

The UFO Reality

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 2009

UFOS AND STATES OF MATTER by Anthony Bragalia

UFOs are both amorphous and solid. They appear as "lightforms" as often as they appear to be constructed of metal. They can be plasma-like but they can also take form as "nuts and bolts" craft. Some of the aerial "plasma light" phenomena appears to be self-organized and self-directed, even exhibiting some type of intelligence. They can hover, move instantly, morph shape, blink out then reappear elsewhere...or fade into nothingness. Explanations have been proffered that the lights are unknown natural earth or atmospheric events or processes. Maybe they somehow relate to piezoelectricity, ions, earth lights- or unique combinations of these things. Or even still, some feel they may be some sort of unknown aerial life forms (i.e. Trevor Constable's "Space Critters" theory.)

These are interesting concepts that may well explain some UFO sightings... but some of the phenomena represent craft that are clearly constructed of solid material and that are likely controlled or piloted by sentients.

How can we reconcile the phenomena of luminous and amorphous aerals with ships made of actual alloy? We can do this because they are one and the same. All matter is one matter. The four known states do not really define all matter. It is not just four forms. And these states of matter will one day be found to be all of "one thing" -but with potentially infinite combinations of properties, existing in infinite phases. For instance, in just the past half-century we have learned that:

Solids can be ionic solids, covalent solids, molecular solids, metallic solids and amorphous solids (i.e. solids existing between two states such as found in some advanced polymers.) Aerogels (or "frozen smoke") are open cell polymers that are causing some Materials Scientists to reconsider what it even means for matter to be "solid."

Liquids have definite "volume" but they change shape. Viscosity, surface tension, vapor pressure and boiling points can be altered to create fundamental changes in liquids that make them appear distinctly "unliquid." One Roswell crash debris witness said that the material "moved" and "ran like water."

Gases exist without definite form or volume - unless "constrained" into shape, like some UFOs.

Plasma is ionized gas- a cloud of protons with the ability to act as a whole rather than as just a bunch of atoms- "fuzzy" but self-organized. Plasma can be naturally occurring as well as engineered.

Yet a "fifth state" of matter recently postulated is the "Bose Einstein Condensation" which relates to temperature and the collapse of atoms into a single quantum state.

There will be many more "States of Matter" discovered. Of course these other states have always existed- we just have not yet "manipulated" them into our existence. We will continue to find new states and phases of matter and energy for as long as we continue the march of science. And sentients incalculably advanced from us can likely engineer material in ways that we can only begin to conceptualize. It all relates to the continuum of Materials Science. It is future engineering. There really is nothing "strange" about it. Just unfamiliar.

Of course the idea of conventional thrust and propulsion to traverse the cosmos is silly, it is not possible. Traditional "pop can" craft could not possibly allow for crewed interstellar travel. ET must have in some way mastered the ability to manipulate states of matter and energy so that they become interchangeable or so that they can co-exist. This is why the craft appear sometimes to be comprised of 'engineered light' and sometimes they appear solid and metal-like. It is only in this way that they could travel the distances required. They can change solid matter into a variety of other states at will. It is also likely that solid matter can change form to accommodate its existence in different dimensions. The interplay of Matter, Energy, and Mind likely manipulates Time in some way. It is necessary for such far travel. The interstellar dynamic requires the interdimensional dynamic. The morphing, fuzzy, phase-changing craft are that way for a reason. The craft's materials of construction change state to suit the environment in which they are required to operate.

In the coming months newly discovered information will be released revealing that the US government conducted some very interesting metallurgical studies- studies whose impetus was without doubt the Roswell debris recovery in 1947!

Official science documents (whose provenance is unquestionable) will confirm that studies were conducted on morphic metals and even on the influence of the mind on Roswellian material. The shape-memory qualities of the Roswell debris speak to the metal's remembrance of a "Malleable Universe." When published, the information will provide stunning insight into the crash event -and even more profoundly- into the true nature of UFOs and the States of Matter.

POSTED BY RRRGROUP AT 9:42 PM | [0 COMMENTS](#)

THURSDAY, JANUARY 22, 2009

UFO: A return visit?

Here's the CNN video showing a UFO in flight over Washington D.C. during President Obama's Inauguration:

Note the similarity to this 1963 video of a UFO:

Here's a protracted version of the above filmed UFO:

POSTED BY RRRGROUP AT 3:25 PM | [o COMMENTS](#)

MONDAY, JANUARY 19, 2009

WHY ET DOESN'T WANT TO MEET US by Anthony Bragalia

The extraterrestrials only hint at their presence. Despite the passage of centuries- they do not make open, mass contact. Instead they seem to merely observe us, shyly flittering through our skies. They keep their distance just enough. They seem to want us to know that we share the universe with Others. But why don't they want to meet us?

To answer this question, we must ask ourselves -if we were from another place- would we want to meet the people of Earth?

Before making overt contact, those from afar have carefully observed our ways. And what they see they must surely not like. What they see is a species that -though intelligent- continually and repeatedly acts out of ignorance. They see those who are unaccepting of anything that is different. They see reflected in us the truest definition of ignorance. The ignorant "ignore" or deride anything that is not like them.

We are a race compelled to view things through an "Us" and "Them" filter. If we cannot live civilly together as Humans on our planet, how can we ever coexist with Non-Humans from other planets? If Blacks and Whites still can't get along in many places, how can we conceivably get along with a "Gray" or a "Green"? We don't like "different" and we never have. People who do not share our color, our country or our religion are not like us- so we don't like them. We thrive on division. We are selfish in our identification, as in "my" country or "my" faith. And we think that our ways -and the ways that we look- are "better" or more acceptable. In a society like ours that that is conditioned to instantly judge on outward appearance- the wholly different appearance of ET would be too much for too many to handle. Extreme elements of society would express ultimate prejudice towards those who are so extremely different.

