



Review Form for Author/s

Please review the attached article. The review must be based on an analysis of your expertise, good information about the subject of the article and relevant literature. The review is expected to indicate any deficiencies in the text and, if necessary, provide instructions on modifications to the text.

General data on paper

REVIEWER

Reviewer ID : ...
 Paper Number/ID : ...
 Paper Title : ...
 Date : ...

A. Review of Paper

Comments to be forwarded to the author/s

- 1. Introduction:** Provides an adequate framing for the paper, and a sufficient overview of the background to the research problem

Poor					Excellent				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Supporting comments

- 2. Research problem:** The research problem is articulated clearly, with an appropriate rationale and justification of its importance.

Poor					Excellent				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Supporting comments

- 3. Literature Review:** Encompasses a comprehensive and exhaustive coverage of available appropriate and contemporary literature. Furthermore, the literature review entails a critical analysis which further expounds the research problem.

Poor					Excellent				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Supporting comments

--

4. **Research design and methods:** *The research design is clearly described, with adequate justification for the choice of methods and a clear account of how the evidence has been analyzed. In general, acceptable norms of good research practice have been upheld in the conduct of the research.*

Poor					Excellent				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Supporting comments:

5. **Findings and discussion:** *The evidence or empirical data presented in the article (where applicable) are adequate. The discussion is detailed, and indicates depth of insight which provides a firm foundation for making a contribution to the body of knowledge.*

Poor					Excellent				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Supporting comments:

6. **Contribution of the paper:** *Overall, the paper makes a useful contribution to the promotion and development of new knowledge in the field, and will generally generate further interest and debate.*

Poor					Excellent				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Supporting comments:

7. **Conclusions:** *The conclusions demonstrate a firm grasp of the key issues, summarizes the salient contributions of the paper, and provide some direction for future work. (Please mark with an √)*

Poor					Excellent				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Supporting comments:

--

8. Technical issues: Evaluate the overall technical quality of the paper. (Please mark with an √)									
Poor					Excellent				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Supporting comments: 									

If any of the following technical aspects of the paper requires specific improvement, please indicate in the comment column. Leave blank if no improvements are required.

Technical issue	Comment
Title of paper	
Abstract (300 words)	
Language, grammar and spelling	
Structure of paper	
In-text citation style	
All citations are included in the reference list	
References and citations are presented in the APA style	

9. Additional comment or suggestions

In addition to the comments above please indicate any other suggestions for the authors to improve the paper.

--

B. Final Recommendation

I recommend that the paper be (please mark with an X):

Accepted without modification	
Accepted on condition that modifications are effected	
*Revised and re-submitted for further consideration	
Not accepted	