Table of Contents

Overall results

Team	Record	Ballots	Points
Waukesha South	4-0	10	792
Madison West (L-Shore)	3-1	9	767
Shorewood (L-WS)	3-1	7	772
Appleton Xavier (L-Shore)	3-1	7	743
Whitefish Bay	2-2	6	703
River Falls	2-2	6	774
Beaver Dam	1-3	5	764
Brookfield Central (8th)	1-3	3	773 (3rd)
Rhinelander	1-3	2	699
Superior	0-4	4	743

Madison West 3044, Beaver Dam 3006, Xavier 3004, Whitefish Bay 3017, Rhinelander 3071, Waukesha South 3058, Shorewood 3042, Brookfield Central 3080, River Falls 3023, Superior 3076

Round by round results

Round 1	**Prosecution**	**Defense**
Shorewood W 2-1, (92-88, 92-91)	Brookfield Central	Shorewood
Madison West W 2-1 (91-85, 96-92)	Madison West	Beaver Dam
Whitefish Bay W 2-1 (86-79, 91-81)	Superior	Whitefish Bay
Waukesha South W 2-1 (98-91, 101-101)	River Falls	Waukesha South
Xavier W 2-1 (82-83, 97-90)	Appleton Xavier	Rhinelander
Round 2		
Waukesha South W 3-0 (98-90, 102-100)	Waukesha South (1-0)	Brookfield Central (0-1)
Rhinelander W 2-1 (88-84, 100-99)	Beaver Dam (0-1)	Rhinelander (0-1)
River Falls W 2-1 (96-94, 88-91)	Superior (0-1)	River Falls (0-1)
Shorewood W 2-1 (93-79, 86-91)	Shorewood (1-0)	Appleton Xavier (1-0)
Madison West W 3-0 (85-82, 85-82)	Whitefish Bay (1-0)	Madison West (1-0)
Round 3		
Brookfield Central W 2-1 (97-95, 104-106)	Brookfield Central (0-2)	Superior (0-2)
Xavier W 2-1 (93-93, 96-97)	Appleton Xavier (2-0)	Whitefish Bay (2-0)
Waukesha South W 3-0 (94-85, 91-88)	Rhinelander (1-1)	Waukesha South (2-0)
River Falls W 2-1 (94-93, 102-105)	River Falls (1-1)	Beaver Dam (0-2)
Shorewood W 2-1 (101-97, 106-102)	Madison West (2-0)	Shorewood (2-0)
Round 4		
Madison West W 3-0 (103-100, 108-99)	Brookfield Central (1-2)	Madison West (2-1)
Beaver Dam W 2-1 (106-100, 95-97)	Beaver Dam (0-3)	Superior (0-3)
Waukesha South W 2-1 (104-101, 104-101)	Waukesha South (3-0)	Shorewood (3-0)
Whitefish Bay W 3-0 (98-94, 74-76)	Rhinelander (1-2)	Whitefish Bay (1-2)
Xavier W 2-1 (106-106, 99-96)	Appleton Xavier (2-1)	River Falls (2-1)

Madison West 3044, Beaver Dam 3006, Xavier 3004, Whitefish Bay 3017, Rhinelander 3071, Waukesha South 3058, Shorewood 3042, Brookfield Central 3080, River Falls 3023, Superior 3076

Trial score sheets

Round 1: Prosecution vs. Shorewood (L 2-1: 92-88, 92-91)

Presiding Judge - Ballot Defense

Comments:

The quality of the attorneys and the witnesses was exceptional, but the witnesses for the defense were slightly more polished and their handling of the questions on both direct and cross showed a greater mastery. All of the lawyers did an excellent job. The rhythm of the cross examinations were as good as it can be. Both sides should be commended for their command of objections and responses.