And Man does not like to "share." He has to be taught. The behavior of young children shows us this. As we get older, the term "share" more likely means to us the capital stock of a corporation. The true meaning of "share" has been lost to man. We find it difficult to give of what we have to another without

expectation of return. ET no doubt knows that man does not wish to "share" Earth. The first thing that we did when we first landed on another celestial body was to plant our flag on it. Man does not wish to share the Universe. Instead, he wants to own it.

ET cannot be "equal" to us. The truth is that man can never be "equal" to races perhaps many millennia advanced from us. Their knowledge and their experience must vastly surpass that of Man's. This is the central problem with open contact- ET knows that man still views himself as the Center of the Universe. Man's pride -and fear- would not allow him to accept those that know better.

For decades government officials in all countries have told their populace that there are no visiting ET. They tell us repeatedly that there is no evidence. Though many of them know otherwise -they maintain that there is no such thing to maintain the illusion of their control. Our world's leaders deny the reality of those on other worlds. ET must feel unwanted.

ET sees that Man is even unable to connect with his own Gaia-sphere. We have dampened the pulse of our living planet by poisoning its soils, waters and air. We not only lack respect for other humans, we do not even honor that which sustains us- Earth. We've proven that we cannot participate in the natural Holos of our own world, let alone Other's worlds. We don't cooperate with Nature- we conquer it.

The way man treats other animals on this planet reflects how man can feel about non-humans. Our cruelty to animals even requires that we establish organizations to prevent such cruelty. If a creature has four legs, or if it is covered in fur and cannot speak, it is somehow perceived as less worthy. Man throughout history has placed himself as the head of Earth's many dominions. We dangerously believe that we can control all of the kingdoms of creation. ET must surely find this worrisome.

In the mid-1940s, Man sent out an instellar smoke signal to the stars. He announced with the greatest loudness that he had split the Atom. In so doing, we also transmitted a deadly message. We can kill and destroy like never before. Now, at the push of a button, we are able to burst open the very fabric of creation at the molecular level, incinerating into nothingness large areas of our planet. With the flick of a switch, millions can now perish at will.

Later, with the advent of rocketry and spaceships, man showed that he could travel beyond the confines of his atmosphere. He could now carry this wickedness off-planet. The concept of military "defense" in space shows that man is not content to keep his war and division on Earth. He is now willing and able to bring it to the cosmos.

ET has revealed itself to us as a Watchful Eye. Whether out of concern for themselves and the rest of the universe- or for us- they continue to monitor our plight on Earth. But they will not make contact. They are far too smart for that.

POSTED BY RRRGROUP AT 3:59 PM | [11 COMMENTS](#)

FRIDAY, JANUARY 09, 2009

A blog we like: The UFO Connection

You might find this UFO (and other things) blog interesting:

[The UFO Connection](#)

POSTED BY RRRGROUP AT 1:59 PM | [0 COMMENTS](#)

MONDAY, JANUARY 05, 2009

WHY ET HAS TO BE HUMANOID by Anthony Braglia

The Bible tells us that Man was created in God's image. But what of the Extraterrestrial? Why is it that our image of the visiting Alien is almost always humanlike in form? Why do we see humanoids?

Skeptics say that such creatures would in no way resemble our human physical form. They say that "popular images" of ET are silly. They maintain that we are simply projecting how we wish the alien to appear onto ET's form. People see ET in terms of life as we know it, science writer Dr. Craig Freudenrich recently stated. He glibly remarked, "For most of history, aliens had to be played by human actors in alien costumes. This made it difficult to have shapes other than humanoid." He adds, "And you cannot make an alien look too different from a human because the audience must recognize it. Psychologically, we are very good at recognizing humanoid shapes, so an alien shaped like a coffee table would not be very good." Folks such as Freudenrich feel that we aren't satisfied with microbes or even jellyfish- we want little green men or benign Klingons- so this is what we see when we see ET.

Science has conditioned us to reject the anthropocentric viewpoint. They have done this since the Copernican Revolution showed that the Earth is not the center of the universe. When scientists interpret observations, they obsessively try to exclude human values. They loathe their humanness. They insist that Science must not be human-centric. They tell us that the chances of ET with intelligence are slim, and that -even given their existence- the probability of them in any way resembling "people" is very remote. Though we are most certainly not the center of the universe, the pendulum of thinking on this has swung far too far.

We should not fear to fathom the simplest solution- that ET is very much like us!

ET visitors often appear as forms that are human-like for very good reason. They generally have heads, torsos, four limbs and bilateral symmetry because they must. A friend's young child wisely reasoned, "Well, the Aliens could be green slimey globs- but if they are coming here, hands and feet sure would be

helpful!"

And the child is right. Aliens likely do exist on their respective planets as blobs, slithering things, unimaginable things. But if the Alien is able to leave its home planet and visit us, it must have manual capability. It must make be able to articulate movement to make things. To do so requires appendages with opposable digits. It would have to have limb-like structures to ambulate within the environment. It has to see in order to make. Thus it possesses "hands," "arms," "legs," and "eyes." And it must have structure- a "skeleton" or frame to hold itself upright- and "skin" to contain what is within. The Visitors are -out of necessity- humanoid. No matter how clever, a crab or a cat will never propel a spaceship through space-time.

