

Title – Not More Than 20 Words Century Gothic Font Size 16, Left, Capitalize Each Word

***¹Author, ²Author, ¹Author**

¹Afiliation, country (Universitas Hamzanwadi, Indonesia)

²Afiliation, country (Universitas Negeri Hamzanwadi, Indonesia)

***Correspondence:**

velesjournal@gmail.com

Submission History:

Submitted: Month date, year

Revised: Month date, year

Accepted: Month date, year



This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Abstract

Written in English, concise and factual, and can stand alone as a unit of information. A well-prepared abstract enables the reader to quickly and accurately identify the essential content of a document, determine its relevance to their interests, and thus decide whether to read the document in its entirety. The abstract should be written in one paragraph and not more than 250 words. Cambria (Headings), font size 10, Single space, and follow the following pattern: General statement about the importance of the topic, purpose of study, method, primary findings, and conclusion.

Keywords: students, language, behavior, thinking, intensity, intercultural, communication

INTRODUCTION

The introduction is a little different from the short abstract. The reader needs to know your research background and, most importantly, why your research is important in this context. What critical question does your research address? Why should the reader be interested?

The purpose of the Introduction is to stimulate the reader's interest and to provide pertinent background information necessary to understand the rest of the paper. You must summarize the problem to be addressed, give background on the subject, discuss previous research on the topic, and explain *precisely* what the paper will address, why, and how. A good thing to avoid is making your introduction into a mini-view. There is a considerable amount of literature, but as a scientist, you should be able to pick out the most relevant things to your work and explain why. This shows an editor/reviewer/reader that you understand your area of research and that you can get straight to the most critical issues.

Keep your Introduction concise, well-structured, and inclusive of all the information needed to follow the development of your findings. Do not over-burden the reader by making the introduction too long. Get to the key parts of the paper sooner rather than later.

Tips:

1. Begin the introduction by providing a concise background account of the problem studied;
2. State the objective of the investigation. Your research objective is the most essential part of the introduction;
3. Establish the significance of your work: why did the study need to be conducted?
4. Introduce the reader to the pertinent literature. Do not give a complete history of the topic. Only quote previous work having a direct bearing on the present problem;
5. Clearly state your hypothesis and the variables investigated, and concisely summarize the methods used;
6. Define any abbreviations or specialized/regional terms;
7. Provide a concise discussion of the results and findings of other studies so the reader understands the big picture;
8. Describe some of the significant findings presented in your manuscript and explain how they contribute to the larger field of research;
9. State the principal conclusions derived from your results and;
10. Identify any questions left unanswered and any new questions generated by your study.

Be concise and aware of who will read your manuscript, and ensure the introduction is directed to that audience. Move from general to specific: from the problem in the real world to the literature to your research. Last, please avoid making a subsection in the introduction.

A review of the literature must be merged into the INTRODUCTION

METHOD

In the *Method* section, you explain clearly how you conducted your research order to (1) enable readers to evaluate the work performed and (2) permit others to replicate your research. You must describe precisely what you did: what and how experiments were run, what, how much, how often, where, when, and why equipment and materials were used. The primary consideration is ensuring enough detail is provided to verify your findings and enable the replication of the research. You should balance brevity (you cannot describe every technical issue) and completeness (you need to give adequate detail so that readers know what happened).

Tips:

1. Define the population and the methods of sampling;
2. Describe the instrumentation;
3. Describe the procedures and, if relevant, the time frame;
4. Describe the analysis plan;
5. Describe any approaches to ensure validity and reliability;
6. State any assumptions;

7. Describe statistical tests and the comparisons made. Ordinary statistical methods should be used without comment. Advanced or unusual methods may require a literature citation and
8. Describe the scope and/or limitations of the methodology you used.

In the social and behavioral sciences, it is crucial to provide sufficient information to allow other researchers to adopt or replicate your methodology. This information is vital when a new method has been developed, or an innovative use of an existing method is utilized.

FINDING AND DISCUSSION

The purpose of the results and discussion is to state your findings and make interpretations and/or opinions, explain the implications of your findings, and make suggestions for future research. Its main function is to answer the questions posed in the introduction, explain how the results support the answers, and explain how they fit in with existing knowledge on the topic. The discussion is considered the heart of the paper and usually requires several writing attempts.

The discussion will always connect to the introduction by way of the research questions or hypotheses you posed and the literature you reviewed, but it does not simply repeat or rearrange the introduction; the discussion should always explain how your study has moved the reader's understanding of the research problem forward from where you left them at the end of the introduction.

To clarify your message, the discussion should be kept as short as possible while clearly and fully stating, supporting, explaining, and defending your answers and discussing other important and relevant issues. Care must be taken to provide a commentary, not a reiteration of the results. Side issues should not be included, as these tend to obscure the message.

