

Exam Technique for Edexcel Economics

4 markers - section A only in Paper 1 & 2
2 x knowledge and 2 x application
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Define ● Evidence understanding of the terms ● Use the case study for application ● Explicitly quote from the case study, or use a calculation from it
5 markers - Paper 1, 2, and 3
K A A 2 2 1
<p>Could be explain, explain with a diagram, or calculate. The distribution of K, A, A varies by question.</p> <p>Explain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Definition/identify factors ● Application - use the extract, quote from it, include a calculation ● Analysis - evidence of cause and effect <p>Calculate</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Show all working ● Remember to include relevant units at the end
8 markers - Paper 1, 2, and 3 EXAMINE
K A A E 2 2 2 2
<p>Two paragraphs: 2 x KAA + evaluation (one sentence only)</p> <p>K - identify two factors/define A - use the extract, find data to back up your point A - Chains of reasoning (cause and effect) E - one at the end of each paragraph - needs to be one sentence only. Weigh up the strength of the argument</p>
10 markers - Paper 1 and 2 ASSESS
K A A E 2 2 2 4
Same as 8 markers, but there are extra marks for evaluation - this needs to be deeper than

one sentence. Consider using the data to back up the argument/likely outcome

**12 markers - Paper 1,2, and 3
DISCUSS**

K A A E
2 2 4 4

As 8 and 10, but here there are **more marks for analysis**.

Diagrams are generally good for this, with well explained chains of reasoning - don't make jumps between cause and effect without explaining the bit in the middle.

**15 markers - Paper 1 and 2
DISCUSS**

K A A E
3 3 3 6

Two well written points (considering pros/cons) are much better than three less developed points.

3 x KAA + an evaluation of each paragraph.

**25 markers - Paper 1 and 2
EVALUATE**

K A A E
4 4 8 9

This question will usually involve evaluating one policy or thing, or multiple policies.

4 paragraphs + conclusion including judgement

For evaluating **one policy or thing**:

- Define key terms if you wish - but come off the fence early and get stuck in (**one line answer**)
- Answer the question using 'on the one hand style' of paragraphs where you evaluate at the end of the paragraph. Do **two** of these.
- Then do two paragraphs 'on the other hand' where you conflict
- Then conclude - come off the fence, include assumption, and 'however, it depends on' where you stage how your view could change if an assumption isn't met

For evaluating different policies

- Bring in one policy - pro/con/eval
- Bring in second policy - pro/con/eval
- Bring in third policy - pro/con/eval
- Judgement

5 mark questions

- Define the key terms
- Provide 2 pieces of data / evidence
- Use one, short chain of reasoning

8 mark questions

- Unless told otherwise in the question, make 2 points
- For each point: state it clearly, support it with evidence from the context / data, and write a two or three step chain of reasoning
- For evaluation: write one two-step simple chain of reasoning

10 mark questions

- Unless told otherwise in the question, make 2 points
- For each point: state it clearly, support it with evidence from the context / data, and write a two or three step chain of reasoning
- For evaluation: write a two or three step chain of reasoning after each KAA point i.e. 2 pieces of evaluation

12 mark questions

- Unless told otherwise in the question, make 2 points
- For each point: state it clearly, support it with evidence from the context / data, and write a four step chain of reasoning
- For evaluation: write a three step chain of reasoning after each KAA point i.e. 2 pieces of evaluation
- No need for a conclusion or final judgement

15 mark questions

- Unless told otherwise in the question, make 2 points
- For each point: state it clearly, support it with evidence from the context / data, and write a four or five step chain of reasoning
- For evaluation: write a four step chain of reasoning after each KAA point i.e. 2 pieces of evaluation
- No need for a conclusion or final judgement

The APE approach to evaluation

A	Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Question the validity and applicability of the assumptions that underpin the economic theory used in analysis
P	Perspectives	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Think about the impact from different perspectives• For micro points, you could teach the mnemonic PLASTIC - producers, location, age, skills, time, income level, consumers• For macro points you could teach the mnemonic TINKER - trade offs, immediacy, Neoclassical, Keynesian, equity, region
E	Evidence	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Can they think of counterexamples, or data that provides evidence in favour or against their points?• This will help them to reach an overall judgement - vital for scoring in the top marking band/level