Space Shuttle Columbia Astronaut and physicist Dr. Ulrich Walter makes this case very well in a 2004 paper. He goes against traditional scientific thought on the matter of what ET would look like. He says of the visiting alien form, "No matter from which angle you approach the problem, the only realistic approach seems to be the variant realised by the human race." He examines in fine detail why the basis of such evolutionary life has be be carbon chemistry, or organic chemistry. This is why ET cannot be that much different from earthly life with regard to its basic substances. Space-faring creatures would require the same stereoscopic vision and the same hand-eye coordination as humans. Dr. Walter says that (unless artificially created) such sentient ET life would be made of "flesh" (agglomerate of reproducing aqueous cells) and "blood" (a liquid which tranports needed substances.) The "flesh" and "blood" of a humanoid. Dr. Walter concludes that ET's functional counterparts are similar to ours, and that "the only question that remains is where these parts are located."

When another child was asked, "What does ET look like?" he replied: "They can be anything." He too is right. If the universe teems with sentient life, then there are beings that are at once ancient and advanced. And those that survive, adapt. Over the eons, technology has no doubt enabled them to appear as they wish. And if something is sufficiently Alien, it may appear as anything. Or it might not even wish to be noticed as "living" or recognized as sentient at all. Their form is likely whatever they desire it to be. It is "self-engineered." Aliens who visit us would have to be unfathomably adaptable- with the technology to change form to exploit all habitat. Just as their craft (and the material from which they are comprised) can change state, so too can ET's appearance.

Though they appear as they wish- ET's core nature remains humanoid. The reason that their form is so familiar is because we are from the same source. We share ultimate origin. And one day we will do what they do. The truth is that Man and Visitor both reflect the infinite image of God- rendering meaningless the very use of the term "Alien."

POSTED BY RRRGROUP AT [9:28 AM](#) | [7 COMMENTS](#)

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 26, 2008

THE ROSWELL UNDERTAKER'S SECRET REVEALED by Anthony Braglia

For years embalmer Glenn Dennis has told an intriguing Roswell crash tale. If true, it leaves no doubt that what fell to Earth in July of 1947 in New Mexico sands was from another world. However Glenn's story has been disputed by researchers as having serious difficulties. Today, some have all but dismissed his purported involvement in the crash events.

But new interviews and information show that the undertaker's amazing story may well have basis in fact. And a fresh look may have uncovered the possible identity of the "missing nurse" at the Roswell base who decades ago revealed to Glenn the alien reality of the crash.

GLENN'S STORY

Glenn Dennis could only happen in a place like New Mexico. His top shirt button always clasped, he often wore a bolero. His frame was lanky but always upright- standing at least two feet taller than desert brush. A marvel of shortened hyperbole, tongue-in-cheek cusses and flirtations- this is how family remembers Glenn.

Glenn Dennis is now on the far side of 85...

and a shell of his former self. He is reported to be in gravely ill health. But in July of 1947, he was an energetic young man busily employed as a Mortuary Assistant for the Ballard Funeral Home in Roswell, NM. Ballard's had a long-standing contract with the Roswell military base to provide ambulance and mortuary services....

Dennis signed an affidavit two decades ago that outlined some very unusual events that he had experienced that Summer of 1947. He maintained that he had received a strange call while at Ballard's from an officer at the Roswell base a short time after the Roswell crash. The officer was inquiring about the availability of hermetically-sealed baby caskets. Glenn was also questioned about body preservation methods. Dennis replied that he could provide the caskets- and that the best way to preserve the corpses would be to have them frozen. The officer also wanted to know about tissue and blood changes that might occur if bodies were out in the open, exposed to the elements. Curious about the odd call, Dennis asked the officer if something had happened at the base. He was told that the information was simply for "future reference."

Soon after, Dennis was summoned to the base to pick up an injured airman. He says that on his return, he viewed what appeared to be some very strange debris from some sort of wreckage. He saw the debris in the backs of base vehicles as he slowly and deliberately passed through a ramp exit. One of the debris pieces was "canoe shaped" and about three feet long. It appeared to

have odd colored hues like burnt steel, but it was not steel. It had inscribed on it 3"-4" high "hieroglyphics" that ran in a pattern along the contour of the wreckage piece.

Glenn was spotted observing the material by an officer, who then stopped Glenn and loudly rebuked him. He demanded to know of Glenn who he was, why he was there and what else he may have seen. He told Dennis to say nothing of what he may have witnessed. Glenn told the officer to "Go to Hell." He was a civilian who would not be talked to in that manner. The officer then threatened "Don't kid yourself, they'll be picking your bones out of the sand!"

Glenn explains that Roswell's Sheriff George Wilcox made a visit to Glenn's father's house a couple of days later. Wilcox (a friend of Glenn's father) warned the elder Dennis to let Glenn know that he had better keep quiet about anything he may have known or seen at the base that day.

GLENN'S CONTROVERSY

The part of Glenn's story that is the most controversial-

Dennis states that while Sheriff Wilcox was at his father's, Glenn was actually returning to the base to see a nurse friend. He wanted to know if she had heard anything about all of this. They discussed what she knew over Cokes at a base dining area.

She told Glenn that she had earlier witnessed a horrific site. A doctor had pulled her into a room for assistance where there she had viewed three strange "foreign bodies" that were being examined. She nauseated from the wrenching stench. She described to Glenn a classic alien humanoid form, which she had drawn on a napkin for Glenn.

