

****MSP430 Assembly Programming vs C: Curriculum Examples****

**Example 1: Bit Manipulation with Rotate**

****Problem**:** Rotate a register's bits left by one position in a loop until a specific bit is set.

****Assembly Solution**:**

```
``assembly
    MOV.W  #0x01, R4    ; Load R4 with initial value (0000 0001b)
    MOV.W  #8, R5       ; Set counter for 8 rotations
LOOP:
    RLA.W  R4           ; Rotate left through carry
    JNC    LOOP         ; Jump if no carry (bit not set)
    ; Exit loop when a carry is generated
```

****C Solution**:**

```
``c
unsigned int r4 = 1;
for (int i = 0; i < 8; i++) {
    r4 = r4 << 1;
    if (r4 & 0x100) break; // Check for carry
}
...
```

- ****Why Assembly is Better**:**

- Direct control of hardware instructions (e.g., `RLA`).
- No function call overhead or additional instructions.
- Efficient use of the carry flag.

**Example 2: Efficient Conditional Jumping**

****Problem**:** Write a program to determine whether a number is even or odd using minimal instructions.

****Assembly Solution**:** ``assembly

```
    MOV.B  R4, R5       ; Copy number to check
    AND.B  #0x01, R5     ; Mask the least significant bit
    JNZ    ODD          ; Jump if result is not zero (odd)
EVEN:
    ; Code for even case
    JMP    END
ODD:
    ; Code for odd case
END:
...
```

****C Solution**:** ``c

```

if (number & 0x01) {
    // Code for odd case
} else {
    // Code for even case
}
...

```

- **Why Assembly is Better**:

- Assembly directly uses the processor's conditional branching instructions (`JNZ`), avoiding the overhead of compiler-generated branching code in C.
- No extra variables or operations like comparisons are generated by the compiler.

Example 3: Handling State Machines

Problem: Implement a simple state machine with states represented as labels and transitions based on conditions.

Assembly Solution:

```

START:
    CMP    #1, R4    ; Compare current state
    JEQ    STATE1    ; Jump to STATE1 if R4 == 1
    CMP    #2, R4
    JEQ    STATE2    ; Jump to STATE2 if R4 == 2
    JMP    END        ; Default case

```

```

STATE1:
    ; Code for STATE1
    JMP    END

```

```

STATE2:
    ; Code for STATE2
    JMP    END

```

```

END:
    ; End of program

```

C Solution:

```

c
switch (state) {
    case 1:
        // Code for state 1
        break;
    case 2:
        // Code for state 2
        break;
    default:
        // Default case

```

```

    break;
}

```

- **Why Assembly is Better**:

- Direct implementation of jumps without the compiler's intermediate "jump table" or comparison logic.
- No overhead of a `switch` statement or function calls.

Example 4: High-Speed Counters

Problem: Count the number of set bits in a register.

Assembly Solution: ``assembly

```

    MOV.W  R4, R5      ; Copy register value
    CLR.W  R6          ; Clear counter
LOOP:
    BIT.W  #1, R5      ; Test the least significant bit
    JZ     SKIP        ; Skip increment if bit is zero
    INC.W  R6          ; Increment counter
SKIP:
    RRA.W  R5          ; Rotate right
    JNZ    LOOP        ; Continue until all bits are checked
...

```

C Solution: ``c

```

unsigned int count = 0;
while (number) {
    if (number & 1) count++;
    number >>= 1;
}

```

``- **Why Assembly is Better**:

- Bit testing (`BIT.W`) and rotation (`RRA.W`) are more efficient than shifts and masks in C.
- The compiler generates more verbose code for such operations in C.

1. **Conditional Jumping**: Showcases direct control of program flow.
2. **Rotate Commands**: Highlights the simplicity of manipulating bits without using logical operations like AND or OR.
3. **Hardware-Level Efficiency**: Demonstrates that assembly eliminates the abstraction layer introduced by C compilers, allowing precise optimizations.

By illustrating these examples, you can effectively demonstrate the advantages of assembly programming for performance-critical tasks on MSP430 processors.