

THE JUDD SCHOOL

Non-Examination Assessment, Controlled Assessment and Coursework Policy

Policy dated: October 2024 Review date: October 2025

Key staff involved in the conduct of non-examination assessments

Role	Name
Head of Centre	Jonathan Wood
Quality Assurance lead (QAL)	Joel Dunn
SLT member	Joel Dunn
SENCO	Bethan Williamson
Senior Exams Officer	Lindsey MacAdam
Exams Officer	Jane Blunt

Contents

1 Introduction	4
1.1 What does this policy affect?	4
1.2 Purpose of the policy	4
1.3 What are non-examination assessments?	4
1.4 What is coursework?	5
2 Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff re	oles
and responsibilities	5
2.1 The basic principles:	5
3 Task setting	6
4 Issuing of tasks	6
5 Task taking	6
5.1 Supervision	6
5.2 Advice and feedback	7
5.3 Resources	7
5.4 Word and time limits	7
5.5 Collaboration and group work	8
5.6 Authentication procedures	8
5.7 Presentation of work	8
5.8 Keeping materials secure	9
6 Task marking - externally assessed components	9
6.1 Conduct of externally assessed work	9
6.2 Submission of work	10
7 Task marking - internally assessed components	10
7.1 Marking and annotation	10
7.2 Internal standardisation	11
7.3 Submission of marks and work for moderation	11
7.4 Storage and retention of work after submission of marks	12
7.5 External moderation - feedback	12
8 Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments	13
9 Special consideration and loss of work	13
10 Malpractice	14
11 Post results services	14
12 Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England	15
13 Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for	
in England	16
14 Private candidates	16
15 Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessmen	i ts 18

1 Introduction

This policy is reviewed and updated annually. Amendments to the text are highlighted in yellow.

1.1 What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery GCE and GCSE specifications with one or more non-examination assessment component, controlled assessments (where applicable) and coursework. The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not:

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment) is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'.

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication <u>Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword</u>] This publication is further referred to in this policy as <u>NEA</u>

The term coursework is a generic one. It includes the work required in Project qualifications and internally assessed work in other qualifications covered by these *Instructions*.

These instructions are for use in AQA Applied General qualifications, OCR Cambridge Nationals, CCEA GCE unitised AS and A-level qualifications, ELC and Project qualifications. They may also apply to other awarding body-specific Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3 qualifications. Centres should refer to awarding body instructions.

[Definition taken from the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting coursework]

This document is further referred to in this policy as ICC

1.2 Purpose of the policy

This policy confirms the JCQ requirement that The Judd School has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written policy regarding the management of non-examination assessments including controlled assessments and coursework.

Awarding bodies require centres to have a non-examination assessment policy in place to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

[NEA 1]

1.3 What are non-examination assessments?

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking.

[<u>NEA 1]</u>

1.4 What is coursework?

Coursework components assess candidates' skills, knowledge and understanding that may not readily be assessed by timed written papers. Coursework will take many different forms.

[ICC 1]

2 Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

Where reference is made in these procedures to non-examination assessment, this is intended to include (GCE and GCSE) non-examination assessments, controlled assessment (where relevant) and coursework.

2.1 The basic principles:

Head of centre

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of JCQ NEA and ICC guidance
- Ensures that the centre's policy is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessments
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedure clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA, ICC and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

Quality Assurance lead

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources, etc.

 Signposts the annually updated JCQ publications Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and Instructions for conducting coursework to relevant centre staff

Head of Department/Subject lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures NEA, ICC and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers
- Ensures the Exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA and ICC
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the
 awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any
 subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's
 website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body

Exams officer

• Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

3 Task setting

Head of Department/Subject lead

• Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification

Subject teacher

• Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

4 Issuing of tasks

Head of Department/Subject lead

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates

5 Task taking

5.1 Supervision

Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated

- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures Candidates:
 - o understand that information from all sources must be referenced
 - receive guidance on setting out references
 - o are aware that they must not plagiarise other material
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the JCQ documents <u>Information for</u>
 candidates non-examination assessments, <u>Information for candidates coursework assessments</u>
 and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>

5.2 Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

5.3 Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources including the internet and AI when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Refers to the JCQ document <u>Al Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of</u>
 Qualifications (www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice) as well as the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation published by the awarding bodies and the regulator
 - By referencing this document, makes candidates aware of the appropriate and inappropriate use of AI, the risks of using AI and the possible consequences of using AI inappropriately in a qualification assessment
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

5.4 Word and time limits

Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

5.5 Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

5.6 Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - o ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting a review of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable)
- If unable to confirm that the work presented by a candidate is his/her own and has been completed under the required conditions
 - o does not accept the candidate's work for assessment
 - o records a mark of zero for internally assessed work
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA 4.6 or ICC 7 and informs their line manager and the Exams officer who will escalate it to the Senior Leadership Team.
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero.