The creature had an enlarged head, slit-like mouth with vestigial nose and ears, unusual eyes and elongated arms. Hysterical in the re-telling, the nurse then admonished Dennis to leave the base immediately. That is the last Dennis ever saw of her.

In the 1990s holes began to emerge in Glenn's story. Glenn had given researchers the name of the Roswell base nurse as "Naomi Self" - which later proved to be a phony name. He also told conflicting stories about what had become of the nurse. He said that she had died in a plane crash, that she went to England and even that she joined a convent. There are other problems with Glenn's story. He mentioned a doctor who he said was involved, but who was later proven could not have been. It is rumored that Glenn may have asked for compensation for later interviews. And Glenn helped to establish the Roswell UFO museum, which became a source of (modest) personal income for a brief period of time.

SUPPORT FOR GLENN

Despite all of this, there are several reasons why Glenn's tale should not be dismissed-

1) Supporting Glenn's story is the fact that he never sought to tell it. He was found. Researcher Stan Friedman first interviewed Glenn on August 5, 1989. Friedman found Glenn because Friedman had reasoned that the Roswell undertaker may have heard something about the incident. Only later did Dennis become public on the matter.

2) The former Chief of Police for Roswell, L. M. Hall, signed an affidavit in which he recalls that -just a few days after the July 1947 crash- Dennis had recounted to him the odd call from the base about the availability of child caskets.

3) A Roswell base medical technician in 1947, David Wagon, signed an affidavit that he remembers the nurse as described by Dennis

4) Glenn's grandson, Kelly Abbott, states on a family history website that Glenn told his Roswell story to his close family in the 1980s. This was after the first Roswell book was published in 1980, but before "all of the books and movies" had come out in the 1990s about the incident. He says "Papa told the story with the sense that it was about time someone knew what happened. This is before he had spoken publicly."

5) Glenn's high school classmate was Rogene Cordes. I recently found and contacted Rogene. She is the widow of an Air Force General and believes Glenn implicitly. She was also a neighbor of Roswell Sheriff George Wilcox. Mrs. Cordes says that she knows that Glenn is telling the truth. She is cautious in relating her knowledge, but she indicates that there are things about Glenn's story that she knows happened at the time, including the involvement of Sheriff Wilcox and the call to Glenn about ice for bodies. Rogene mentions that she could not find any ice or dry ice anywhere that crash weekend. Not at Clardy's dairy nor at the train depot, which stored and sold dry ice. Glenn had told the base officer that the best way to preserve corpses was to freeze them. The military had found their ice.

6) A Roswell Army Air Field serviceman in 1947, Sgt. Milton Sprouse (who spent ten years in the military) remembers distinctly Glenn speaking of the event decades ago. Sprouse says that a few years after the crash he had seen Glenn at a mutual friend's funeral. Glenn brought up in conversation the base's strange call inquiring about the child caskets.

7) Glenn's close friend was Mollie Abramitis. Mollie recently related to me an extraordinary story. She was visiting New Mexico from her home in California in April of 1989. Glenn was managing the Wortley Hotel at the time. Glenn invited her and others for dinner. He then told a small group of close friends gathered at the hotel's dining room that he had an important story to tell them. It had been troubling him for a very long time. He felt compelled and ready to share it with them. He said that he was worried that the story had "gotten out" and he was concerned about approaches for interviews about the subject. He told Mollie and the others assembled at the Wortley the precise ET

story that he told publicly much later. Mollie said that Glenn appeared genuinely concerned, even frightened. An ex-police officer at the table beseeched Glenn that he must speak out publicly and tell all that he knows, that it would be the best form of "personal protection." Glenn rarely drank. But this time, Mollie says, after he had told his astonishing story, Glenn partook of some liquid courage.

8) Glenn's fraternal twin Bob Dennis (now deceased) was always reluctant to discuss his brother's story. John Price was Bob Dennis' close friend. Bob explained to John that he was overseas in the military when the Roswell crash had happened. But his father told him about it when he returned from the service. Bob said that his father was very good friends with Sheriff Wilcox. He said that Wilcox and his Deputy (Tommy Thompson) did in fact come to the house and warned their father to make sure that Glenn says nothing of the event. It is likely that Glenn's father was told much more about the crash event by his Sheriff friend. This is because Bob Dennis said that his father made him promise to never reveal any details about the event. Bob kept that promise to his death, always saying the it was Glenn's story to tell.

9) The 1947 Roswell Fire Chief's son was identified and contacted by me recently. Rue was living in the Roswell area at the time of the crash and knew Dennis, as did his father. He stated sparingly, and not wishing to elaborate, that "everything that Glenn says happened."

GLENN'S MISSING NURSE - FOUND?

Glenn's "nurse friend" has never been conclusively identified. Glenn did not provide researchers with her real name, if she existed. But then again...she just may have. Reexamination of old documents -and the confessions of a Roswell family- reveal that there are two very likely candidates:

Eileen (Adeline) Fanton was a 1st Lieutenant that was very briefly attached to the Roswell Army Airfield Station Hospital as a General Nurse- from December 26, 1946 until September 4, 1947:

- According to military records Lt. Eileen Fanton (single) was 5'1" and 100 pounds, with dark hair and eyes and of Italian descent. Dennis described the nurse that he had known as "small like Audrey Hepburn, with short black hair, dark eyes and olive skin."
- Fanton was a graduate of a Catholic academy and Catholic nursing school. Glenn said that his nurse was "raised as a strict Catholic."
- Fanton is confirmed to have later served a tour duty in England. Dennis had mentioned England as (one of) the places he thought that the nurse may have relocated.
- Fanton was educated by nuns. Dennis has offered an alternate story that he had heard that the nurse had later become a nun. Fanton left the Roswell base weeks after her meeting with Glenn. She was admitted to a hospital for a reputed "D&C" abortion procedure. She retired from military service in 1955 and was never located to be questioned. She is believed deceased.

