

Occupy----> Shift

We need a gigantic paradigm shift. The General Assembly grew out of Occupy Wall Street from the beginning. But after our occupations exploded on the scene and grew worldwide, they quickly shrank to an anemic existence after municipalities learned how to legally game and destroy our camps. But even as encampments were dismantled, the people continued to gather as The General Assembly. In the eyes of the broader public (aka the actual 99% of America) our physical occupations became associated with a strategy for wasteful non-achievement and self implosion.

We observed that the real progress made by this movement was (A) to change the conversation and bring the massively corrupt banking and political problems into sharp focus. But exponentially more important than that, and what the world is missing out on entirely is (B) that OWS provided a platform for us, the steadfast foundation for our social operating system. This is only at the foundation stage, and it does need much more development. But eventually our new system should become the platform for REAL, and TRUE democracy - all around this 'pale blue dot' we call the Earth. A system where every single voice, and every single vote is **factually** accounted for.

Therefore I motion to re-attract the general public, the ACTUAL 99% of humanity, by regrouping and renaming ourselves, along with our new found operating system as THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY. I urge this General Assembly to formally acknowledge that WE together are this movement. We are not a spoke on the wheel, but truly the hub. The physical occupation would be correctly identified as one of the actions which we facilitate. And individually we would campaign to invoke other groups from our leaderful movement to follow suit.

Thank you everybody, I yield.

Shift -----> Implementation

- Group Mechanism - Groups of 3; 9; 27; 81; 243; 729; 2 187; 6 561; 19 683; 59 049; 177 147; 531 441; 1 594 323; 4 782 969; 14 348 907; 43 076 721; 129 140 163; 387 420 489; 1 162 261 467; 3 486 784 401; 10 460 353 203
 - Using this system it would take 18 steps for a country the size of the US to decide what is the most important issue to discuss. It would also make clear the importance of other issues on our minds.
 - 21 steps would cover the entire world.
 - Problem: as steps cover more and more people we will have to rely on computers and networks to tally the data, creating a security issue.
- Would it be smart to make 2 different inclusion methods, 1 through the internet and another one in person for those not connected to the net?