5.7 Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> 4.7 and <u>ICC</u> 3 unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures that if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

5.8 Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA</u> 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores safely and securely all non-examination assessments, including controlled
 assessments, coursework or portfolios, retained in the centre until the deadline for a review
 of moderation has passed or until a review of moderation, an appeal or a malpractice
 investigation has been completed, whichever is later. This includes materials stored
 electronically
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until
 the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other
 purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required

Network and systems manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers the contingency of candidates' work being backed-up on two separate devices, including one off-site back-up and implementing appropriate security arrangements which protect candidates' work in the event of IT system corruption and cyber-attacks
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

Exams officer

 Stores safely and securely all returned samples of non-examination assessments after awarding body moderation until the deadline for a review of moderation has passed or until a review of moderation, an appeal or a malpractice investigation has been completed, whichever is later.

6 Task marking - externally assessed components

6.1 Conduct of externally assessed work

Head of Department/Subject lead

- Liaises with the Exams officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams officer

- Liaises with Head of Department /Subject lead regarding timetabling, rooming and invigilation of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification, specifically
 - MFL speaking tests
 - provides list of dual linguists and candidates with access arrangements in good time
 - approves timetable provided by HD/SL e.g. dual linguists to have adequate rest days (at least 2) between tests
 - arranges rooming and invigilation in consultation with HD/SL
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
- Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations

6.2 Submission of work

Head of Department/Subject lead

• Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

Exams officer

- Provides the attendance register to the Head of Department/Subject lead where applicable
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

7 Task marking – internally assessed components

7.1 Marking and annotation

Head of centre

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

Head of Department/Subject lead

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Liaises with the Exams officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any timed internally assessed components

Ensures candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale indicated in the centre's
internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to
be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the
awarding body

Subject teacher

- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Does not use artificial intelligence as the sole means of marking candidates' work

Exams officer

 Arranges rooming and invigilation as appropriate for any timed internally assessed components in consultation with Head of Department/Subject lead and to awarding body deadlines

7.2 Internal standardisation

Quality Assurance lead

• Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence

Head of Department/Subject lead

- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. ECTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by
 - o obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - o holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
 - retaining work and evidence of standardisation

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

7.3 Submission of marks and work for moderation

Head of Department/Subject lead

- Provides marks to the Exams officer to the internal deadline via SIMs mark sheets
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors

- Provides the moderation sample to the Exams officer by the internal deadline for submission or to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required.

Exams officer

- Creates mark sheets in SIMS to allow subject teachers to enter marks electronically to the internal deadline
- Posts any NEA appeal outcome changes to marks in SIMS mark sheet
- Checks mark sheets completed by subject teacher electronically in SIMS and submits the marks by the awarding body deadline
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - o moderator label printed from the awarding body secure portal
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

7.4 Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Head of Department/Subject lead

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work that is not part of a sample for moderation, under secure
 conditions until after the deadline for a review of moderation has passed or until a review of
 moderation, an appeal or a malpractice investigation has been completed, whichever is later.
 This includes materials stored electronically.
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Exams officer

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and stored under secure conditions until after the deadline for a review of moderation has passed or until a review of moderation, an appeal or a malpractice investigation has been completed, whichever is later. The sample is then returned to the Head of Department/Subject lead.

7.5 External moderation - feedback

Head of Department/Subject lead

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks any moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

Exams officer

- Accesses or signposts any moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

8 Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Subject teacher

 Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCO)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and</u>
 <u>Reasonable Adjustments</u> in relation to non-examination assessments including <u>Reasonable</u>
 <u>Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences Endorsement of practical skills</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to the non-examination assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

9 Special consideration and loss of work

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate
 - o is absent
 - o produces a reduced quantity of work
 - o work has been lost
- Liaises with the Exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the Exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

Exams officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A guide to the <u>special consideration</u> <u>process</u>
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to <u>Form 15 JCQ/LCW</u> and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body (AQA and OCR) (<u>For coursework</u>, AQA and OCR centres must not submit Form 15 JCQ/LCW. Applications must be submitted online using AQA Centre Services or OCR Interchange as appropriate)

10 Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates or centre staff staff
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments:</u>
 Policies and Procedures
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates
 producing non-examination assessments or coursework are aware of the potential for
 malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of
 malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'</u> work
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments and coursework
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u>
 and (where applicable) <u>Information for candidates coursework assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to their line manager

