

	UNIVERSITY OF MAKATI RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEE		
	APPLICATION FOR CONTINUING REVIEW	UMREC Form No.	0020
		Version No.	2
		Date of Effectivity	May 5, 2025

Instructions to the Researcher: Please complete this form and ensure that you have included in your submission the documents that you checked in Section 3. Checklist of Documents.

General Information			
Title of Study			
UMREC Code		Study Site	
Version number/date of the EC approved protocol		Type of Review (To be provided by UMREC)	
Name of Researchers <i>(First Name Mi, Last name)</i>	<i>Contact Number</i>		Email Address
<i>Primary Researcher:</i>			
Member/s:			
Adviser/s:			
Institution of researcher			

Address of Institution			
Effective period of ethical clearance			
From		To	
DATE OF LAST CONTINUING REVIEW APPROVAL: <dd/mm/yyyy>			
Reason, if no CRA Approval: <input type="checkbox"/> Pending SJREB Approval <input type="checkbox"/> Less than 10 months since last initial approval <input type="checkbox"/> No CRA Submission <input type="checkbox"/> Others (specify): _____			
EXPIRY OF ETHICAL CLEARANCE:		<dd/mm/yyyy>	
Version and date of latest approved protocol:			
Version and date of latest approved ICF:			
PHILIPPINE HEALTH RESEARCH REGISTRY (PHRR) ID <i>(Registration in PHRR is required for all research)</i>			
APPLICATION SUBMISSION DATE: <i>(to be filled out by UPMREB)</i>		<dd/mm/yyyy>	
1. START DATE:			
1.1. Date of research site initialization:		<dd/mm/yyyy>	
1.2. Explanation, if not yet initialized as of date of this application: <reason/s>			
2. ACTION REQUESTED:			
2.1. Renewal: New participant accrual to continue			
2.2. Renewal: Enrolled participant follow up only			
2.3. Renewal: Data analysis only			
Other (specify):			
3. HAVE THERE BEEN ANY AMENDMENTS SINCE THE LAST REVIEW/APPROVAL?			
3.1. No			
3.2. Yes (Describe briefly and indicate date/s of Study Protocol Amendment Submission/s)			
4. HAVE THERE BEEN ANY DEVIATION/NONCOMPLIANCE REPORTS SINCE THE LAST REVIEW/APPROVAL?			
4.1. No			

4.2. Yes (Describe briefly and indicate date/s of Study Protocol Deviation Submission/s)	
5. SUMMARY OF STUDY PROTOCOL PARTICIPANTS:	
5.1. Accrual ceiling set by the Panel 5.2. New participants accrued since last review/approval 5.3. Total participants accrued since study protocol began	
6. ACCRUAL EXCLUSIONS	
6.1. None 6.2. Male 6.3. Female 6.4. Other (specify):	
7. IMPAIRED PARTICIPANTS	
7.1. None 7.2. Physically 7.3. Cognitively 7.4. Both	
8. HAVE THERE BEEN ANY CHANGES IN THE PARTICIPANT POPULATION, RECRUITMENT OR SELECTION CRITERIA SINCE THE LAST REVIEW/APPROVAL?	
8.1. No 8.2. Yes (Explain changes and indicate date/s of Study Protocol Amendment Submission/s)	
9. HAVE THERE BEEN ANY CHANGES IN THE INFORMED CONSENT PROCESS OR DOCUMENTATION SINCE THE LAST REVIEW/ APPROVAL? Attach latest version of participant information sheet and informed consent form/document	
9.1. No 9.2. Yes (Explain changes and indicate date/s of Study Protocol Amendment Submission/s)	
10. HAS ANY INFORMATION APPEARED IN THE LITERATURE, OR EVOLVED FROM THIS OR SIMILAR RESEARCH THAT MIGHT AFFECT THE PANEL'S EVALUATION OF THE RISK/BENEFIT ASSESSMENT OF HUMAN PARTICIPANTS INVOLVED IN THIS STUDY PROTOCOL?	
10.1. No	