Tips:

1. State the major findings of the study;
2. Explain the meaning of the findings and why the findings are essential;
3. Support the answers with the results. Explain how your results relate to expectations and the literature, clearly stating why they are acceptable and how they are consistent with or fit in with previously published knowledge on the topic;
4. Relate the findings to those of similar studies;
5. Consider alternative explanations of the findings;
6. State the clinical relevance of the findings;
7. Explain the implication of your findings in your research's settings;
8. Acknowledge the study's limitations and
9. Make suggestions for further research.

It is easy to inflate the interpretation of the results. Be careful that your interpretation of the results does not go beyond what is supported by the data. The data are the data: nothing more, nothing less. Please avoid over-interpretation of the results,

unwarranted speculation, inflating the importance of the findings, tangential issues, or over-emphasizing the impact of your study.

Work with Graphic:

Figures and tables are the most effective way to present results. Captions should be able to stand alone so the figures and tables are understandable without reading the entire manuscript. Moreover, the data represented should be easy to interpret.

Best Practice:

1. The graphic should be simple but informative;
2. The use of color is encouraged;
3. The graphic should uphold the standards of a scholarly, professional publication;
4. The graphic must be entirely original, unpublished artwork created by one of the co-authors;
5. The graphic should not include a photograph, drawing, or caricature of any person, living or deceased;
6. Do not include postage stamps or currency from any country, or trademarked items (company logos, images, and products), and
7. Avoid choosing a graphic that already appears within the text of the manuscript.

FIGURE AND TABLES

Place titles of Figures after the figures and Tables preceding them using Times New Roman font size 12 for the title.

Table 1. Number of articles published in VELES from 2017 to 2019

Year	No
2017	12
2018	11
2019	18
Total	41




Figure 1. Number of subthemes published in VELES

CONCLUSION

The conclusion is intended to help readers understand why your research should matter to them after reading the paper. A conclusion is not merely a summary of the main topics covered or a re-statement of your research problem but a synthesis of key points. The conclusion mustn't leave the questions unanswered.

Tips:

1. State your conclusions clearly and concisely. Be brief and stick to the point;
2. Explain why your study is important to the reader. You should instil in the reader a sense of relevance and;
3. Prove to the reader and the scientific community that your findings are worthy of note. This means setting your paper in the context of previous work. The implications of your findings should be discussed within a realistic framework.

For most essays, one well-developed paragraph is sufficient for conclusions, although a two- or three-paragraph conclusion may sometimes be required. Another important thing about this section is (1) do not rewrite the abstract; (2) statements with “investigated” or “studied” are not conclusions; (3) do not introduce new arguments, evidence, new ideas, or information unrelated to the topic; (4) do not include evidence (quotations, statistics, etc.) that should be in the body of the paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Acknowledge anyone who has helped you with the study, including researchers who supplied materials, reagents, or computer programs; anyone who helped with the writing or offered critical comments about the content; or anyone who provided technical help.

State why people have been acknowledged and ask their permission. Acknowledge sources of funding, including any grant or reference numbers. Please apologize for the poor presentation of the manuscript.

CITATION:

Use APA for in-text citations and the reference list (Angeli et al., 2010). For in-text citations, use the author's name and year (Author, 1980), and if there are direct quotes, then provide the page number" (Author, 2010, p. 24). If you cite multiple references, put them alphabetically (Alpha, 2008; Beta, 1999). For a reference with up to five authors, use all the names in the first instance (Author1, Author2, Author3, Author4 & Author5, 2007), and then use the first author et al. subsequently (Author1 et al., 2007). Do not use footnotes.

REFERENCES

References should follow the style detailed in the APA 6th Publication Manual, at least containing 35 references from the last 10 years published. Ensure all references mentioned in the text are listed in the reference section and vice versa and that the spelling of author names and years is consistent. Please do not use footnotes or endnotes in any format.

REFERENCES (Examples)

- Bulan, A., Suryaman, M., & Mardiah, M. (2020). The process of english language teaching in the 2013 curriculum. *VELES Voices of English Language Education Society*, 4(1), 85–93. <https://doi.org/10.29408/veles.v4i1.2007>
- Angeli, E., Wagner, J., Lawrick, E., Moore, K., Anderson, M., Soderland, L., & Brizee, A. (2010, May 5). *General format*. Retrieved February 9, 2013, from <http://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/560/01/>.
- Ball, S., Kenny, A., & Gardiner, D. (1990). Literacy, politics, and the teaching of English. In I. Goodson, & P. Medway, (Eds.), *Bringing English to order* (pp. 47- 86). London: The Falmer Press.
- Big drop in students studying O-level literature. (1997, August 16). *The Straits Times*, p. 3.
- Chambers, E., & Gregory, M. (2006). *Teaching and learning english literature*. London: Sage Ltd.
- Choo, S. (2004). Investigating ideology in the literature curriculum in singapore. unpublished master's thesis. Department of English Language and Literature: National University of Singapore.
- Curriculum Planning and Development Division. (2007). *Literature in english, teaching syllabus*. Ministry of Education: Singapore.

APPENDIX

Add here if any.