Cardano Governance Education Resource Filtering Framework

Purpose

This framework provides foundational principles and guidelines for assessing whether a learning resource is suitable, accurate, and impactful for community education within the Cardano governance ecosystem.

1. Accessibility

Guiding Question:
Can the resource be easily understood and accessed by all community members, regardless of technical background or geographic location?

Indicators:

- Free or open-access availability
- Clear and simple language
- Minimal technical jargon with explanations provided
- Availability in multiple formats (text, video, infographic, audio)

Scoring Guide (1–5):
1 = Difficult to access or understand
5 = Freely available, clear, and inclusive to all audiences

2. Accuracy

Guiding Question:
Does the material accurately represent Cardano's current governance structure, processes, and terminology?

Indicators:

- Reflects up-to-date governance processes (e.g., Constitution, DRep model, Treasury mechanisms)
- References credible sources (e.g., IOG, Intersect, Catalyst documentation)
- Avoids misinformation or outdated concepts

Scoring Guide (1–5):
1 = Outdated or inaccurate
5 = Verified, factual, and current

3. Adaptability

Guiding Question:
Can the material be easily updated or adapted as Cardano's governance model evolves?

Indicators:

- Modular or open-source format
- Clearly structured sections for easy updates
- License permits modification and redistribution

Scoring Guide (1–5):
1 = Static or locked content
5 = Easily adaptable and version-controlled

4. Inclusivity

Guiding Question:
Does the resource accommodate different learning styles, languages, and knowledge levels?

Indicators:

- Visual and textual balance
- Multilingual potential or translation-friendly design
- Progressive structure (beginner to advanced)

Scoring Guide (1–5):
1 = Narrow audience, one-dimensional style
5 = Culturally and cognitively inclusive

5. Relevancy

Guiding Question:
Is the material directly applicable to Cardano's governance context?

Indicators:

- Connects explicitly to governance actions (e.g., proposal review, DRep activity, community decision-making)
- Uses real examples from Cardano's ecosystem
- Avoids generic blockchain or unrelated governance content

Scoring Guide (1–5):
1 = Generic or off-topic
5 = Highly relevant to Cardano governance

6. Empowerment

Guiding Question:
Does the material enable learners to actively and confidently participate in governance?

Indicators:

- Provides actionable guidance (e.g., how to vote, propose, delegate)
- Encourages self-efficacy and critical thinking
- Supports continuous learning and engagement pathways

Scoring Guide (1–5):
1 = Passive learning, no practical outcome
5 = Drives informed participation and contribution

Overall Evaluation

After scoring each dimension (1–5), compute an **average score** or **qualitative summary**:

Score Range	**Recommendation**
4.5–5.0	Excellent resource – ready for inclusion
3.5–4.4	Good resource – minor updates recommended
2.5–3.4	Moderate – needs revision before adoption
<2.5	Not recommended