Ms. Miriam Bush:

In July of 1947 Miriam Bush was a single 27 year old woman who (according to records and family) was employed by the Roswell base. Though not a "nurse"- she was a medical secretary in base hospital services.

- Like the "nurse" that Glenn described, Miriam was smallish and attractive, with black, short-cut hair and dark eyes.
- Glenn had offered the faked name of "Naomi Self" as the identity of his missing nurse. "Miriam" may well be an anagram of sorts for "Naomi." Both "Miriam" and "Naomi" have the same length of letters, as do the last names "Bush" and "Self."
- Amazingly (according to her brother George, her sister Jean and her sister-in-law Patricia) Miriam would arrive at her parents home one day after work in the Summer of 1947. She was tearful and in shock. She had described to her family a horrible event that had occurred earlier that day. She was pulled into a base hospital room by a doctor who wanted her to be aware of something. She sickened as her eyes cast upon "little bodies" on gurneys in the middle of the room. These bodies were childlike but they were not children. They were strange- with massive heads and eyes that were not at all right. She told her family that she begged God to let her forget the sight.
- Traumatized, Miriam would flee New Mexico shortly thereafter and go to California where she remained for years without communicating with those back home. Alcoholic, Miriam would commit suicide at very end of 1989. 1989 is the very year that Glenn "went public" with his Roswell story.

GLENN'S TRUTH

Glenn has mixed misdirection with truth. He used storytelling devices to hide or obfuscate identities. His concern for protecting privacy was in conflict with his desire to get out the story. He saw others making money on the story and -ever the businessman- thought he'd profit some as well. He may have injected some imagination into history to awaken interest. Perhaps he did it to supplement a story where the real facts could not be obtained.

It could even be that Glenn was himself not the "involved" one, but was covering for another. Or it may be that his father had confided to Glenn the story that he had learned from friend Sheriff George Wilcox. It must also be remembered that flirtatious Glenn was a newlywed with an expectant and homebound wife at the time of the Roswell crash. His "relationship" with the nurse may have been more than casual- another possible reason for his evasiveness.

Whatever the case, there can be no doubt that there is a true -but hidden- "core story" somewhere to be found within Glenn's fascinating tale.

Perhaps Kelly Abbott, Glenn's grandson, sums the Roswell undertaker's tale best: "While it's true that his heart may have always been in the right place, his brain often got him in trouble. To many who've lived their lives and will

die in Roswell, Glenn was their undertaker. Trust in him is a given. To those of us who know him better, the truth of the matter is far more complicated."

POSTED BY RRRGROUP AT [11:59 AM](#) | [6 COMMENTS](#)

SUNDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2008

UFOs, Christianity, and Quantum

What is the one element that UFOs, Christianity, and Quantum have in common?

That they are each, basically, inscrutable; they make no sense when reviewed in depth.

No one knows what UFOs are. Even though many have a mundane explanation, the fundamental phenomenon remains elusive and unknown, maybe even unknowable.

Christianity is mired in mystery. Did Jesus of Nazareth actually exist? Was He Christ? God? Are the Gospels fiction or fact?

Quantum mechanics is rife with riddles and weirdness. The physics of quantum is a hodgepodge of bizarre theory and mathematics that no one really understands, although some physicists pretend to.

(There are other mysteries – Bigfoot, the Loch Ness thing, what happened to Amelia Earhart, who really shot JFK – but those mysteries don't have the complexity or raft of commentary and research that UFOs, Christianity, and Quantum have.)

What is the core reality of the UFO mystery? That is the question that most ufologists ignore, caught up in the peripheral aspects of sightings and UFO episodes.

In Christianity, the core questions revolve around Jesus/Christ. Was he God incarnate? Was there a Resurrection? And so on...

Christianity's questions were raised right at the beginning of the Common Era, even before the pronouncements of St. Paul, circa 35 A.D.

Those questions remain intact today.

Quantum theory has settled on one question: What is the Higgs Boson – the

so-called “God particle”?

Quantum Mechanics essentially began with Max Planck’s 1900 energy hypothesis. And one hundred and eight years later, quantum remains fundamentally unclear, despite some peripheral elements that have been “proven” by experimentation.

The UFO mystery essentially began to be seriously scrutinized after the Kenneth Arnold sighting in June 1947.

A little over sixty years later, the UFO enigma is still intact and primarily unknown.

Is there hope for a UFO denouement? Not if current investigators remain entrenched in internecine squabbles and febrile obsession with old UFO events such as the alleged Aurora, Texas crash of 1897, Roswell, the Hill case, the Phoenix lights, et cetera.

Just as Christianity will never settle on one truth, cannot settle on one truth and Quantum is dealing with aspects of physics that are submerged in a possibly unfathomable reality, UFOs are unlikely to be understood in the present time-frame, with the present contingent of ufologists who are immersed in decrepit research and faulty data.

Nonetheless, UFOs, like Christianity and Quantum, will continue to intrigue a small coterie of persons who are intrigued by mysteries no matter how remote they are for an explanation.

