

SCORING GUIDE: Career Path Research Paper

English 4 AP / Mrs. Ramos

	Unsatisfactory (0-69)	Acceptable (70-80)	Good (80-90)	Excellent (90-100)
Meeting paper requirements	The paper fails to meet several requirements which may include: length of paper, meeting the deadline, or adhering to the topic.	The paper meets most of the requirements which may include: length of paper, meeting the deadline, or adhering to the topic.	The paper meets all requirements.	The paper meets and exceeds requirements. EX: turned in early, especially focused on the topic, excellent variety of sources.
Development of ideas	The ideas in the paper are simplistic or repetitive and / or the paper does not include all required topic sections. The organization of the paper is problematic for the reader.	The ideas in the paper are somewhat developed. All required sections are included but may be briefly articulated. The organization of the paper could be improved.	The ideas in the paper are supported through logical ideas and appropriate quotations from sources. The organization of ideas helps the reader follow the message of the paper.	The ideas in the paper are supported through perceptive ideas and extremely appropriate quotations from sources. Excellent papers will use a primary source. The organization of the paper solidly enhances the reader's ability to understand the content.
Thoroughness & accuracy of information	The paper does not demonstrate good research practices, is inaccurate, and/or not thorough.	Information has been acceptably researched, is mostly accurate, and is somewhat thorough.	Information has clearly been researched well, is accurate and thorough.	Information in the paper has clearly been thoroughly researched and double-checked with additional sources. The paper is 100% accurate and as thorough as possible given the length requirements.
Source Documentation	Sources are not properly documented in-text or in the Works Cited list.	There are some problems with documentation either in-text (parenthetical) or in the Works Cited list.	The documentation in the paper is mostly correct, with only minor issues with formatting.	Parenthetical documentation and Works Cited list is 100% accurate and correctly formatted. All facts in the paper are sourced.
Support of thesis	The thesis is general and/or not well stated; The thesis is not fully developed and/or some elements of the thesis are not approached; Paper does not use quotations to support thesis ideas.	The thesis is basic but viable; All sections of the thesis are discussed in the paper; Quotations from the text are used, but may not be as effective as other choices.	The thesis is clear and specific; The elements of the thesis are supported fully in the body of the paper; Quotations from the text are used to support analysis.	The thesis is especially insightful as is the body of the paper that completely supports it; Quotations used in the paper are well-chosen to support analysis.
Format	Paper is not set up in MLA format.	Paper has some qualities of an MLA format, but others are missing / incorrect.	The paper follows most of the MLA format guidelines.	The paper uses proper MLA formatting throughout, including format, in-text citations, and the

				Works Cited list.
Control of writing conventions (spelling, punctuation, usage)	The paper has more than 5 errors.	The paper has a few errors.	The paper has one or two minor errors.	The paper has no errors.