

Agenda/Minutes: P802.16.3 Telecon of 2015-02-19 UTC

IEEE Project P802.16.3

2015-02-19, 16:00 UTC (see also [times in other locations](#))

Expected duration: 1 hour

Connection info: <<http://ieee802.org/16/telecon-instructions.html>>
[Download calendar item \(ICS\)](#)

Meeting Chair/Secretary: Roger Marks

Agenda (Draft 2015-01-22):

- 1) Welcome and Roll Call
 - Attendees by name and affiliation
 - all attendees: add your name and affiliation to the [attendance list](#)
- 2) Approval of Agenda
- 3) [IEEE-SA Patent Slides](#)
- 4) Approve of minutes of [last meeting](#)
 - review of action items
 - status review, including IETF LMAP
- 5) Contributions
 - see [Call for Contributions](#)
 - see [IEEE P802.16.3 Architecture and Requirements for Mobile Broadband Network Performance Measurements](#)
 - [see server](#)
- 6) Followup Actions
 - review project schedule
 - review possible modification of PAR
 - including possible request to assign project to IEEE 802.15 Working Group
 - review [Call for Contributions](#) for any necessary updates
 - date and agenda for next meeting
- 7) Any other business
- 8) Closing

Minutes (Draft):

- 1) Call to order: 16:10
- 2) Agenda approved 16:14
- 3) Slides presented. No comments.
- 4) Approved 16:18

- a) reviewed email with LMAP co-chairs (see Annex 1 below)
- 5) No new contributions
- 6) discussions
 - a) agreed to plan a liaison contribution to LMAP for discussion at LMAP meeting during IETF 92 in Dallas (possibly March 26, 9-11:30 AM, based on prior IETF agendas); P802.16.3 participants should participate remotely
 - b) liaison should include a statement and a slide set identifying areas in which P802.16.3 A&R can add value to current LMAP drafts
 - c) individuals will prepare input toward future P802.16.3 meetings (March 5 teleconference, and March 11 face to face [with electronic participation provided to invited experts Bovo and Schrage])

Action Items:

- Marks to schedule meetings (March 5, 17:00 UTC; March 11, 15:00 UTC)

Annex 1

[copying Antonio Bovo, the P802.16.3 Editor]

Thanks for your comments, Jason.

I think that your idea of a liaison to the Dallas meeting is a good one and could be arranged. I'd like to note that 802.16 did submit a liaison to LMAP in the pre-WG days <<http://datatracker.ietf.org/liaison/1244>> that articulated some of the issues.

The P802.16.3 project is addressing end-to-end performance and is not limited to Layer 2. The document we shared provides the architecture and requirements in support of developing the standard. However, our participation is low, and we are frankly concerned that we don't have sufficient commitment to proceed to develop the standard. Since LMAP has established a framework and seems to have a critical mass, it might make sense to see what P802.16.3 could bring in to supplement LMAP.

I haven't taken a close look at LMAP lately, but I suspect that that the P802.16.3 work could probably enhance LMAP due to its emphasis on mobile and on some additional use cases, focussing on the needs of end users and of enterprises looking at groups of users. This focus brings out, for example, radio and privacy issues.

It's possible that we could get someone to bring a contribution to your interim (which seems to be on 12 Feb <<http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/lmap/current/msg01931.html>>) or to discuss a liaison in Dallas. We'll talk about it and let you know.

Regards,

Roger

Weil, Jason wrote:

Thanks Dan. I was not aware of this work taking place so it is useful to hear that IEEE 802 is looking into this area as well.

Roger,

To be fair I have only scanned the document, but I am not clear on the scope of this measurement architecture. Is this protocol designed to work strictly at Layer 2 or is it more of a general architecture and requirements document that is designed to drive the protocol development? Is there an associated information model and data model document? At a high level it seems mostly inline although there are a few architectural components that may be strictly related to mobile broadband such as the separation of a general purpose collector into public and private components.

It might be useful to liaison this document to the IETF and have someone present a quick overview at the Dallas meeting (or even at the Interim meeting) to see if we can create some alignment between the two. It sounds like there may be some benefit to sharing ideas at the very least between the UE and Controller and Collector.

Thanks,

Jason

From: <Romascanu>, "Romascanu, Dan (Dan)" <dromasca@avaya.com>

Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2015 at 5:33 AM

To: "r.b.marks@ieee.org" <r.b.marks@ieee.org>, Jason Weil <jason.weil@twcable.com>

Cc: "Romascanu, Dan (Dan)" <dromasca@avaya.com>

Subject: RE: LMAP question

Hi Jason,

Roger Marks approached me last week at the IEEE 802 interim meeting with a query about the possibility of continuing in LMAP the work or part of the work in P802.16.3. Before we discuss anything about practicalities (internet-draft, participation of authors, copyright, IP transfer and change control) I would like to get your advice on the relevance of the material for the LMAP scope – current charter and future roadmap.

Thanks and Regards,

Dan

From: Roger Marks [mailto:r.b.marks@ieee.org]

Sent: Tuesday, January 20, 2015 8:40 PM

To: Romascanu, Dan (Dan)

Subject: Re: LMAP question

Dan,

Thanks for the discussion about the prospect of bringing the P802.16.3 project work into LMAP.

The current status of the 802.16.3 project is mostly embodied in the working document "IEEE P802.16.3 Architecture and Requirements for Mobile Broadband Network Performance Measurements":

<http://doc.wirelessman.org/16-14-0078>

I would welcome you and your LMAP co-chair to have a look at this document and see whether it, or aspects of it, would be useful to LMAP, either under the current LMAP charter or perhaps a modified charter. If so, then I think we could have further discussions about how we might proceed. If the stakeholders (including IEEE-SA) are supportive, I think we could manage the practicalities.

I'm happy to answers any questions you have regarding this suggestion.

Regards,

Roger