POSTED BY RRRGROUP AT [11:37 AM](#) | [2 COMMENTS](#)

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 24, 2008

The Roswell Liars by Anthony Bragalia

The crash of the extraterrestrial to Earth in 1947 is likely a true event, a fact of history. But like all history, it is clouded with fiction. And the story-telling and mistruths are not always told by those who are covering up the alien reality. In fact, the greatest lies about the Incident are told by those who believe that it really was an ET crash.

Why do people hoax their involvement in Roswell? What makes some seem compelled to insert themselves in the event? Who are the Roswell Liars?

Man has pondered the stars -and whether they are inhabited- since he first gazed the skies. To wonder if there are others in the Universe is perhaps the most fundamental question. It has been asked by all people throughout all ages. By its very nature, such a question evokes deep emotion and opinion. It invites speculation. This "not knowing" is a source of perpetual frustration. Man sends out flying telescopes and manned spaceships to find out. He sets up vast arrays to hear ET. He makes movies about it. That there are Others is our greatest hope. The ultimate question - Is anyone out there?- plays off of our greatest fear. No one wants to be alone.

It is then understandable that there will be those who will use this "yearning for ET" to their advantage. Quenching that yearning will bring out both the sincere and the insincere. Out will come those who are motivated by truth and those who will trick for personal gain.

We have all faked something in our lives. We do so when we cannot offer truth. And there are many who have faked their association with Roswell and its aftermath. This includes people from all walks of life. Station and position in the world has little to do with the desire or ability to fake. Some very intelligent and very prominent people have done so. Those who lie about their Roswell knowledge or involvement have included military officials, intelligence agents and even men of science.

People hoax many things- from literary works to clinical test results. Precisely why they do this is difficult to ascertain. One explanation does not explain the reason for all hoaxes. But personal gain or elevation-without regard for others- is always at the center of such hoaxes.

Money is often a motivator. Before he passed, my grandfather told me that the best advice that he could give me was to always "follow the money." He said that when you do that, the truth will become evident. Some hoaxes are boldly created just for money. Ray Santilli's financier of the hoaxed "Alien Autopsy" film (Volker Speilberg of Austria) privately confessed that though Roswell was likely true, he cared only about making money from it - and doing so at anyone's expense. Santilli himself, not content with all the money that he had made from the film, later even released a tongue-in-cheek "tell all" movie in the UK on the "making of the hoax" for further personal profit.

Lack of self-worth is another reason for devising such hoaxes. Lt. Col. Philip Corso (who dreamt up his "Day After Roswell" book from imagination) was one such person. He was a man who didn't quite make it. Despite over two decades of service as an Army Officer, Corso was always near to the decision-makers, but never himself a decision-maker. He was photographed with prominent men, but was not one of them. Always around the action, Corso wanted to claim some action of his own later in life. He inserted himself in history. He finally made his mark on it by crafting his story at a time when those he mentioned as also Roswell-involved has passed. Bob Lazar was another example of someone who had great -but unrealized- potential. Dreaming that he was an engineer working on the crashed Roswell craft at Area 51 reflected a young man who wanted to be recognized for great scientific achievement. His early years making rocket cars did not bring him that

recognition. But Roswell rockets would.

Pranks for the sheer sake of it is yet another reason people hoax Roswell. We all knew a class clown. Someone who enjoyed having fun by making fun of others. People prank others because they can. They like to take advantage of those who are vulnerable to such a thing. They insinuate their "power" over others in a way that is meaningful only to themselves. They receive enjoyment from deceit because they have nothing real to give. John Lear Jr. is such a person. The rebellious son of an overachieving father, the Junior's achievements were far surpassed by those of the Senior, the inventor of the Lear Jet. John Lear Jr. did not need the money nor the notoriety to tell his Roswell tall tales. He just wanted more fun in his advancing years. An adventurous type, Mr. Lear spun tales of adventure about underground alien bases at Dulce, NM for years. Though he no longer flew CIA missions over the jungles, he could still take flight by spinning stories about aerial discs and Roswell.

Embellishment is still another cause for Roswell lies. Those who may have had a fleeting exposure to the events surrounding the crash sometimes enlarge their roles. Though they may have had some genuine Roswell connection, they feel the need to make their part in it greater than it actually was. They do not themselves know the "whole story." But they know the "core story" to be true, based on their limited personal experience or through what they knew from others who were more involved. So these individuals "build" on their Roswell tales to help "make the case" and to satisfy those who question them by providing needed answers. Roswell "luminaries" such as Frankie Rowe and Glenn Dennis likely fall into this category.

Official disinformation, some say, is used by Intelligence Agents who are sanctioned to "confuse the truth" about Roswell. They maintain that these agents are purposely authorized by their superiors to spread "wild tales" about the event and its aftermath in an effort to make Roswell sound ridiculous. But I do not think this is the case at all. Disinformation is not always used to cover up what is known. Sometimes it is used to "smoke out" what is not known.

Recent discussions with a "minor member" of the notorious "Aviary" reveal that this is precisely the case with original Roswell investigator William "Bill" Moore. Mr. Moore was very active in 1980 in uncovering details about the crash, authoring the first book on the subject. During the course of his investigation, he befriended many scientists and military officers associated with such places as Kirkland AFB and nearby Sandia Labs. Some were intrigued by his investigation. Moore even developed amateurish codenames (using species of birds) as a way to identify these scientists and officers without using their actual names.

During a conversation with Dr. Henry Monteith, a retired 20-year physicist with Sandia, I learned much about this. Dr. Monteith explained that in 1980 a man named Rick Doty, a Special Agent with AFOSI at Kirkland, had begun soliciting people's opinions at the base and at Sandia about Moore's claims about Roswell. Monteith did not trust Doty. He did not think that Doty really "knew" anything himself, but that he was merely trying to find out the truth

from others. It seemed as though Doty would do anything to learn the truth, including making up stories to get the real story. Doty's interest was genuine, but his personal knowledge, nil. So he continued with Moore to assemble others to learn what they might know about the Roswell matter.

