

INSERT TITLE IN CAPITAL LETTERS – A4 PAPER SIZE ONE COLUMN FORMAT

Author One, Author Two, and Author Three*

Affiliation, Institution, City, Country

Corresponding author: *author@email.org

ABSTRACT

Purpose – This section briefly states the objective of the study. The heading Abstract should be typed in bold, 11-point Arial font, and capital letters, while the abstract content should be written in 10-point Arial font. The purpose of the research should be clearly and concisely presented. Maximum 50 words.

Research Design/Methodology – This section briefly describes the research methodology employed, including the research object, variables, and statistical methods emphasized in developing and analyzing the research framework. Maximum 80 words.

Findings – This section briefly presents the main research findings, particularly those that are noteworthy and demonstrate the novelty or superiority of the study. Maximum 70 words.

Research Limitations/Implications – This section briefly discusses the limitations of the research findings as well as the managerial implications of the study, highlighting the benefits for relevant stakeholders, such as firms and regulators, and providing suggestions for future research. Maximum 60 words.

Originality/Value – This section highlights the originality of the study and its contribution to the relevant theoretical framework

Keywords – This section should include a minimum of three (3) and a maximum of six (6) keywords relevant to the study. Keywords should be separated by commas, with each keyword capitalized.

Example: Corporate Governance, Sustainability, Firm Value

INTRODUCTION

This section aims to entice the reader to the core compelling aspects of the research undertaken. State the motivation of the research by highlighting key managerial and/or theoretical context being addressed in the study. By avoiding a detailed literature survey or summarized results of the study, a good introduction should:

- States broad theme or topic of the study
- Highlights academic and practical importance
- Cites most important prior studies relevant to the current research
- Emphasizes most important knowledge gaps, inconsistencies, and/or controversies being addressed by the current research
- Indicates the research problem/questions, specific objectives, and the context of the current research
- Provides an outline pertaining to the structure of the remaining content in the article

LITERATURE REVIEW

This section represents the theoretical underpinnings of the current research. First of all, the theory section should extend, not repeat, those that have been introduced as a background of the study. Secondly, the results of the literature survey provide the readers with: (1) a background of the selected theories and/or concepts relevant to the current research, (2) a summary (i.e. critical evaluations, organization, and synthesis) of relevant but pertinent previous studies, (3) a critical inquiry (agreement or disagreement with the previous theoretical positioning and/or research findings) of the summary, (4) framework of thinking, and (5) research hypothesis.




Figure 1. Data Distribution Compared Against Theoretical Normal Distribution.

METHODS

This section provides sufficient details pertaining to the design and execution of the current research as well as the justification for the use of specific research methods. The details allow both the readers and independent researchers for evaluating the reliability and validity of the findings as well for replicating the current research. The following sub-sections are typical in the writing of methods:

- Description of Population and Sample
- Operational Definition of Variables
- Data Analysis Technique

FINDING AND DISCUSSION

FINDING

Table 1. Transaction Statistics in the Indonesia Stock Exchange, 2004-2009

	2004	2009	CAGR (%)
Volume (million (shares))	411,768	1,467,659	28,9
<i>Foreign</i>	92,994	143,934	9,1
<i>Local</i>	318,774	1,323,725	32,9
Value (Billion Rp.)	247,007	975,135	31,6
<i>Foreign</i>	110,762	253,014	18,0
<i>Local</i>	136,245	722,120	39,6

Source: Author's works (2020)

Results should be clear and concise, which provides interpretations of the data analysis summary (descriptive and inferential). Sufficient details must cover the use and justification of certain statistical analysis as well as description and interpretation of results, the latter of which cover both the confirmed or rejected hypotheses of the current research.

DISCUSSION

The discussion section is perhaps the most important section of a scientific article. It elaborates the significance of the results for both the current and prior relevant research. State the summary of findings (often linked with theoretical contributions) that resulted and the managerial implications of the findings.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The conclusion section should be brief. It should state the research conclusions, any limitations encountered in this study, and suggested directions for further research.

Reference uses format APA 7.

REFERENCES

- Brown, S.A., Aarons, G.A., and Abrantes, A.M., *Adolescent alcohol and drug abuse*, In C.E. Walker & M.C. Roberts (Eds.), *Handbook of clinical child psychology*, Third Edition, Wiley., New York, 2001.
- Gul, F. A., Lynn, S. G. and Tsui, J. S. (2002). Audit quality, management ownership, and the informativeness of accounting earnings. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance*, 17(1), 25-49. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0148558X0201700102>
- Jagger, M., and K. Richards., *Healthy Living for Aged Rock Stars*, 4th ed, University of Chicago Press., Chicago, 2006.
- Scott, W., *Financial Accounting Theory*, Sixth Edition, Pearson Inc., Canada, 2012.
- Van der Geer, J., Hanraads, J.A.J., and Lupton, R.A., (2010). The art of writing a scientific article. *Journal of Science Community*, 163, 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.Sc.2010.00372>.

APPENDIX