# Bean-independent Properties

A proposal by Jesse Wilson With inspiration from Shai Almog, Richard Bair, Stephen Coulbourne, Remi Forax, Mikael Grev, and Shannon Hickey.

Draft 2 - October 1, 2007 - as suggested by Boris Bokowski, adding '##' marks to the declaration so the property keyword only needs to be used once Draft 1 - Sept 30, 2007

This proposal introduces a Property interface and Java™ language changes to make working with properties more concise.

The core design decisions of this proposal:

- Properties are per-type, not per-instance.
- Anonymous classes are used for efficient property access.
- Properties are extensible using the decorator pattern.

# 1. The Property interface

```
public interface Property<B,V> {
    /**
    * Returns the value of this property on {@code bean}.
    * @throws PropertyException if the value cannot be resolved.
    */
    V get(B bean);

/**
    * Returns this value of this property on {@code bean},
    * or {@code defaultValue} if the value cannot be resolved.
    */
    V get(B bean, V defaultValue);

/**
    * Sets the value of this property on {@code bean}.
    * @throws PropertyException if the value cannot be applied.
    * @return the previous value
```

```
*/
V set(B bean, V value);

/**
    * Returns a short name for this property, such as
    * "city" or "shippingAddress".
    */
String getName();
}
```

# 2. Syntax - getters & setters

## JavaBeans:

```
Customer customer = ...
String city = customer.getCity();
customer.setCity("San Francisco");
```

# Bean-independent Properties, direct access:

```
Customer customer = ...
String city = customer#city;
customer#city = "San Francisco";
```

# Bean-independent Properties, access via a Property object:

# 3. Syntax - declaring a non-observable property

We introduce the 'property' keyword, which is used in every property declaration to create the property instance. We use 2 hashes '##' to designate that this is a property, which doesn't have the same behavior as a field.

## JavaBeans:

```
public class Customer {
  private String city = "";
```

```
public String getCity() {
    return city;
}
public void setCity(String city) {
    this.city = city;
}

Bean-independent Properties:
public class Customer {
    public Property<Customer, String> ##city = property("");
}
```

Bean-independent Properties with getters and setters:

This is necessary for compatibility with legacy code and to implement interfaces.

```
public class Customer {
  public Property<Customer,String> ##city = property("");
  public String getCity() {
    return #city;
  }
  public void setCity(String city) {
    #city = city;
  }
}
```

# 4. Syntax - declaring an observable property

### JavaBeans:

```
firePropertyChangeSupport(this, "city", oldCity, city);
  }
  public void addPropertyChangeListener(PropertyChangeListener
listener) {
    propertyChangeSupport.addPropertyChangeListener(listener);
 public void
removePropertyChangeListener(PropertyChangeListener listener) {
propertyChangeSupport.removePropertyChangeListener(listener);
}
Bean-independent Properties:
public class Customer {
  private Property<Customer,PropertyChangeSupport>
##propertyChangeSupport
      = property(new PropertyChangeSupport(this));
  private Property<Customer,String> ##city = new
BasicPropertyBuilder(property(""))
      .observable(##propertyChangeSupport)
      .build();
  public void addPropertyChangeListener(PropertyChangeListener
listener) {
    #propertyChangeSupport.addPropertyChangeListener(listener);
  public void
removePropertyChangeListener(PropertyChangeListener listener) {
#propertyChangeSupport.removePropertyChangeListener(listener);
```

