

Document Date: 22/10/2022

Review By: RMB

Date Adopted by Board: Sept 2022

Next Review Date: 21/10/2023

If a team feels, upon arrival for a fixture, that the conditions do not adhere to those outlined in the NS-RL regulations 3.4, they should immediately complete a 'Playing Under Protest – Raising a Protest Form' which can be accessed [here](#).

If during the fixture a team feels that the conditions do not adhere to those outlined in the NS-RL regulations 3.4, the team is permitted to stop play at an appropriate time (natural break in play) to complete a Playing Under Protest form during a fixture, providing advanced warning to the official in charge. This must be completed in a reasonable timeframe so as not to impact upon completion of the fixture (for example facility booking restrictions).

If a team travels to, begins or continues a fixture with knowledge of conditions that amount to a breach of regulations but fails to complete a Playing Under Protest form they are deemed to have accepted the conditions of play and can therefore not later 'play under protest' regarding them, nor submit a match appeal based on those grounds.

The following essential information must be captured on a Playing Under Protest form:

- Fixture information (Name of TEAM 'Playing Under Protest', Competition name, date and time of fixture, venue, home team name, away team name)
- The specific grievance(s) and, where known, the correlating regulation(s) alleged to have been contravened
- Name of the Responsible Person of the team raising the grievance(s), as well as the time of submission
- Any opposition response to the grievance(s) (Optional). Should the opposition wish to provide a response they should use the Playing under protest form – Responding to a protest which can be found [here](#).
- Name and contact of the opposition Responsible Person to whom grievances have been highlighted to.

A Playing Under Protest Form that does not meet the requirements of NS-RL regulations 6.1.1 will be rejected by NS-RL as being incomplete and therefore insufficient to support any subsequent match appeal.