

**TITLE ARTIKEL ITU LISA WITH LETTER CAPITAL WITH FONT
CAMBRIA, BOLD, FONT SIZE 11 (MAXIMAL 15 SAY)**

Writer Pertama¹, Writer K second^{2, dst} (**cambria font and font size 11**)

¹Afiliation / Institusi / Universitas writer pertama, ²authors First
second (font Cambria and font size 11)

Email : *emailpenulis pertama¹, emailpenulis kedua², etc.* (**cambria font and font size
11**)

ABSTRACT

Abstract part This written in Language indonesia , no more of 250 words. Section Abstract must load core the problem that will be presented which contains **objective research , methods research , summary results research , and Conclusion** . Abstract written 1 space with (font Cambria font size 10pt. Abstract written in One paragraph just with format one column . Abstract should written short , concise , and clear , but describe Contents article . (**10 pt , line and paragraph spacing 1.0**)

Keywords : keyword 1 ; keyword 2; sort based on alphabet . (10pt) (*between 3 to 5 words, cambria font , italic, font size 10*)

ABSTRACT

Abstract written in Language English , no more from 250 words. Part Abstract must load core the problem that will be presented which contains **objective research , methods research , summary results research , and Conclusion** . Abstract written 1 space with (font Cambria font size 10pt. Abstract written in One paragraph just with format one column . Abstract should written short , concise , and clear , but describe Contents article . (**10 pt , cambria , line and paragraph spacing 1.0**)

Keywords : keyword1; keyword1; sort az .) (*between 3 to 5 words, cambria font , italic, font size 10*)



INTRODUCTION (10%) (10 pt , bold, cambria)

The introduction must be at least contain *state of the art* (literature review study) short), *gap analysis* , problems and / or hypothesis (if there is), solution , and objective research . Then reference shown with write Name behind writer And year publication , without number page (Fulan , 2019). Portion in introduction which is 10% of the total pages . The number of page maximum 15. However recommended not enough from 12 pages .

Things to do note : 1) one paragraph should be only containing One idea just . Avoid paragraph that only containing One until two sentence only that doesn't clear main the sentence ; 2) Statement or understanding general No need library references ; 3) terms linguistics must registered in KBBI (Indonesian) and *English Dictionary* (if Language English). Spelling must standard in accordance guidelines general spelling Indonesian .

The order that must be written in Introduction : Need A little background behind general related studies with theme study You .

State of the art (literature review study) short) research previous (similar) to justify *novelty* (newness) of the article this (must There is reference to 10 years journal final);

Gap analysis or Statement gap (originality) or novelty of research This with researches previously relevant (similar) or based on *state of the art* .

Describe The problem based on fact and / or hypothesis (if there is). Describe The problem based on fact and / or hypothesis (if There is).

Solution or method approach For finish problem mentioned . Solution or method approach For finish problem mentioned .

Expected results or objective study in article This . Expected results or objective study in article This . (**10 pt , cambria , line and paragraph spacing 1.15**)

Method Research (15%) (10 pt , bold, cambria)

Subtitles written in italics (if There is)

Contains the method used in research . Show in a way summary about material And the method used in research . all quantity in standard unit And consistent ; every *equation* must given numbering ; method or stages study outlined in a way operational , not explain definition / understanding .

Subtitles written in italics (if There is)

Method consists of from design / stages research , subject , location , design test or design used , technique sample (if there is), the sample used must specific And clear the amount , the variable to be measured , Instrument research , engineering data collection , techniques data analysis . (Adjust with type his research . If his research qualitative , preferably use data triangulation) (**10 pt , cambria , line and paragraph spacing 1.15**)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION (10 pt , bold, cambria)

Subtitles written in italics (if There is)

Containing about results research . If R&D research then need displayed product end , especially related content with title research . The research data presented Already processed , not raw data . Results study can served with support table , graph or picture in accordance needs , for to clarify presentation results verbally .

Subtitles written in italics (if There is)

Information image / graphic placed under image / graphic said , while title table placed on top of it . If table size wide so *layout* made 1 column (Table 2), if size table small *layout* may made small (Table 1). See example on Table 1 and Table 2.



Table 1. Description table written right aligned left (*justify*).

No	Name	A
		Mark
1	Aa bb cc	1.2
2	Aa bb cc	1.3
3	Aa bb cc	1.4

Font size inside 10pt table , however may not enough from 10pt if size table No sufficient .
Every table And picture must There is sentences that refer on table or picture the .

As with tables, make sure each image has a sequence number and title. Make the images
you use look professional and don't need to be framed.




Figure 1. Name picture written centered (*center*) if Name picture more from 1 line so written like
This .

On part discussion peeling whether the results obtained There is connection between
results obtained And draft base and / or hypothesis or No . Compare with previous research ,
whether There is conformity or opposition with results study previously (especially the
literature mentioned on *state of the art*).

