DIRASAT AL-ISLAMIYAT

Paper Title in Title Case: Concise, Informative, and Keyword-Rich (Maximum 19 Words, Georgia 14 pt, Single Column)

First Author[1*], Second Author[2], Third Author[3]

[1] Department/Faculty, University/Institution, City, Country | ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0000-0000-0000

[2] Department/Faculty, University/Institution, City, Country | ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0000-0000-0000

[3] Department/Faculty, University/Institution, City, Country | ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0000-0000-0000

***Corresponding Author:** email@domain.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.xxxxx/xxxxx | e-ISSN: 3164-4104
This is an open-access article under the CC BY 4.0 license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

ABSTRACT

(150–250 words, single paragraph, English, no citations, no abbreviations without definition. Replace each guidance sentence with your own text.)

Introduction: state the research background, the specific problem or gap, and the objective of the study in 2–3 sentences. **Theoretical Framework:** name the main theory or conceptual approach used for analysis. **Research Method:** describe the design, data sources/participants, sample size, and analytical technique. **Results and Discussion:** report the principal findings with key figures or patterns, and their analytical meaning. **Conclusion:** state the main conclusion, the novelty (originality), and the theoretical/practical contribution.

Keywords: Keyword 1; Keyword 2; Keyword 3; Keyword 4; Keyword 5 (3–5 keywords, alphabetical or by relevance, separated by semicolons).

Dirasat al-Islamiyat

INTRODUCTION

Open with the empirical and theoretical context of the study, moving from the general issue to the specific problem (funnel structure). Explain why the topic matters in Islamic studies and related disciplines, supported by recent, reputable sources published in the last 5–10 years (Author, Year).

Then identify the research gap explicitly through a critical review of previous studies: what has been done, what remains unresolved, and why that gap needs to be addressed now. A list of prior studies without critical comparison is not a research gap.

The introduction must end with: (1) the research question(s) or hypothesis; (2) the objective(s) of the study; (3) a clear statement of novelty and originality, i.e., how this article differs from and contributes beyond the studies reviewed; and (4) a brief outline of the approach and article structure.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Present the theory or conceptual framework that guides the analysis, including its key concepts, assumptions, and relevance to the research problem. Discuss it critically and justify why it is suitable to answer the research questions rather than merely describing it.

Where relevant, synthesise previous empirical findings thematically (not study by study) and show how they lead to the analytical framework, hypothesis, or conceptual model used in this article. A conceptual model or diagram may be included (see Figure 1 format below).

RESEARCH METHOD

Describe the methodological approach (qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methods) and justify its selection. The description must be detailed enough for

another researcher to replicate the study. Cover the following elements, using sub-headings where appropriate:

1. Research design and approach (e.g., case study, phenomenology, library research, survey, SEM-PLS, systematic literature review).
2. Research location, time, participants/informants, population, and sampling technique (including sample size and criteria).
3. Data sources (primary and/or secondary), including databases and search strings for literature-based studies.
4. Data collection techniques and instruments (interview guide, questionnaire, observation), including validity and reliability tests.
5. Data analysis techniques (e.g., coding procedure, thematic analysis, statistical tests, software used and version) and strategies for trustworthiness/triangulation.
6. Research ethics: ethical clearance number or statement of exemption, and informed consent for human participants.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results

Present the findings systematically and objectively, organised according to the research questions. Do not repeat all data from tables in the text; highlight the key patterns. Tables and figures must be numbered, cited in the text before they appear, and fully understandable on their own.

Table 1. Title of the table in sentence case (caption above the table, Georgia 10 pt)

Variable/Theme	Category A	Category B	Category C
Item 1	0.00	0.00	0.00
Item 2	0.00	0.00	0.00

Source: Author's data analysis (year) or cite the original source. Use horizontal rules only (three-line table); no vertical lines.

[Insert Figure 1 here – high-resolution image, centred]

Figure 1. Caption below the figure in sentence case. Figures must be in high resolution (min. 300 dpi) and legible in grayscale; cite the source.

Discussion

Interpret the findings in relation to the theoretical framework and the research questions. The discussion should: (1) explain the meaning and significance of the findings; (2) compare and contrast them with previous studies and existing theories, explaining agreements and contradictions; (3) highlight new insights, patterns, or implications that emerge from the data; and (4) acknowledge limitations that affect interpretation. Avoid restating the results.

CONCLUSION

(Maximum 200 words, 1–2 paragraphs.) Summarise the main findings and answer each research question directly. Emphasise the theoretical and practical contributions and the novelty of the study. Do not introduce new data, citations, or analysis.