# 5. A Complete Example

```
.build();
  public Property<Customer,String> ##city
      = new BasicPropertyBuilder(property())
          .observable(##propertyChangeSupport)
          .build();
  public Property<Customer,String> ##emailAddress
      = new BasicPropertyBuilder(property())
          .matchingConstraint(EmailAddresses.CONSTRAINT)
          .observable(##propertyChangeSupport)
          .build();
  public Property<Customer,List<Address>> ##addresses
      = new
BasicPropertyBuilder(property(Collections.emptyList()))
.defensiveCopyOnSet(PropertyFunctions.LIST TO IMMUTABLE LIST)
          .nonNull()
          .observable(##propertyChangeSupport)
          .build();
  public Customer(long id) {
   this \#id = id;
  public void equals(Object other) {
    return other instanceof Customer
        && Properties.equals(this, other, ##id);
  }
  public void hashCode() {
    return Properties.hashCode(this, ##id);
  public void toString() {
    return String.format("(Customer:%d)", #id);
  public static void main(String[] args) {
    Customer jesse = new Customer(4);
    jesse#city = "Mountain View";
    Customer james = new Customer(5);
    james#city = "Portland";
```

# 6. What the compiler does behind-the-scenes: Declaring a Property

(Colours are used to show the mapping between symbols)

```
public class Customer {
   public Property<Customer, String> ##city = property("")
}

is equivalent to:

public class Customer {
   private String $v_city = "";
   public static final Property<Customer, String> $p_city = new
AbstractProperty<Customer, String>() {
    public String get(Customer c) {
       return c.$v_city;
    }
    public void set(Customer c, String value) {
       c.$v_city = value;
    }
    public void getName() {
       return "city";
    }
};
```

# 7. What the compiler does behind-the-scenes: Accessing a Property's

### value

```
String city = customer#city;
customer#city = "San Francisco";

is equivalent to:

String city = Customer.$p_city.get(customer);
Customer.$p_city.set(customer, "San Francisco");
```

# 8. What the compiler does behind-the-scenes: Accessing the Property object

```
Property<Customer, String> customerCityProperty =
Customer##city;
  String city = customerCityProperty.get(customer);
is equivalent to:
  Property<Customer, String> customerCityProperty =
Customer.$p_city;
  String city = customerCityProperty.get(customer);
```

# 9. The BasicPropertyBuilder class

This is a regular Java Builder. It has the following API:

```
public class BasicPropertyBuilder<B, V> {
   public BasicPropertyBuilder(Property<B, V> delegate);

   /** make the returned property observable */
   public BasicPropertyBuilder observable(
        Property<B, PropertyChangeSupport> propertyChangeSupport);

   /** make the returned property enforce {@code constraint} */
   public BasicPropertyBuilder matchingConstraint(Constraint<V>
   constraint);

   /** copy mutable set() parameters using the specified copying
   converter */
```

```
public BasicPropertyBuilder defensiveCopyOnSet(Converter<V,V>
copier);

/** shorthand for {@code
#matchingConstraint(Constraints.NON_NULL)}. */
public BasicPropertyBuilder nonNull();

/** create the property */
public Property<B,V> build();
}
```

Since this is implemented with simple Java, it is user-replaceable. For example, you could create custom builders that build Property objects that support Localizable names, logging, etc.

This code shows how the builder is not special - the compiler just converts the property() command in place as an argument to the builder's constructor:

# is equivalent to:

## 9. Outstanding Design Decision: Exposing bean and value types

It might be worthwhile to include additional methods on the Property interface to expose the types of the bean and value object.

```
public interface Property {
    ...
    Type<B> getDeclaringType();
    Type<V> getValueType();
}
```

## 10. Outstanding Design Decision: modifier keywords

Behaviour for modifier keywords 'static', 'final', 'transient', etc. still requires formalization.

# 11. Outstanding Design Decision: Interfaces

Can interfaces expose Properties?

# 12. Outstanding Design Decision: Symbols

The hash '#' and double hash '##' symbols are chosen for convenience. We may want to choose a different symbol depending on user taste, such as '->'.

## 13. Known limitations

Properties don't play nicely with inheritance, regardless of how they are implemented.

A large number of anonymous inner classes will be generated using this solution. Each has a cost on the filesystem (which is reduced when .jars

are used) and at runtime. One alternative is reflection, which has a high runtime cost. A third alternative is a combination of reflection and runtime code-generation.

This proposal requires a 'property' keyword. That's a fairly heavily used name in today's Java code, so another keyword may need to be used?