Exams officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

11 Post results services

Head of centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an application for a review of results or an appeal

Head of Department/Subject lead

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the Exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline

Exams officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post Results Services</u> (Information and guidance to centres...)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

12 Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England

Head of centre

- Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities
- Ensures new lead teachers undertake the required training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Ensures relevant centre staff liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

Quality assurance (QA) lead

• Ensures arrangements are in place for implementing the requirements of the practical endorsement appropriately and applying the standards appropriately

Head of Department/Subject lead

- Confirms understanding of the Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England
- Ensures where the centre intends to enter candidates for the first time for one or more of the A level subjects, the relevant awarding body will be contacted at the beginning of the course
- Undertakes training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)

• Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment

Exams officer

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment

13 Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

 Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

 Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Head of Department/Subject lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- · Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams officer

• Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

14 Private candidates

Head of centre

 According to centre policy, confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components/units of non-examination assessment/coursework (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)

Head of Department/Subject lead

• Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification

15 Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Reference to non-examination assessment is intended to include GCE and GCSE specifications with one or more non-examination assessment component, controlled assessment (where applicable) and coursework.

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Malpractice		
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow: • the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Instructions for conducting coursework • the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work - www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments	QAL/SLT
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not: submit work which is not their own make available their work to other candidates through any medium allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material assist other candidates to produce work use books, the internet, AI or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments, (where applicable) Information for candidates - coursework assessments and Information for candidates - Social Media - www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-docume nts and understand they must not post their work on social media	QAL & HoD
Task setting	ms and anderstand they must not post their work on social media	
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	HoD, Network and systems manager & EO
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	QAL HoD HoD
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	HoD

Subject teacher long term	See centre's contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence	
absence during the task	See centre's contingency plan - reaching staff extended absence	
setting stage		
Issuing of tasks		
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	HoD
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	HoD & QAL
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence	
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample	HoD
Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	QAL
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	HoD & QAL/EO
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	QAL & HoD
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) and (where applicable) Instructions for conducting coursework (6. Malpractice in coursework) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	HT
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	SENCO
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	QAL
starting on their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	HoD
		HoD

	Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	ST
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	QAL
3.00%	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	HoD
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component	HoD
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	ST
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted	НТ
specification Candidate does not reference information from published source	to the awarding body Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	ST
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	ST
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	HoD/ST
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	HoD/EO
An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s)	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate	QAL/HoD/EO
Resources		
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	ST/HoD
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately	HoD & QAL/EO

	Turi o i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records,	
	awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is	
Word and time limits	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	HoD/ST
awarding body for exceeding	checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	1100/31
word or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged	
word or time innits	from exceeding them	
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on	
	word or time limits is known and understood	
Collaboration and group work	· (
Candidates have worked in	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	HoD
groups where the awarding	checked to determine if group work is permitted	
body specification states this is	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	
not permitted	unresolved	
Authentication procedures		
A teacher has doubts about	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	QAL
the authenticity of the work	document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'	
submitted by a candidate for	Work	LIAD/CT
internal assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	HoD/ST
Candidate plagiarises other	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information	
material	for candidates – coursework assessments	ST
material	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need	
	to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination	
	assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	HoD/QAL/HT
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	,
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	HoD/ST
authentication	current JCQ document Information for candidates:	
statement/declaration	non-examination assessments <mark>and (where applicable) Information</mark>	
	for candidates – coursework assessments	ST
	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do	
	to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	CT
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	ST
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not available	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	QAL
to sign authentication forms	sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates	۷,۲۳
	work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	
Presentation of work		•
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before	ST
complete the awarding body's	accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
cover sheet that is attached to		
their worked submitted for		
formal assessment		
Keeping materials secure	December of medical surface and fellows are surfaced for the su	L OAL (LLaD
Candidates work between	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current	QAL/HoD
formal supervised sessions is	JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	
not securely stored	Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate	
	secure storage	
Adequate secure storage not	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to	HoD
available to subject teacher	subject teacher prior to the start of the course	'
and the budgett teacher	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	
Candidates work produced	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current	Network and
electronically is not securely	JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	systems
	assessments	manager

Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT Monager ensures: • access to this material is restricted by storing the work in on a google drive that is not accessible to students • appropriate security safeguards are in place. Work is only accessible to studing flemebres who have access to the secure network. • on effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained (Cloud storage through google). any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored within it (insert relevant details of how) Task marking – externally assessed components A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an anceptable reason A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason The work of a candidate submits little or no work A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforceseen reason The work of a candidate is lost or damaged A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforceseen reason The work of a candidate is lost or damaged A candidate malpractice is discovered A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal relationship e.g., members of their family (which includes step-lamily, toster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. sonridaughtes) or sonridaughtes is sonridated. A wording body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted			
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason Task marking – internally assessed components A candidate submitts little or no work A candidate submits little or no work A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason The work of a candidate is lost or damaged The work of a candidate is lost or damaged Candidate malpractice is discovered A teacher assesses the work of a candidate malpractice is discovered A teacher assesses the work of a candidate reason A teacher assesses the work of a candidate personal relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family) and similar close relationships) or close friends in the dadline A warding body guidonce is sought to determine if an extension can be A candidate is absent on the dadline A warding body where appropriate? A candidate is described by where a condidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as assessed absent on the attendance register ST/HoD The work for unforeseen reason The work of a candidate is lost or damaged A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason The work of a candidate is lost or damaged A candidate malpractice is discovered A teacher assesses the work of a candidate is lost or damaged work A teacher assesses the work of a candidate malpractice is discovered A teacher assesses the work of a candidate malpractice is discovered A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family) and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) A teacher assesses the work of a candidate of the deadline for entries for each examination and the process to be followed appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed App		 Manager ensures: access to this material is restricted by storing the work in on a google drive that is not accessible to students appropriate security safeguards are in place. Work is only accessible to staff members who have access to the secure network. an effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained (Cloud storage through google). any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored within it (insert 	
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason Task marking - internally assessed components A candidate submits little or no work A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason The work of a candidate is lost or damaged Candidate malpractice is discovered A teacher assesses the work of a candidate is lost estep-family, foster family which includes step-family, foster family within includes step-family, foster family (e.g. son/daughter) A candidate family (e.g. son/daughter) A candidate is not the deadline A candidate is unable to finish their work for one of their family (which includes step-family, foster family) and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) A to candidate malpractice is discovered A teacher assesses the work of a candidate work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal ended the condition of the deadline A the candidate with whom they have a close personal ended the condition of the deadline A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal ended the condition of the deadline A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal ended the condition of the deadline A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal ended the condition of the condition o	Task marking – externally asso	essed components	
day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason Task marking - internally assessed components A candidate submits little or no work A candidate submits little or no work Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body A candidate is unable to finish pody Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ documents Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (8) and (where applicable) Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (10), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work Candidate malpractice is discovered Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication assessments are followed Assessments are followed Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed Appropriate internal disciplinary	A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	
A candidate submits little or no work Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason The work of a candidate is lost or damaged The work of a candidate is lost or damaged The work of a candidate is lost or damaged The work of a candidate is lost or damaged The work of a candidate is lost or damaged A candidate malpractice is discovered The work of a candidate is lost or damaged work Candidate malpractice is discovered The work of a candidate is lost or damaged work A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) An extension to the deadline Where a candidate submits on the work, the work of soil action to the deadline for entries in processes in the current JCQ publication and processes in the current JCQ publication and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication subjected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series The condition of the sample requested or not Where a candidate with whom they have a close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) An extension to the deadline Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be EO/QAL	day of the examiner visit for	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	ST/HoD
absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason The work of a candidate is lost or damaged Candidate malpractice is discovered A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) An extension to the deadline A candidate with whom the deadline Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be Candidate family (e.g. son/daughter) A warding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be		·	
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ documents Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (8) and (where applicable) Instructions for conducting coursework (16), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work Candidate malpractice is discovered Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed awarding body before the publis		absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding	HoD
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ documents Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (8) and (where applicable) Instructions for conducting coursework (16), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work Candidate malpractice is discovered Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) An extension to the deadline Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ documents Instructions and (where applicable) instructions for conducting coursework (16), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or lost or conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) in the current JCQ publication and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication and Assessments even followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not A sessingle fon a series for each examination assessments (section 9 Malpractic	their work for unforeseen	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility	QAL/EO
Candidate malpractice is discovered Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) An extension to the deadline Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication 9 Malpractice) are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not Series (Section 1) A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether	The work of a candidate is lost	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ documents Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (8) and (where applicable) Instructions for conducting coursework (16), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or	QAL/EO
a candidate with whom they have a close personal relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) An extension to the deadline awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not EO/QAL	discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	QAL/HT
	a candidate with whom they have a close personal relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter)	awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation	HoD
			EO/QAL

required for a legitimate reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	EO/HoD
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks	AHT (Assessment, reporting and recording)
	Through the exams office website, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	EO
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met	HoD/ST ST
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	QAL/DHT/HT
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers	QAL/HoD ST
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed See centre's contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence	HT