The second paragraph states the implications (theoretical, practical, and policy), the limitations of the study (e.g., scope, sample, method), and specific recommendations for future research.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Use the CRediT (Contributor Roles Taxonomy, https://credit.niso.org) roles. Mark every role performed by each author with "✓"; each role must be assigned to at least one author. All authors must have read and approved the final manuscript and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Table 2. Author contributions (FA = First Author, SA = Second Author, TA = Third Author; replace with initials, e.g., A.B.)

CRediT role	FA	SA	TA
Conceptualization	✓		
Methodology	✓	✓	
Software			
Validation			

CRediT role	**FA**	**SA**	**TA**
Formal analysis			
Investigation		✓	
Resources			
Data curation			
Writing – original draft	✓		
Writing – review & editing		✓	
Visualization			✓
Supervision			✓
Project administration			
Funding acquisition			

Example narrative (optional, if requested by the editor): *Conceptualization, A.B. and C.D.; methodology, C.D.; investigation, E.F.; writing—original draft preparation, A.B.; writing—review and editing, all authors; supervision, E.F.*

FUNDING STATEMENT

Select and complete ONE option, and delete the others. Name the funder(s) and grant number(s) in full (e.g., Kemdiktisaintek, BRIN, LPDP, internal university grant).

Option A (funded): This research was funded by [Funder name in full], grant number [XXXX/XXXX], awarded to [author initials]. The funder had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Option B (no funding): This research received no external funding.

Option C (institutional support): This research was supported by [Institution/Research Centre] through [programme name, contract/decree number]. The institution had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF GENERATIVE AI AND AI-ASSISTED TECHNOLOGIES

Required. Generative AI tools (e.g., ChatGPT, Claude, Gemini, Copilot, DeepSeek) cannot be listed as authors and cannot take responsibility for the work. Authors remain fully accountable for the accuracy, originality, and integrity of all content. Declare any use of AI for writing, translation, paraphrasing, data analysis, coding, or image generation (basic spelling/grammar checkers need

not be declared). Do not use AI to fabricate data, references, or citations, and do not upload unpublished or confidential material to public AI tools. Select ONE option.

Option A (AI used): During the preparation of this work, the author(s) used [name of tool/service, version, developer] for [specific purpose, e.g., improving language and readability / translating the abstract / generating code for data visualisation]. After using this tool, the author(s) reviewed, verified, and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the published article. No AI tool was used to generate data, results, citations, or conclusions.

Option B (AI not used): The author(s) declare that no generative AI or AI-assisted technologies were used in the writing of this manuscript, the analysis of data, or the preparation of figures and tables.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest. (If any, state the financial or personal relationships that could be perceived as influencing the work.)

ETHICAL APPROVAL AND INFORMED CONSENT

State the name of the ethics committee and approval number, and confirm that informed consent was obtained from all participants. If not applicable, write: "Not applicable, because this study did not involve human participants or animals."

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

State where the data supporting the findings can be accessed (e.g., repository name, DOI/URL, such as Mendeley Data, Zenodo, Figshare, or OSF), or explain restrictions (e.g., "Data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request because of participant confidentiality").

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Acknowledge individuals or institutions that contributed to the research but do not meet the criteria for authorship (e.g., technical assistance, proofreading, access to facilities). Do not repeat the funding information here.

REFERENCES

APA 7th edition; minimum 25 references; at least 80% primary sources (research articles in reputable, Scopus/SINTA-indexed journals) published within the last 10 years; every reference must be cited in the text and vice versa. Include the DOI as a full URL (https://doi.org/…) wherever available. Mandatory use of a reference manager (Mendeley, Zotero, or EndNote). Arabic-script sources should be transliterated consistently (e.g., ALA-LC) with the English translation of the title in square brackets. Similarity (Turnitin/iThenticate) must not exceed 20%.

Examples:

Akhyar, M. N., Redjo, S. I., Kartini, D. S., Nurdin, I., & Annisa, H. (2025). Implementation of the 2024 General Election in Nanggroe Aceh Darussalam Province Review of Procedural Democracy. *Jurnal Ilmiah Wahana Bhakti Praja*, *15*(1), 1-21. https://doi.org/10.33701/jiwbp.v15i1.5247

Akhyar, M. N., Redjo, S. I., & Kartini, D. S. (2025). The Effectiveness of Digital Population Identity on the Public in the City of Bandung in 2023-2024. *Journal of Governance and Social Policy*, *6*(1), 195-209.

Akhyar, M. N., & Kartini, D. S. (2024). Trans Metro Pasundan Services: Inter-Campus Transportation in the Public Sector Perspective. *Journal of Government and Political Sciences*, *1*(2), 71-86.