

Milan Saunders, Maddie Mader, William Shallenberger, Angelina Caputo

Group 4 HIV/AIDS

Briefly review each source and consider the [questions for evaluation](#). Then answer if you would use this source and how.

1. Podcast - [This Podcast Will Kill You: HIV/AIDS: Apathy Will Kill You](#)

Would you use this source in a white paper or report on the topic? Why or why not?

Yes, this source could be used in a white paper or report. It could act as a primary source and work in tandem with other peer reviewed research.

If yes, how would you use this source?

This source should be corroborated with other sources. For example if someone in the podcast says "I got HIV and broke my leg cause I got HIV and it makes your legs weak," the next step would be to find another source that can support or refute that argument.

2. "Interchange: HIV/AIDS and U.S. History." 2017. Journal of American History 104 (2): 431–60. doi:10.1093/jahist/jax176.
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip.sso&db=ahl&AN=124998883&site=ehost-live&custid=s8986842>

Would you use this source in a white paper or report on the topic? Why or why not?

This would be a great source to use, specifically because there are a ton of citations to other websites or researchers that agree with or help with this research paper.

If yes, how would you use this source?

It would be a great source to find other sources. It seems they use quite a few other researchers, so their information could be used to add onto and build onto sources.

3. Prep + Pep Zine (Act Up NY, 2024)
PDF: <https://actupny.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/act-up-prep-pep-zine.pdf>
Website: <https://actupny.com/zine/>

Would you use this source in a white paper or report on the topic? Why or why not?

This could be used as a source in a white paper or a topic like source 1. It has medical recommendations and information that would need to be corroborated with other more official medicine based sources.

If yes, how would you use this source?

This source would be good when discussing the history of how this topic is viewed, or how advocacy and information are provided to the general public.

4. Ramirez, Marc. 2024. "We Still have a Long Way to Go': AIDS Walk 2024 Marks 40th Historic Trek Across Nation's Landscape." Great Falls Tribune, Oct 20.
<https://www.proquest.com/newspapers/we-still-have-long-way-go/docview/3118412371/se-2>.
<https://www.proquest.com/globalnews/newspapers/we-still-have-long-way-go/docview/3118412371/sem-2?accountid=10457>

Would you use this source in a white paper or report on the topic? Why or why not?

Most likely no, this source functions as a secondary source that pulls information from other places. However, it does not properly cite them. The information could potentially be correct and could be used if corroborated properly. The domain of the website is also ".com" it isn't a ".edu" or a ".org"

If yes, how would you use this source?

This source could be used to corroborate other sources. However there are probably better locations to find more information that has proper citations.

5. 1991. *Correspondence 1991*. Available through: Adam Matthew, Marlborough, Sex & Sexuality, http://www.SexAndSexuality.amdigital.co.uk/Documents/Details/ONE_EASP_B7_F14 [Accessed February 24, 2025].
https://www.sexandsexuality.amdigital.co.uk/Documents/SearchDetails/ONE_EASP_B7_F14
(Log in through OpenAthens)

Would you use this source in a white paper or report on the topic? Why or why not?

If yes, how would you use this source?