Opening statement: Abbey
Attorney #1: Ansh
Witness #1: Max
Attorney #1: Abbey
Witness #1: Alana
Attorney #1: Cynthia
Witness #1: Alisha
Cross exam #1: Abbey
Cross exam #2: Ansh
Cross exam #3: Cynthia
Closing argument: Cynthia

Scoring Judge - Ballot Prosecution

SCORECARD	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
Opening Arg	9	7
Witness1 Direct Exam	7	
Witness1 Cross Exam		8
Witness1 Presentation	8	
Witness2 Direct Exam	9	
Witness2 Cross Exam		9
Witness2 Presentation	7	
Witness3 Direct Exam	9	
Witness3 Cross Exam		7
Witness3 Presentation	8	
Witness4 Direct Exam		8
Witness4 Cross Exam	8	
Witness4 Presentation		7
Witness5 Direct Exam		7
Witness5 Cross Exam	8	
Witness5 Presentation		9
Witness6 Direct Exam		9
Witness6 Cross Exam	9	
Witness6 Presentation		8
Closing Arg	10	9
Rules Violation Deductions	0	0

Scoring Judge - Ballot Defense

SCORECARD	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
Opening Arg	8	9
Witness1 Direct Exam	8	
Witness1 Cross Exam		9
Witness1 Presentation	7	
Witness2 Direct Exam	8	
Witness2 Cross Exam		9
Witness2 Presentation	8	
Witness3 Direct Exam	9	
Witness3 Cross Exam		8
Witness3 Presentation	9	
Witness4 Direct Exam		8
Witness4 Cross Exam	9	
Witness4 Presentation		7
Witness5 Direct Exam		8
Witness5 Cross Exam	9	
Witness5 Presentation		8
Witness6 Direct Exam		9
Witness6 Cross Exam	8	
Witness6 Presentation		8
Closing Arg	8	9
Rules Violation Deductions	0	0

Round 2: Defense vs. Waukesha South (L 3-0: 98-90, 102-100)

Presiding Judge - Ballot Prosecution

Comments:
This was a close, hard-fought trial. I give a (very) slight edge to the prosecution for its timely and well-thought use of objections, and particularly the ability to find other ways to get favorable evidence in after defense objections were sustained.

Opening statement: Abbey
Cross exam #1: Cynthia
Cross exam #2: Ansh
Cross exam #3: Abbey
Attorney #1: Ansh
Witness #1: Max
Attorney #1: Abbey
Witness #1: Alana
Attorney #1: Cynthia
Witness #1: Alisha
Closing argument: Ansh

Scoring Judge - Ballot Prosecution

SCORECARD	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
Opening Arg	9	9
Witness1 Direct Exam	9	
Witness1 Cross Exam		7
Witness1 Presentation	9	
Witness2 Direct Exam	8	
Witness2 Cross Exam		9
Witness2 Presentation	10	
Witness3 Direct Exam	7	
Witness3 Cross Exam		9
Witness3 Presentation	9	
Witness4 Direct Exam		6
Witness4 Cross Exam	10	
Witness4 Presentation		7
Witness5 Direct Exam		8
Witness5 Cross Exam	10	
Witness5 Presentation		8
Witness6 Direct Exam		9
Witness6 Cross Exam	9	
Witness6 Presentation		9
Closing Arg	8	9
Rules Violation Deductions	0	0

Scoring Judge - Ballot Prosecution

SCORECARD	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
Opening Arg	10	10
Witness1 Direct Exam	9	
Witness1 Cross Exam		8
Witness1 Presentation	10	
Witness2 Direct Exam	8	
Witness2 Cross Exam		8
Witness2 Presentation	8	
Witness3 Direct Exam	10	
Witness3 Cross Exam		10
Witness3 Presentation	8	
Witness4 Direct Exam		8
Witness4 Cross Exam	10	
Witness4 Presentation		9
Witness5 Direct Exam		9
Witness5 Cross Exam	10	
Witness5 Presentation		10
Witness6 Direct Exam		9
Witness6 Cross Exam	9	
Witness6 Presentation		9
Closing Arg	10	10
Rules Violation Deductions	0	0

Round 3: Prosecution vs. Superior (W 2-1: 97-95, 104-106)

Presiding Judge - Ballot Prosecution

Comments:
Very impressive performances all around. It was very clear to me that everyone invested considerable effort to prepare, and really brought their best effort. Prosecution had consistent theme and solid examination of witnesses. Defense had great presence, raised strong doubts, and provided a plausible alternative theory. In the end, the deciding factor for me is time management and theme focus, and for me that leads to the conclusion that the prosecution presented a better case. I am very grateful for the chance to participate and to see what strong hands our legal future is in. Thanks so much!

