
Ineffective participation in data teams, instructional learning communities, and/or other activities that engage in discussion around student growth and learning	Not applicable	Effective participation in data teams, instructional learning communities, and/or other activities that engage in discussion around student growth and learning	Not applicable	