

FACULTY - SEMESTER COURSE FEEDBACK

(To be submitted by the Course Faculty to the Director/ Dean after the results of Semester Exam)

Name and code of Course	e: Applied Science Lab PHY12202	Name of Faculty: Dr. Papiya Dhara
Batch: 2020-21 Regular		Regular/Visiting/Contract:
Class: B.Tech CSE		
Semester: I		
· ·	xonomy to design your o	course modules, set Course Outcomes and ourse?
Yes No		
YES NA		
_	to improve, prior to deli	effective teaching-learning? Where did you very of this course the next academic year?
objectives were reached beyon knowledge is put into the rigor	d just remembering facts. Lea of higher order thinking, such	tion paper mapped with Bloom taxonomy. Course rning is incomplete until education and as analyzing and evaluating. The choice of tive guide in defining goals as educators.
2. Did you have a well-writ	ten lesson plan for every	topic?
Yes No		
YES		
NA		

If Yes, was it contemporary to enhance employability of the students? Are you satisfied with the effectiveness of the teaching tools? How would you wish to improve it prior to the next academic year? (Write in not more than 100 words)

Several physical properties (structural, dynamical, electronic, electrical, magnetic etc.) of different substance was covered. This information then used for practical endeavours through a controlled Laboratory environment. In this course students will be on improving the logical learning moved into a physical environment. Newtonian mechanics, general properties of matter, optics, these basic field-based laboratories was covered. Students can enter into a number of various pathways, given their advanced numeracy and problem-solving skills many enter into graduate schemes and occupations such as management, communications, accountancy, broadcasting and law.

Yes No	
YES	
NA NA	
If Not, what are your recommendations which could be forwarded to the affiliating universal to the affiliation of t	ersity?
(a)	
(b)	
(c)	
(e)4. Have you correlated Course Outcomes and Assessment tools with POs and PSO?	
Yes No	
YES	
NA	
If No, why not?	
5. Are you satisfied with the system of assessment and evaluation, currently in practice? have larger emphasis on assessing a student on practical and skill competencies?	Does it
Yes No	
YES	

Ι ί	If No, recommend any two major reforms.	

6. Did you assess your students on the given course outcomes by using appropriate internal assessment tools? Did you make use of rubrics where required?
Yes No
YES
NA
If Yes, in what course outcomes students performed poorly? What are your recommendations to improve the results in this course? Based on the existing course evaluation process, in CO 6 they performed little poor. Improvement can be done,
(a) More time is required to invest on theory understanding
(b) More analysis and evaluation-based problem solving is required in theory class
7. What is the level of attainment of your course outcome of your course? 9
8. With reference to paragraph 7 above, give your reasons for not meeting the desired level set up by you as a target at the beginning of the course. Suggest how this can be improved upon for the upcoming course.
(a) More explanation, continuous assessments were required in theory so that they can explain the experiment well.
(b) Based on students' batch of 2020-2021 merit, course required extra classes
9. Do you feel, you personally need special training and competence-building to deliver the course better?
Yes No
NA
NO
If Yes, specify the precise area of development needed and how the department can assist you.

If No, give your brief recommend	lations
(a)	
(b)	
(c)	
(d)	
11. List of weak students and merito	orious students (last 5 and top 5 in the class)
Weak students	Meritorious students
SHIULI MAHATA	ALOK DUTTA
SURAJ MAJUMDER	Anirban Roy
Vivek Raj	Arkadeep Chatterjee
RAJA BANIK	Arya Paul
Atanu Pramanick	Indranil Das
	ents during the course to help learn and perform better? Ca weak student after your enablement? Do they further nea

10. Are you satisfied with the supporting academic infrastructure provided by the institute for

attainment?

5

delivery of this course?

No

Yes

Yes

	If Not Interested, what were the reasons of their lack of interest?
	(a)
	(b)
	(c)
14.	Were you able to cover the course with ease or was the curriculum too vast? It was cover in time but required little more discussion classes. It was compensated with extra classes.
15.	Do you have any recommendation for review and revision of course? Describe in not more than 150 words (Please remember your recommendations shall have substantial bearings on the future of the course)
	No revision required.
	Name: Dr. Papiya Dhara
	Pakiya Lhavia. Signature
	Date 13.04.2021
	Remarks of the Director/ Dean