Opening statement: Abbey
Attorney #1: Ansh
Witness #1: Max
Attorney #1: Abbey
Witness #1: Alana
Attorney #1: Cynthia
Witness #1: Alisha
Cross exam #1: Cynthia
Cross exam #2: Ansh
Cross exam #3: Abbey
Closing argument: Cynthia

Scoring Judge - Ballot Prosecution

SCORECARD	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
Opening Arg	8	9
Witness1 Direct Exam	9	
Witness1 Cross Exam		9
Witness1 Presentation	9	
Witness2 Direct Exam	9	
Witness2 Cross Exam		8
Witness2 Presentation	9	
Witness3 Direct Exam	9	
Witness3 Cross Exam		8
Witness3 Presentation	9	
Witness4 Direct Exam		8
Witness4 Cross Exam	9	
Witness4 Presentation		9
Witness5 Direct Exam		9
Witness5 Cross Exam	9	
Witness5 Presentation		9
Witness6 Direct Exam		9
Witness6 Cross Exam	7	
Witness6 Presentation		9
Closing Arg	10	8
Rules Violation Deductions	0	0

Scoring Judge - Ballot Defense

SCORECARD	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
Opening Arg	10	9
Witness1 Direct Exam	10	
Witness1 Cross Exam		9
Witness1 Presentation	10	
Witness2 Direct Exam	9	
Witness2 Cross Exam		10
Witness2 Presentation	9	
Witness3 Direct Exam	9	
Witness3 Cross Exam		10
Witness3 Presentation	9	
Witness4 Direct Exam		8
Witness4 Cross Exam	10	
Witness4 Presentation		10
Witness5 Direct Exam		10
Witness5 Cross Exam	10	
Witness5 Presentation		10
Witness6 Direct Exam		10
Witness6 Cross Exam	9	
Witness6 Presentation		10
Closing Arg	9	10
Rules Violation Deductions	0	0

Round 4: Prosecution vs. Madison West (L 3-0: 103-100, 108-99)

Presiding Judge - Ballot Defense

Comments:
Team 3044's witnesses were very good. I also thought the cross examination skills of "Dominic" was very good. He was able to be flexible and able to improvise depending on the witness's answer. "Raia's closing was very strong as well. She laid out, clearly, all of the issues with the State's case. For 3080, the closing was very strong. Both teams handled objections very well. Thank you for allowing me to be part your Mock Trial journey! Good luck.

Opening statement: Abbey
Attorney #1: Ansh
Witness #1: Max
Attorney #1: Abbey
Witness #1: Alana
Attorney #1: Cynthia
Witness #1: Alisha
Cross exam #1: Ansh
Cross exam #2: Abbey
Cross exam #3: Cynthia
Closing argument: Cynthia

Scoring Judge - Ballot Defense

SCORECARD	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
Opening Arg	9	9
Witness1 Direct Exam	8	
Witness1 Cross Exam		9
Witness1 Presentation	9	
Witness2 Direct Exam	9	
Witness2 Cross Exam		8
Witness2 Presentation	9	
Witness3 Direct Exam	10	
Witness3 Cross Exam		10
Witness3 Presentation	10	
Witness4 Direct Exam		9
Witness4 Cross Exam	9	
Witness4 Presentation		10
Witness5 Direct Exam		10
Witness5 Cross Exam	9	
Witness5 Presentation		9
Witness6 Direct Exam		10
Witness6 Cross Exam	9	
Witness6 Presentation		9
Closing Arg	9	10
Rules Violation Deductions	0	0

Scoring Judge - Ballot Defense

SCORECARD	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
Opening Arg	9	10
Witness1 Direct Exam	9	
Witness1 Cross Exam		10
Witness1 Presentation	10	
Witness2 Direct Exam	9	
Witness2 Cross Exam		9
Witness2 Presentation	7	
Witness3 Direct Exam	10	
Witness3 Cross Exam		10
Witness3 Presentation	8	
Witness4 Direct Exam		10
Witness4 Cross Exam	9	
Witness4 Presentation		10
Witness5 Direct Exam		10
Witness5 Cross Exam	9	
Witness5 Presentation		10
Witness6 Direct Exam		9
Witness6 Cross Exam	9	
Witness6 Presentation		10
Closing Arg	10	10
Rules Violation Deductions	0	0