Explain implications results study Good theoretical and also implementation
(10 pt , cambria , line and paragraph spacing 1.15)

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS (5%) (10 pt , bold, cambria)

Conclusion should is answer on question or objective research . Conclusion describe
answer from hypothesis and / or objective study or findings obtained . Conclusion No containing
repetition from results And discussion , but more to summary results findings as expected at the
destination or hypothesis . It is better written in form paragraph , not in form *item list/numbering* .
If forced There is *item list/numbering* , fixed in paragraph form . (**10 pt , cambria , line and
paragraph spacing 1.15**

Serving suggestions related matters study This or that will done related with idea
furthermore from study the .

THANK YOU (OPTIONAL)

BIBLIOGRAPHY (10 pt, bold, cambria)

References listed in list library just cited references in Contents articles. All references referred to within text article must registered in the section Bibliography. List Library must containing libraries the reference that comes from from primary sources (journals) research, proceedings, books results research, thesis / dissertation) and amounting to a minimum of 80% of overall list library) published 10 (ten) years last. Every least article contains 15 (ten) lists library reference. Reference should originate from national primary sources or international.

Writing List Library use *American Psychological Association* (APA) style 6th edition And recommended use application management reference like Mendeley, Zotero, EndNote, etc

Example :

- Andrean, NJ, Noer, SH, & Asmiati. (2019). Development of Guided Discovery Learning to Improve Students' Reflective Thinking Skills and Learning Independence. *AKSIOMA: Journal of Mathematics Education Study Program*, 8 (2), 270–278.
- Asmar, A., & Delyana, H. (2020). Critical Thinking Through the Use of Geogebra Software. *AKSIOMA: Journal of Mathematics Education Study Program*, 9 (2), 221–230.
- Farib, PM, Ikhsan, M., & Subianto, M. (2019). Critical mathematical thinking process of junior high school students through discovery learning. *Journal of Mathematics Education Research*, 6 (1), 99–117.
- Fitriyani, W., & Sugiman, S. (2014). Development of Pythagorean Theorem Learning Devices with Ideal Approach Assisted by Geogebra. *Journal of Mathematics Education Research*, 1 (2), 268–283. <https://doi.org/10.21831/jrpm.v1i2.2681>
- Harianti, F. (2018). The Influence of Guided Discovery Learning Model on Students' Understanding Ability and Learning Outcomes of Algebra Operation Material for Grade VII of Junior High School. *MUST: Journal of Mathematics Education, Science and Technology*, 3 (1), 82–91.
- Heryani, Y., & Setialesmana, D. (2017). The Use of Discovery Learning Model to Improve Mathematical Connection and Communication Skills. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education*, 8 (1), 43–52.
- Jana, P., & Fahmawati, AAN (2020). Discovery Learning Model to Improve Problem Solving Skills. *AKSIOMA: Journal of Mathematics Education Study Program*, 9 (1), 213–220.
- Listyotami, MK, Noer, SH, & Haenilah, EY (2018). Discovery Learning to Develop Student Reflective Thinking Ability and Self-Efficacy. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education*, 9 (1), 73–84.
- Masfingatin, T., & Murtafiah, W. (2020). Exploring The Creative Mathematical Reasoning of Mathematics Education Student Through Discovery Learning. *AKSIOMA: Journal of Mathematics Education Study Program*, 9 (2), 296–305.
- Nisiyatussani, Ayuningtyas, V., Fathurrohman, M., & Anriani, N. (2018). GeoGebra applets design and development for junior high school students to learn quadrilateral mathematics concepts. *Journal on Mathematics Education*, 9 (1), 27–40.
- Nur'aini, IL, Harahap, E., Badruzzaman, FH, & Darmawan, D. (2017). Realistic Geometry Mathematics Learning with GeoGebra. *Journal of Mathematics*, 16 (2), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.29313/jmtm.v16i2.3900>
- Purwanti, RD, Pratiwi, DD, & Rinaldi, A. (2016). The Effect of GeoGebra Assisted Learning on Mathematical Concept Understanding Reviewed from Cognitive Style. *Al-Jabar: Journal of Mathematics Education*, 7 (1), 115–122. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24042/ajpm.v7i1.137>
- Purwasih, R., Sariningsih, R., & Sari, IP (2020). Self Efficacy Towards Students' High Order Thinking Mathematics Ability Through Geogebra Software Assisted Learning. *AKSIOMA: Journal of*



- Mathematics Education Study Program* , 9 (1), 166–173.
<https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v9i1.2663>
- Razi, Z., & Mirunnisa. (2019). Maple Software-Assisted Discovery Learning Model on Mathematical Understanding Ability. *AKSIOMA: Journal of Mathematics Education Study Program* , 8 (3), 520–527.
- Rudyanto, HE (2016). Discovery Learning Model with Scientific Approach Containing Character to Improve Creative Thinking Skills. *Premiere Educandum: Journal of Elementary Education and Learning* , 4 (01), 41–48. <https://doi.org/10.25273/pe.v4i01.305>
- Vahlia, I. (2014). Experimentation of Discovery and Group Investigation Learning Models on Mathematics Learning Achievement Reviewed from Student Creativity. *AKSIOMA: Journal of Mathematics Education Study Program* , 3 (2), 43–54.

