FORM REVIEW

Manuscript Number:	
Title of the Manuscript:	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

PART 1 Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment
Abstract	
Introduction	
Method	
Result Discussion	
Conclusion	
Referencee	

PART 2

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	

PART 3 Objective Evaluation

Recomendations

- o Accept as it is
- o Accepted with minor revision
- o Accepted with major revision
- o Rejected

PART 4 Reviewer Details

Name:	
Department, University & Country	
Position: (Professor/researcher/ lecturer, etc.)	
Email:	
5-10 Keywords to describe specialization/expertise	

^{*}Please mark the following options