

CRITERIA AND METHODOLOGY FOR EVALUATION OF CONCEPTUAL DESIGNS

1. The project evaluation methodology shall note the degree of fulfilment of the requirements set out in the Terms of Reference in the submitted graphic and textual project documentation, taking into account the gravity of the set criteria.
2. All the projects admitted to the jury shall be subject to evaluation. The evaluation of the competition projects shall be carried out by the jury members in accordance with this evaluation methodology and shall be reflected in an evaluation table. The evaluation shall be made on the basis of a comparative analysis of the submitted and admitted projects in accordance with the evaluation criteria and the performance of the terms of reference.
3. It should be pointed out that any project which does not meet the criteria of the Contracting Authority as set out in any part of the notice of opening of the competition, and where projects do not meet the objectives set out in the competition programme, shall be proposed for elimination.
4. The competition projects shall be evaluated according to the following mandatory components/sub-indicators:

1. K 1 – URBAN FUNCTIONALITY

The urban design and related transformations of the regulation plan, road traffic and transport, pedestrian and bicycle traffic shall be assessed comprehensively. The rationale for selecting a specific routing for the passage of the facility and connecting pathways shall be assessed. (based on a three-grade assessment scale –10, 20 or 30 pts.).

Maximum score – 30 pts. - *The submitted solution meets all the requirements of the assignment; Good functional connections, according to the applicable rules and standards. The appropriateness and feasibility of the submitted solution are well substantiated in all aspects of the assignment; The submitted solution is innovative (takes into consideration the modern concepts of urban planning and urban environment), original (unusual, unconventional) and having creative individuality.*

Medium score – 20 pts. - *The submitted solution meets most requirements of the assignment; The appropriateness and feasibility of the submitted solution are well substantiated in all aspects of the assignment. Good functional connections, according to the rules and standards.*

Minimum score – 10 pts. - *The submitted solution meets partially the requirements of the assignment. Interrupted functional connections in conflict with the rules and standards.*

2. K 2 – ENVIRONMENT DESIGN

The original, functional and sustainable treatment of spaces and elements of the urban and park environment is assessed. Convenience and comfort of movement and recreation are assessed. The integration of the proposal into the park ecosystem is assessed. (based on a three-grade assessment scale – 10, 20 or 30 pts.).

Maximum score – 30 pts. – The solution meets as fully as possible all the requirements of the assignment; The solution offers sustainable treatment of the spaces and elements of the urban and park environment and ensures convenience and comfort of the movement and recreation. The appropriateness and feasibility of the submitted solution are well substantiated in all aspects of the assignment; The submitted solution is innovative (takes into consideration the modern concepts of urban planning and urban environment), original (unusual, unconventional) and having creative individuality.

Medium score – 20 pts. - The submitted solution meets most requirements of the assignment; The appropriateness and feasibility of the submitted solution are well substantiated in all aspects of the assignment and the convenience and comfort of the movement and recreation are ensured. Acceptable functional and sustainable treatment of the spaces and elements of the urban and park environment.

Minimum score – 10 pts. – Compromise solution, only partially meets the requirements of the assignment. Interrupted functional and sustainable treatment of the spaces and elements of the urban and park environment, the convenience and comfort of the movement and recreation are not ensured.

3. K 3 – DESIGN SOLUTION OF THE BRIDGE

The original, functional and structural design of the bridge facility shall be assessed. The volumetric and spatial presence and image shall be assessed. The design rationale and optimality of the structure is evaluated. (based on a three-grade assessment scale – 10, 20 or 30 pts.).

Maximum score – 30 pts. - The submitted solution meets all the requirements of the assignment; The design offers a thoroughly clarified structural solution and structural layout. The design is realistic and appropriate. The solution is innovative (takes into consideration the modern concepts of urban planning and urban environment), original (unusual, unconventional) and having creative individuality.

Medium score – 20 pts. - The submitted solution meets most requirements of the assignment; The appropriateness and feasibility of the submitted solution are well substantiated in all aspects of the assignment.

Minimum score – 10 pts. – Compromise solution, only partially meets the requirements of the assignment. Poorly presented and clarified structural system related to the possibilities for the implementation of the architectural design.

4. K 4 – PRICE PROPOSAL EVALUATION

K4.1u Estimated cost of the construction works, excluding VAT - maximum score 5 pts.

K4.1u = (K4.1u min./K4.1u part.) x 5, where K4.1u min. is the proposed lowest estimated cost of the construction works, and K4.1u part. - the estimated cost of the construction works, proposed by the participant.

K4.2u Estimated cost of the designer's fee as a percentage of the cost of the planned construction works, excl. VAT – the cost of the public procurement for services, to be assigned through a negotiation procedure, without advance notice, pursuant to the provisions of art. 79, para. 1, item 9 of the PPA –elaboration of an

investment design for all required design parts in the detailed design phase and exercising author's supervision, excluding VAT - maximum score 5 pts.

K4.2_u = (K4.2_{u min.}/K4.2_{u part.}) x 5, where *K4.2_{u min.}* is the proposed lowest estimated cost of the designer's fee as a percentage, and *K4.2_{u part.}* - the estimated cost of designer's fee as a percentage.

$$K4 = K4.1_u + K4.2_u$$

The TOTAL QUALITY ASSESSMENT (QA) is calculated using the following formula:

$$K\Pi = K1 + K2 + K3 + K4$$

The POSSIBLE MAXIMUM POINTS PER QA is 100 points.