Over the years, people that Monteith knew began to make speculations and theories about the matter, repeating rumor and unconfirmed stories. These people included other physicists at Sandia such as Robert Collins (who together with Doty wrote "Exempt from Disclosure" a couple of years ago) and others outside of Sandia such as Hal Putoff, Kit Green and John Alexander. What became clear in talking to Monteith is this- each "Aviary" member was "smoking out" the other for the truth that they did not themselves possess! Despite their lofty positions in science and military, these people gave fall to the same vulnerabilities that we all do. They played off of each other with their own gullibility, paranoia and distrust. Moore and Doty tried to "fuel" this circle of scientists and officers and "trick" them into revealing more. But it is a "more" that they did not have. Ultimately, the "Aviary" wound up only reflecting and feeding off of itself with each person's personal interests and "takes" on Roswell. They "spun" it in their own ways and applied it to their own future purposes. None really knew the truth, but each wanted to see if any of the others did. Kind of like a poker game. Who has the best hand?

The common denominator found in all hoaxers is a lack of respect for their victims. Hoaxing about Roswell -whatever the motivation- only serves to cast doubt, derision and confusion on the matter. Hoaxes cheat us of reality.

Like the spirits that must be discerned and the false prophets that must be called out- the Witnesses to Roswell must be tested for their truth. And for every person who speaks the truth, there will always be one who does not.

POSTED BY RRRGROUP AT [8:02 AM](#) | [6 COMMENTS](#)

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 07, 2008

UFOs are not strange.....

...enough to be alien or extraterrestrial.

The shape of UFOs, the lights they display, the spotlights they sometimes engage, the maneuvers (except for those alleged 90 degree turns), the construction materials (of those that have been inspected in situ supposedly).....none of these things suggests that UFOs or flying saucers are other-worldly.

The lights, for example, that are often described in UFO sightings are usually red or green, sometimes white or blue.

But an alien spaceship wouldn't have lights that reflect the spectrum range

unique to Earth.

The shape of UFOs – whether seen as “lights” or observed in the daytime – is always geometric according to the constructions of Earth’s mathematics which, again, are unique.

(Math on other worlds would be unique to the evolution of scientific thought – if any – of the species of those worlds.)

Round, triangular, rectangular shapes, and all the other mathematical constructs here, on Earth, derive from the ancient Greek models, and would not apply to extraterrestrial beings (and societies).

That extraterrestrial mathematical models might coincide with those of Earth is so astronomically improbable, ufologists should discard the idea that UFOs come from outer space.

(SETI’s premise of radio transmissions by alien races is also flawed for the same reason.)

UFO flight is generally similar to those of Earth’s aircraft – except as noted, when UFOs make instantaneous 90 degree turns or ascend at rates that are not possible in today’s Earthian airplanes...unless....unless Earth entities have secret aircraft capable of such maneuvers, and have had them since the 1940s which, admittedly, is unlikely.

But those turns and ascensions may be from UFOs that are phenomena rather than actual bolts and nuts aircraft.

So-called debris from flying saucers, over the years, has never proven to be other-worldly.

While the make-up of the Universe insinuates itself in all planets or systems of the Universe, the composition of construction supplies would have to differ for worlds where water, timber, metal, and chemistry itself is distributed in arrays that are unique to those worlds.

The geological/chemical make-up of the Earth is unique, or nearly so. UFOs made here would surely differ from UFOs made in a galaxy far, far away.

The geology and chemical make-up of worlds – even those in our own solar system (such as Mars, Jupiter, the moons, Titan, Europa, et al.) – is so vastly different from that of the Earth, that to assume UFOs (which are invariably

described or recognized as vehicles not very removed from those of the Earth) are from far-flung galactic worlds lacks common sense.

And those little things that show up in UFO reports: the blinking lights – blinking. Why would UFOs from elsewhere blink? That’s an idiosyncrasy of Earth aircraft.

What about the “smells” of UFOs – sulfuric or burnt metal? Why would UFOs from other worlds have smells like those on Earth?

Then there are the sounds from UFOs: hums (low usually) or no sound at all. Why would UFO energy sound like an electrical hum from Earth, or have no sound at all?

A lack of sound is problematical, in that flying saucers – or any flying vehicle – has to make some sound...it’s the nature of flying craft to create sound waves, or interfere with sound waves.

The silence of many UFOs goes against the physical properties of flying; it’s almost mystical in nature – or a sensory misperception.

We find one UFO sighting – filmed – that strikes us as authentically real, and possibly other-worldly or a manifestation of a phenomenon as yet unknown to science:

You may see the video clip here (from the UFO CD, published by the Software Marketing Corporation in 1993):

[1963 Colorado](#)

What that video shows us is a phenomenon almost life-like in its configuration, pulsing, and moving at a speed too fast for anything of a solid construction – something strange but not in a tangible extraterrestrial sense.

There are few UFO sightings – filmed, photographed, observed (by visual sighting or radar) – that display the physical mannerism of the 1963 Colorado UFO, but there are some.

However, we contend that those UFOs are something other than alien vehicles. (And they certainly are not secret aircraft of any Earth military or scientific organization.)

So, for us, the great bulk of UFO sightings that excite ufologists and UFO mavens are prosaic, and hardly amenable to an extraterrestrial hypothesis.

