# Administrative Review of Treasury Claim: _PA-ID Finder_

## Introduction

The following review is being done in accordance with the [Review Criteria](#) provided by the PA/vPA Operations Treasury Governance Framework.  This review should be completed within 24 hours of submission of a request for funding (here called a _Treasury Claim_).

_The Treasury Claim [PA-ID Finder](#) was submitted on Aug 24, 2022, and is a request for funding to build a tool that will help Proposal Assessors in Catalyst find their z_assessor_ID in each funding round._

Sections below are color-coded as follows:

| |
|---|
| Green: positive alignment |
| Blue: neutral alignment |
| Red: negative alignment |

Sections that are red MUST be addressed, while sections in blue SHOULD be addressed.  When in doubt, open discussion in the community is the best way to resolve a section.

## Review Standards

Claims MUST NOT violate the [Treasury Community Code of Conduct.](#)

| |
|---|
| There are no obvious violations of the CoC in this claim |

Claims MUST be in alignment with the [Principles guiding the Treasury](#) and the [Principles guiding Distributed Community Leadership.](#).

| |
|---|
| There is no obvious misalignments with Treasury Guiding Principles, and the following areas of strong alignment are noted: <br> _7.1 Open standards_ <br> All AIM tools are currently opensource/open-standards and they state this tool will be as well. <br> _7.2 Accountability_ <br> Opensource repos are behind all AIM tools, the same is expected here for tracking the 2 week project. <br> _7.3 Transparency_ |

Opensource repos and public claims submissions implemented by community members with a history of making themselves accessible make transparency highly likely.

*7.4 Decentralized by design*
A tool built by active PAs is an excellent example of *7.4.7 Edge Innovation*. In addition AIM is a first time claimant to the PA/vPA Treasury, and is distributing the work internally, so *7.4.6 Distributive* is also satisfied.

**Update**: A discussion regarding the appropriateness of AIM being the first claimant on the PA/vPA treasury, given that they are consistently funded through Catalyst was raised here: https://discord.com/channels/946921942143885342/1012099268716265662/1012651054275493968

**Update**: Comment from claimant regarding distribution of the work, "*In a high level, project conception and proposal writing was done by me[VictorCorcino#9011], UI/UX is being done mainly by me and Phil, as well as conceptualize integration with other tools, and the development of the tool itself, which might be 50-75% of the work, is going to be done by Juliana, one of the devs that has been working with us for a few months now. Technical guidance and support will be done by Lucio, if required.*"

*7.5 Inclusive by Design*
The proposal addresses a well-known problem experienced by members of the ecosystem (can we track use of the tool to see how many members need it as an option going forward?) and stipulates simplicity as a requirement of the design, satisfying *7.5.2 People Centered*.

Claims MUST be in alignment with the high level Purpose and Objectives of the Treasury Governance Framework.

This claim aligns well with requirement *6.1 Tools and Services*, as well as unique contributors under *6.2* and *6.3*.

# Review Criteria

Is the claim original or sufficiently distinct? – Claims SHOULD NOT overlap each other in too many areas, nor duplicate effort or results. Where overlap or duplication is found claimants SHOULD seek to collaborate.

This claim for a tool that helps PAs find their ID is unique and this tooling does not currently exist.

Can the claim be clearly classified under the criteria from Section 6.1 of the Governance Framework? – Claims MUST fall under these categories.

This claim can be classified under *Tools and Services* criteria in *6.1*.

Does the claim have a clear deliverable?  Is the deliverable open and available for the community's use? – Deliverables SHOULD be open-source, open-standards and belong to the Community.

The deliverable is a functioning web based tool with an opensource repo available for the community.

For claims for work to be completed, does the claim have an expected timeline?  Does the timeline fall under the governance term (180 days from initial funding)? –The treasury MUST only fund work that can be completed under its term.

The two week timeline for the project fits easily under the governance term of the current PA/vPA treasury, which still has approximately 3 months until its expiration.

Has the claimant included contact information? – Current contact information MUST be included.

Claimant has included two community contact methods via Discord and Telegram, as well as the AIM website.

Is the value requested reasonable for the work done or to be done? –  Treasury Administrators and Claimants SHOULD discuss this with the Treasury Community to reach agreement, as this may have the largest impact on the community's eventual vote.

Currently there has been no community discussion regarding the funds requested for this claim. The funds are for 40 hours of planning, management and web development work at a flat rate of $50/hour.

*Update:  There was some discussion around overall funding of AIM through Catalyst, however no comments or criticism of the rates and amounts for this proposal.*

*Initial review drafted by JeremyB#9734 (Discord)*
*Updated prior to voting 2022-08-30 by JeremyB*