10.2. Yes (Describe briefly and provide copy of literature cited, including the Investigator's Brochure if applicable)	
11. HAVE THERE BEEN ANY UPDATES OR MEASURES IN THE PROTOCOL TO GUARANTEE PROTECTION OF PRIVACY AND CONFIDENTIALITY OF PARTICIPANT INFORMATION IN COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL REGULATIONS (e.g. DATA PRIVACY ACT OF 2012)?	
11.1. No	
11.2. Yes (Describe briefly these provisions)	
12. IS A BIOBANK BEING MAINTAINED FOR THIS STUDY?	
12.1. No	
12.2. Yes (Describe governance and custodianship, access to data and transfer of materials, and measures protecting privacy and confidentiality)	
13. HAVE ANY UNEXPECTED DISCOMFORTS, COMPLICATIONS, OR SIDE EFFECTS BEEN NOTED SINCE LAST REVIEW/ APPROVAL?	
13.1. No	
13.2. Yes (Summarize and indicate date/s of SUSAR report submission/s)	
14. HAVE ANY PARTICIPANTS WITHDRAWN FROM THIS STUDY SINCE THE LAST REVIEW/APPROVAL?	
14.1. No	
14.2. Yes (Explain context surrounding withdrawal and documenting due diligence exerted by the study team in managing these withdrawals)	
15. HAVE THERE BEEN NEW/ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATIONAL NEW DRUG/DEVICE REGISTRATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS STUDY SINCE THE LAST REVIEW/APPROVAL? (Indicate registration information)	
12.1 None 12.2 IND 12.3 IDE FDA Registration No. _____ Product Name: Sponsor: Holder:	

16. HAVE THERE BEEN ANY NEW INTERVENTION(S) OR METHODS IN THE CONDUCT OF STUDY THAT IS/ARE NOT IN THE APPROVED PROTOCOL	
16.1. No 16.2. Yes (Describe use and indicate date/s of Study Protocol Deviation/Non-Compliance/Violation Report Submission/s)	
17. HAVE ANY INVESTIGATORS BEEN ADDED OR DELETED SINCE LAST REVIEW/ APPROVAL?	
17.1. No 17.2. Yes (Enumerate personnel and indicate date/s of Study Protocol Amendment Submission/s. Append CV if not yet submitted to the UPMREB Review Panel)	
18. HAVE ANY NEW COLLABORATING SITES (INSTITUTIONS) BEEN ADDED OR DELETED SINCE THE LAST REVIEW/ APPROVAL?	
18.1. No 18.2. Yes (Enumerate sites and indicate date/s of Study Protocol Amendment Submission/s)	
19. HAVE ANY INVESTIGATORS DEVELOPED EQUITY OR CONSULTATIVE RELATIONSHIP WITH A PARTY RELATED TO THIS STUDY PROTOCOL WHICH MIGHT BE CONSIDERED A CONFLICT OF INTEREST SINCE THE LAST REVIEW/ APPROVAL?	
19.1. No 19.2. Yes (Append a statement of disclosure)	
20. HAVE THERE BEEN CHANGES IN STUDY PERSONNEL SINCE THE LAST REVIEW/ APPROVAL?	
20.1. NONE: 20.2. DELETED (Enumerate and indicate date/s of Study Protocol Amendment Submission/s) 20.3. ADDED (Enumerate and indicate date/s of Study Protocol Amendment Submission/s)	
21. HAVE THERE BEEN OTHER CHANGES NOT MENTIONED ABOVE SINCE THE LAST REVIEW/APPROVAL? Attach protocol synopsis.	
21.1. No 21.2. Yes (Describe changes and indicate date/s of Study Protocol Amendment Submission/s)	

22. HAS THE STUDY SITE BEEN VISITED BY UPMREB OR ANOTHER ETHICS COMMITTEE, AUDITED BY SPONSOR, OR INSPECTED BY ANY REGULATORY AGENCY?	
22.1. No	
22.2. Yes (Provide details regarding the visit/audit/inspection (when, where, etc), findings and recommendations, and corrective action of the site, if any)	
23. PROGRESS STATUS (List the different components or activities in approved study protocol, provide a short description and indicate completion status, e.g., 50% complete, 75% complete)	
23.1. <Component 1> <Provide description as needed>	
23.2. <Add components as necessary>	
SIGNATURE OF PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:	
DATE SIGNED: <dd/mm/yyyy>	

RECOMMENDATIONS (for UMREC use only)

Recommendation:					
Reviewer 1		Reviewer 2		Reviewer 3	
<input type="checkbox"/> Approve		<input type="checkbox"/> Approve		<input type="checkbox"/> Approve	
<input type="checkbox"/> Request Information <small>*indicate information</small>		<input type="checkbox"/> Request Information <small>*indicate information</small>		<input type="checkbox"/> Request Information <small>*indicate information</small>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Recommend further action <small>*indicate action</small>		<input type="checkbox"/> Recommend further action <small>*indicate action</small>		<input type="checkbox"/> Recommend further action <small>*indicate action</small>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Pending <small>*if major clarifications are required before a decision can be made</small>		<input type="checkbox"/> Pending <small>*if major clarifications are required before a decision can be made</small>		<input type="checkbox"/> Pending <small>*if major clarifications are required before a decision can be made</small>	
Reviewer Code	Date of review	Reviewer Code	Date of review	Reviewer Code	Date of review
Comments and Suggestions:					

