# HTTP/2 server push + Browser cache

## igrigorik@chromium.org

## Current browser implementations:

## 1. Edge:

- o Initial flow control window is 10MB; no restriction on push.
- Unclaimed push streams are not committed to HTTP cache until matched with request from the application (conceptually, push cache is "below" HTTP cache)

## 2. Firefox:

- Initial flow control window on push streams is 64kb.
- Unclaimed push streams are not committed to HTTP cache until they are matched with a request from the application.

#### 3. Chrome:

- No flow control restrictions on push streams, see <u>crbug.com/313954</u>.
- Unclaimed push streams are not committed to HTTP cache until they are matched with a request from the application (see "Unclaimed pushed" column in chrome://net-internals/#http2)

#### 4. Safari:

Push is disabled (via SETTINGS)

## What happens when...

- 1. The server pushes a resource (200) and there is a valid entry in browser's cache?
  - a. FF: sends RST\_STREAM.
  - b. Chrome: sends RST STREAM.
- 2. The server pushes a revalidation (304) and...
  - a. There is no existing entry for such resource in browser's cache?
    - i. Expected: browser sends a RST\_STREAM
    - ii. Implementation:
      - 1. FF: ...
      - 2. Chrome: accepts 304 and stores it with empty body (bug)

## b. There is an existing entry in browser's cache that has expired?

- i. Expected:
  - 1. If ETag/Last-Modified is not available on cached or pushed request, send RST STREAM.
  - Check that ETag/Last-Modified matches and if so update headers and freshness lifetime, while retaining existing body. Otherwise RST STREAM.
- ii. FF: ...

iii. Chrome: updates max-age, body is retained. (TODO: check ETag logic)

## c. There is an existing entry in browser's cache that is still valid?

- i. Expected:
  - 1. Similar to (b): check ETag/Last-Modified and if valid then extend the cache lifetime with provided max-age?
- ii. FF: ...
- iii. Chrome: ...
- 5. The server pushes an invalidation (410 Gone?) and...
  - There is no such resource in client's cache?
    - Expected: send RST\_STREAM.
  - There is a valid resource in browser cache?
    - Expected: browser bypasses HTTP cache when resource is requested by the application (it's not evicted immediately because push stream must be matched by request from client).
  - Use case: the server wants to invalidate a resource that the client may have cached - e.g. the client has a long-lived asset that has a bug or security issue and server wants to purge it from client's cache.
    - This may not be that useful in practice because in order to push an invalidation the client must open an h2 connection to the origin (cross-origin push is not allowed)... And if you're a popular widget provider that served a long-expiry resource with no other dependencies, then no such connection will be made (resource is fetched from cache).

### Questions, etc...

- 1. Other compelling server push use cases not covered here?
- 2. Is there implementation interest: UA's, developers?
  - a. If there is, should there be a spec for this?