

National PID Strategies: Into the Future

24th Plenary Meeting Collaborative Notes

Group(s) name(s) organising the session: National PID Strategies Interest Group

Session link:

https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/national-pid-strategies-interest-group/plenary-participation/?application_id=174502

Session scheduled date/time/breakout session: 09.04.2025, Breakout 5, 07:00 UTC

Session summary (for Group co-chairs)

We will use the content in the table below to highlight your work to the RDA community as a report organised by the Technical Advisory Board.

Please complete ALL fields below by **Friday 25th April, close of business** to be included in the report & social media activities.

Summarise your session in three sentences:
The session focused on national PID (Persistent Identifier) strategies, sharing updates and developments from various countries. Members discussed survey results, a revised case study template, and shared case studies from Ireland, France, Finland, and Australia. The goal was to foster collaboration and information exchange to advance the development and implementation of national PID strategies.
Key outcomes/actions/takeaways
Survey Results and Case Study Template Revision: Members discussed the results of the survey on national PID strategies and the revised case studies template. Case Studies and Implementation: Several countries - Ireland, France, Finland, and Australia - shared their experiences and progress in developing and implementing national PID strategies Key Considerations for PID Strategies: The discussion highlighted several key considerations for developing effective PID strategies: - The importance of stakeholder engagement and collaboration across different sectors and countries. - The need for clear governance, policies, and infrastructure to support PID implementation. - The value of cost-benefit analysis and demonstrating the benefits of PID adoption to gain buy-in from funders and policymakers. - The emphasis on making PID systems easy to use and integrate into existing workflows to reduce the burden on researchers. - The recognition of the need to address specific challenges and the

complexities of national research systems.

These points emphasise the ongoing efforts to develop and implement effective PID strategies and the importance of continued collaboration and knowledge sharing among countries and stakeholders.

Synergies and/or possible collaborations identified with RDA groups and other groups:

RDA Groups: The interest group continues to be aware of possible synergies in other PID groups, such as PID IG, Working with PIDs in Tools IG, and Persistent Identification of Instruments WG.

European Open Science Cloud (EOSC): The interest group has connections and collaborations through members' participation in EOSC-funded projects like FAIR-Impact and FAIRCORE4EOSC, where there's a focus on PID implementation and usage.

RDA Tiger Project: The National PID Strategies Working Group collaborated with the RDA Tiger project to produce user-friendly versions of the guide and checklist.

The session highlighted the importance of collaboration and knowledge sharing at both the RDA level and with other international and national initiatives to advance the development and implementation of PID strategies.

Get involved in [RDA Community](#)

This meeting will take place according to the [RDA Code of Conduct](#)

Attendee Check-in

Please complete this table to indicate your attendance (add rows as needed):

Full Name	Affiliation	Location	Email
Rory Macneil	Research Space	Scotland	rmacneil@researchspace.com
Aline Grand	Université de Strasbourg	Strasbourg, France	alinegrand@unistra.fr
Ailís O'Carroll	NORF	Ireland	a.ocarroll@ria.ie
Sabrina Granger	Inria/Software Heritage	Lyon, France	sabrina.granger@inria.fr
Siobhann McCafferty	ARDC	Brisbane Australia	siobhann.mccafferty@ardc.edu.au
Josefine Nordling	CSC - IT Center for Science	Finland	josefine.nordling@csc.fi
Alessandro	Inserm	Paris, France	alessandro.morichetta

Full Name	Affiliation	Location	Email
Morichetta			@inserm.fr
Chizuko Naoe	Nagoya University Library	Nagoya, Japan	naoe.chizuko.u6@f.mail.nagoya-u.ac.jp
Nobuko Miyairi	NICT	Tokyo, Japan	nobuko.miyairi@gmail.com
Lisa Griffith	Digital Repository of Ireland	Dublin, Ireland	l.griffith@ria.ie
Parul Tewatia	Scilifelab Data centre	Stockholm, Sweden	parul.tewatia@scilifelab.se
Thomas Jouneau	Université de Lorraine / Recherche Data Gouv platform	Metz, France	thomas.jouneau@univ-lorraine.fr
Veronique Stoll	French Ministry of Higher Education & Research	Paris, France	veronique.stoll@recherche.gouv.fr
Valerie Legué	Université Clermont Auvergne	Clermont-Ferrand, France	valerie.legue@uca.fr
Melroy Almeida	Australian Access Federation	Brisbane, Australia	melroy.almeida@aaf.edu.au
Kosuke Tanabe	National Institute for Materials Science	Tsukuba, Japan	TANABE.Kosuke@nims.go.jp
Katja Moilanen	Finnish Social Science Data Archive / Tampere University	Tampere, Finland	katja.moilanen@tuni.fi
Amélie Fiocca	INRAE	Avignon, France	amelie.fiocca@inrae.fr
Lassi Lager	CSC - IT Center for Science	Finland	lassi.lager@csc.fi

Session slides: [Main slides](#)

Recording:

Meeting agenda

- Introduction to the IG and update on activities (10 mins) – Christopher Brown
- Feedback on survey results (10 mins) – Hana Heringová
- New case studies template / How the WG outputs have helped people in their strategies (10 mins) – Natasha Simons
- A selection of case studies (PID strategy updates) (25 mins):
 - Ireland – Dr Michelle Doran, National Open Research Coordinator, NORF, DRI, RIA
 - France – Dr Véronique Stoll, Deputy Director Strategy and Partnerships, Ministry of Higher Education and Research
 - Finland - Josefine Nordling, Senior Open Science Specialist, CSC
 - Australia - Natasha Simons, Director, National Coordination, ARDC
- Group discussion on IG focus areas for the rest of the year (10 mins) – Hana Heringová
- Group discussion and Q&A (25 mins)
- Summary and close

Collaborative Session Notes *(To be used by participants and chairs during the session)*

[Main slides](#)

Introduction to the IG and update on activities (10 mins) – Christopher Brown

National PID Strategy WG [Guide and Checklist](#)

RDA Tiger project supported outputs - [white paper](#) and [checklist](#)

Feedback on survey results (10 mins) – Hana Heringová

New case studies template / How the WG outputs have helped people in their strategies (10 mins) – Natasha Simons

Revised template: <https://tinyurl.com/3kp27xm9>

A selection of case studies (PID strategy updates) (25 mins):

Ireland – Dr Michelle Doran, National Open Research Coordinator, NORF, DRI, RIA

[Slides](#)

France – Dr Véronique Stoll, Deputy Director Strategy and Partnerships, Ministry of Higher Education and Research

[Slides](#)

Finland - Josefine Nordling, Senior Open Science Specialist, CSC

[Slides](#)

Australia - Natasha Simons, Director, National Coordination, ARDC

[Slides](#)

Group discussion on IG focus areas for the rest of the year (10 mins) – Hana Heringová

Josefine: what makes a strategy a strategy - Finland: what should be their next steps?

Hana: emerging nat PID strategy - main issue: how to engage with key stakeholders and decision makers? How to gain mandate to build a strategy?

Michelle: would it be possible to draw conclusions re what are the overarching topics/themes/solutions of the national PID strategies

Group discussion and Q&A (25 mins)

Véronique: ORCID and ROR are agreed on but what about identification of facilities and instruments

Connection between local researcher IDs and ORCID - reasoning, issues etc.
(Nobuko, Japan)

Summary and close