This doesn't mean that the phenomenon (or phenomena) shouldn't be addressed. It just means that UFOs should be investigated without the Sci-Fi trappings.

POSTED BY RRRGROUP AT 1:34 PM | [0 COMMENTS](#)

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 06, 2008

WAS OBAMA BRIEFED ON ROSWELL? By Anthony Bragalia

How are the Secrets of the Nation revealed to incoming presidents? Who reveals? What is said? Is everything told them? Briefing these secrets to the new Commander-In-Chief is in itself a necessarily veiled process. Precisely how it is done -and what is said- remains unknowable. We can surmise that details were related on matters of national security and on matters of international import. But does this intelligence briefing extend to intelligence on things cosmic? Is our new leader told that Man is not alone in the universe? Is he made aware that a craft from another world crashed in our country six decades ago? Does the new President know about Roswell?

We understand that the intelligence briefing process is one that is gradual. It is delivered "incrementally." Both party presidential candidates -Obama and McCain- were given briefings in early September of this year by Director of National Intelligence Michael McConnell. The briefings were characterized by him as "substantive." Of course no further details about it were given. According to Reuters, this week President-Elect Obama is "beginning to receive intelligence briefings as part of his transition to the White House." Presumably much more is being told to Obama this week in these briefings as President-Elect than was told to him eight weeks ago as a presidential candidate. We can infer that once sworn in, President Obama will be told even more.

Obama was asked by the late Tim Russert during a presidential debate about extraterrestrial beings and intelligent life in the universe. Obama replied that he was more interested in people's lives here in the United States. At other times, he has expressed concerns about the associated costs of a mission to Mars and other manned space flights. Will his lack of interest in things ET limit what is told to him about the subject?

Perhaps personal interest plays little part in considering when (and if) a President is told about such things. President Clinton engaged members of his team (including his Associate Attorney General Webster Hubbell and Chief of Staff John Podesta) to find out the truth about Roswell. Evidently, Clinton was not ever briefed on the subject. A copy of The Truth About the UFO Crash at Roswell was found in his personal library during the Ken Starr investigation. Clinton had to make efforts to find out for himself about Roswell. So did John Podesta. Interestingly, the November 6 New York Times reports that John

Podesta is being considered for the Energy Secretary post under Obama. Amazingly, in this same article, The Times says of Podesta, "If anyone knows the truth about Roswell, NM, it's Mr. Podesta."

It is likely that prior, senior-level association with Defense or Intelligence agencies is a requirement for being "let in" on the revelation of Roswell.

This very thing is hinted at by Vice President Dick Cheney. Cheney was formerly Defense Secretary under the senior Bush administration. On a DC public radio interview in 2001 a caller asked of Cheney "Have you ever been read in to the UFO program?" Instead of firmly replying "No," Cheney was surprised and flustered by the caller's question. He very cautiously and curiously replied, "Well, if I had been briefed on the subject of UFOs, it was probably highly classified and I can't talk about it."

Cheney's close friend since the 1970s is former Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld. In 1968, Rumsfeld (as a US Representative) formally introduced USAF Project Bluebook Advisor Dr. J. Allen Hynek to the House of Representatives Committee on Science and Aeronautics Symposium on UFOs. Years later, when Rumsfeld was Defense Secretary under President Ford, Hynek approached Rumsfeld about the UFO subject to see what Rumsfeld had learned, given his position. Rumsfeld rose from his chair, pointed at Hynek, and said "You have no right to know!"

Access to the highly compartmentalized Roswell information is given by those who know. And it is only given to those deemed by them to have a Need to Know. Our new President has the need to know. As the London Free Times exclaimed in its headlines after the election, we are all living in "A Whole New World!"

Let us hope that life in our new world will include sharing the truth about the lives that exist on others.

POSTED BY RRRGROUP AT 12:28 PM | [0 COMMENTS](#)

PREVIOUS POSTS

- [UFOS AND STATES OF MATTER by Anthony Bragalia](#)
- [UFO: A return visit?](#)
- [WHY ET DOESN'T WANT TO MEET US by Anthony Bragalia...](#)
- [A blog we like: The UFO Connection](#)
- [WHY ET HAS TO BE HUMANOID by Anthony Bragalia](#)
- [THE ROSWELL UNDERTAKER'S SECRET REVEALED by Anthon...](#)
- [UFOs, Christianity, and Quantum](#)
- [The Roswell Liars by Anthony Bragalia](#)
- [UFOs are not strange.....](#)
- [WAS OBAMA BRIEFED ON ROSWELL? By Anthony Bragalia](#)

ARCHIVES

- [January 2006](#)
- [December 2006](#)

- [February 2007](#)
- [March 2007](#)
- [April 2007](#)
- [May 2007](#)
- [June 2007](#)
- [July 2007](#)
- [August 2007](#)
- [September 2007](#)
- [October 2007](#)
- [December 2007](#)
- [January 2008](#)
- [February 2008](#)
- [March 2008](#)
- [April 2008](#)
- [May 2008](#)
- [June 2008](#)
- [July 2008](#)
- [August 2008](#)
- [September 2008](#)
- [October 2008](#)
- [November 2008](#)
- [December 2008](#)
- [January 2009](#)
- [February 2009](#)

ABOUT ME

RRRGROUP

ANN ARBOR/FORT WAYNE, MICHIGAN/INDIANA, UNITED STATES

A group of media guys

[VIEW MY COMPLETE PROFILE](#)

Subscribe to
Posts [[Atom](#)]
